# PROFILES OF GSE MORTGAGE PURCHASES IN 1999 AND 2000

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Policy Development and Research April, 2002

### PREFACE

The Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992 requires that the Department of Housing and Urban Development publicly release data—in forms useful to the public—on mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. These government sponsored enterprises (GSEs) operate in the secondary market for residential mortgages. In keeping with HUD's mandate, this compendium of tables presents profiles of mortgages purchased by the GSEs (mainly in 1999-2000, but also in some cases covering previous years).

Some of these tables update tables included in appendixes to the Department's October 2000 Final Rule (which established goals that began in 2001 for the GSEs' purchases of mortgages for low- and moderate-income families, Special Affordable mortgages, and mortgages on properties in underserved geographical areas). Other tables are available for the first time in this compendium. Their release results from Departmental decisions in 2000 and 2001 to treat certain aggregations of data as non-proprietary.

Release of these tables augments the scope of information that the Department makes available on Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's mortgage purchases, which already includes an annual loan-level public use data base and various analytical reports and working papers.

## CONTENTS

Discussion of the Tables

Table 1: Overview of the GSEs' Housing Goal Performance, 1993-2000, and Goals for 1996-2003

Table 2: Annual Trends in GSE Purchases and Single-Family Lending in Metropolitan Areas

2a: Goal-Qualifying Home Purchase and Refinance Mortgages, 1992-20002b: Goal-Qualifying Home Purchase Mortgages, 1992-2000

Table 3: Affordable Lending Shares for Major Sectors of the Mortgage Market in Metropolitan Areas

3a: Home Purchase and Refinance Mortgages, 1997-20003b: Home Purchase Mortgages, 1997-2000

Table 4: Number of Units Financed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Purchases by Year of Mortgage Origination (1999; 1998)

Table 5: GSEs' Single-Family Owner-Occupied 1-Unit Mortgage Purchases by Housing Goal and Mortgagor's Income (2000; 1999)

5a: Fannie Mae 5b: Freddie Mac

Table 6: Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Acquisitions of Single-Family and Multifamily Units in Underserved Metropolitan and Non-metropolitan Areas in 1998, 1999, and 2000

Table 7: Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs (2000; 1999)

- 7a: Metropolitan Areas, All Mortgages
- 7b: Non-metropolitan Areas, All Mortgages
- 7c: Metropolitan Areas, Home Purchase Mortgages
- 7d: Non-metropolitan Areas, Home Purchase Mortgages

Table 8: GSEs' Goal-Qualifying Loans by Metropolitan Area (2000; 1999)

Table 9: Single-Family Mortgages by Goal Category: GSE Purchases and Conventional Conforming Market Originations, Metropolitan Areas (2000)

Table 10: Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases (2000; 1999)

10a: Fannie Mae 10b: Freddie Mac

Table 11: Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases in Underserved Areas (2000; 1999)

11a: Fannie Mae11b: Freddie Mac

Table 12: Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Conventional Mortgage Purchases Meeting 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals (2000; 1999)

12a: All Mortgages12b: Home Purchase Mortgages

Table 13: Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Mortgage Purchases Meeting Housing Goals (2000; 1999)

Table 14: Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Home Purchase Mortgages Meeting Housing Goals: UPB and Number of Units (2000; 1999)

14a: Fannie Mae 14b: Freddie Mac

Table 15: GSEs' Multifamily Mortgage Loan Purchases: UPB, Number of Loans and Number of Units by Acquisition UPB, 1993-2000

15a: Fannie Mae 15b: Freddie Mac

Table 16: GSEs' Multifamily Mortgage Loan Purchases: UPB, Number of Loans and Number of Units by Purpose of Loan, 1993-2000

16a: Fannie Mae 16b: Freddie Mac

Table 17: GSEs' Multifamily Mortgage Loan Purchases: UPB and Number of Units by Seasoning, 1993-2000

Table 18: GSEs' Multifamily Mortgages: Method of Acquisition (2000; 1999)

## **DISCUSSION OF THE TABLES**

Tables 1-7 describe the GSEs' mortgage purchases at the national level. Specifically, table 1 presents figures on the GSEs' performance relative to the affordable housing goals which are established for the GSEs by the Department, and tables 2-7 describe characteristics of the mortgages purchased by the GSEs that qualify under the goals, including comparisons between the GSEs' single-family purchases and aggregate single-family mortgage originations in the conventional conforming market. Table 8 shows percentages of housing units that qualify under each of the affordable housing goals by metropolitan statistical area (MSA), and Table 9 compares GSE single-family mortgage purchases with mortgage originations by housing goal category for MSAs. Tables 10-14 give profiles of the GSEs' purchases of mortgages on one-unit properties by loan-to-value ratio. Tables 15-18 present information on the GSEs' purchases of mortgages on multifamily rental properties. Each table includes explanatory notes.

Each of the three GSE housing goals – Low- and Moderate-Income, Special Affordable, and Geographically-Targeted – is based on the number of dwelling units that *qualify* to count toward the goal as a percentage of the number of units *eligible* to count. Eligible units are limited to properties where the mortgages are *conforming* (currently, less than or equal to \$300,700) and *conventional* and which satisfy other counting rules. The calculation (used in computing the figures in Table 1) includes mortgages that were originated either prior to or during the year of purchase by the GSE, and it is based on purchases of refinance as well as home purchase loans. Through 2000, dwelling units originated after December 31, 1992 that are missing information necessary to determine whether the unit qualifies were required to be included in the denominator and excluded from the numerator, but effective January 1, 2001, new rules have been in effect which allow some missing-data units to be excluded from the denominator or to be included in the numerator and the denominator based on estimation techniques.

In establishing the goals, HUD is statutorily required to consider the ability of the GSEs to *lead the industry* in making mortgage credit available for families whose mortgages, if purchased by the GSEs, would be eligible to count toward each goal. HUD has developed a particular methodology to compare the percentages of goal-qualifying mortgages in the GSEs' mortgage purchases with corresponding market percentages (see HUD October 2000 Final Rule).

Tables 2 and 3 compare the racial and income characteristics of single-family-owner loans purchased by the GSEs with loans originated in the primary market. HUD calculates the various GSE percentages in Tables 2 and 3 from data submitted to HUD by the GSEs. HUD computes the corresponding market percentages in tables 2 and 3 based on mortgage origination data compiled in accordance with the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) from reports submitted annually by primary lenders. The following explains steps HUD took to standardize for differences between the GSE and HMDA data reporting concepts:

- 1. The analysis is based on numbers of *mortgages* for single-family (1 to 4 unit) *owner-occupied* properties, even though official goal performance is based on number of *units* in owner properties. HMDA data do not include the number of units in the property. Mortgages for single-family *investor* properties are excluded from Tables 2 and 3.
- 2. The conventional conforming market is defined as all loans below the conforming loan limit; thus, no jumbo loans are included. (Of course, government loans—FHA-insured, VA-guaranteed, and RHS loans--are not included in the conventional conforming market totals.)
- 3. Only HMDA mortgages reported as "originated" are counted in the market definition; mortgages recorded as "purchased" in HMDA are not included in the market data.
- 4. Because HMDA coverage is less complete outside than inside of metropolitan areas, the analysis is based on mortgages for properties in metropolitan areas only.
- 5. As explained in HUD's October 2000 Final Rule, HUD includes the A-minus portion of the subprime market in its estimates for the conventional conforming market (thus, market estimates are presented that *exclude* so-called "B&C" loans, which are presumed to be one-half of loans originated by subprime lenders that report to HMDA). The market concept used in HUD's analysis is otherwise inclusive. For example, loans originated in metropolitan areas by lenders identified as manufactured home lenders are included.
- 6. The GSE ratio is based on purchases of mortgages originated in the current year or any prior year, consistent with the definition of the housing goals. In contrast, the HMDA-based market ratio is limited to mortgages originated in the current year. The effect of this is demonstrated in Table 2, which distinguishes prior-year from current-year originations in GSE purchases.
- 7. There is missing data in both the HMDA and GSE data. Mortgages with missing data are excluded from the numerator and denominator in both the GSE ratio and the market ratio.

Some of these tables update tables that appeared in Appendixes A and B of the Department's October 2000 Final Rule, "HUD's Regulation of the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac)."<sup>1</sup> The correspondence of table numbers is as follows:

Table 2a corresponds to Table A.4.c in Appendix A the Final Rule. Table 2b corresponds to Final Rule Table A.4a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>Federal Register</u>, vol. 68, no. 211, October 31, 2000, pp. 65089-65170.

Table 3b corresponds to Final Rule Table A.1a. Table 3a corresponds to Final Rule Table A.1b. $^2$ 

Table 4 corresponds to Final Rule Tables A.7a and A.7b.

Table 6 corresponds to Table B.5 in Final Rule Appendix B.

Tables 7a and 7b correspond to Final Rule Tables B.7 and B.8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The mortgage market definitions in Tables 2 and 3 are also discussed in a paper by Harold L. Bunce entitled *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update*, Working Paper No. HF-012, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000 (http://www.huduser.org/publications/hsgfin/workpapr.html).

#### Table 1

<b>Overview of the</b>	<b>GSEs' Housing</b>	<b>Goal Performance</b> ,	1993-2000, an	nd Goals for 1	1996-2003 <sup>1</sup>

Goal <sup>2</sup>	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	1996 Goals	1997-2000 Goals	2001-03 Goals
Low- and Moderate-Income:											
Fannie Mae	34.2%	44.8%	42.3%	45.6%	45.7%	44.1%	45.9%	49.4%	40%	42%	50%
Freddie Mac	29.7%	37.4%	38.9%	41.1%	42.6%	42.9%	46.1%	49.9%			
Ratio	0.87	0.83	0.92	0.90	0.93	0.97	1.00+	1.01			
Geographically Targeted:											
Fannie Mae	23.6%	29.5%	31.9%	28.1%	28.8%	27.0%	26.8%	31.0%	21%	24%	31%
Freddie Mac	21.8%	25.2%	26.4%	25.0%	26.3%	26.1%	27.5%	29.2%			
Ratio	0.92	0.85	0.83	0.89	0.91	0.97	1.03	0.94			
Special Affordable:											
Fannie Mae	9.7%	15.2%	14.1%	15.4%	17.0%	14.3%	17.6%	19.2%	12%	14%	20%
Freddie Mac	7.0%	11.3%	12.8%	14.0%	15.2%	15.9%	17.2%	20.7%			
Ratio	0.72	0.74	0.91	0.91	0.89	1.11	0.98	1.08			
Special Affordable Multifamily <sup>3</sup> :											
Fannie Mae	\$1.64	\$1.74	\$1.34	\$2.37	\$3.19	\$3.53	\$4.06	\$3.79	\$1.29	\$1.29	\$2.85
Freddie Mac	\$0.14	\$0.46	\$0.69	\$1.06	\$1.21	\$2.69	\$2.26	\$2.40	\$0.99	\$0.99	\$2.11

Source: HUD analysis of data submitted by the GSEs. Some results differ from performance reported by the GSEs in their Annual Housing Activities Reports (AHARs).

<sup>1</sup> Percentages of dwelling units in properties whose mortgages were purchased by the GSEs that qualified for each goal in 1993-2000, based on HUD's December 1995 rule, and goals for 1996-2003.

<sup>2</sup> Abbreviated definitions of goals:

Low- and Moderate-Income: Households with income less than or equal to area median income (AMI).

Geographically Targeted: Dwelling units in metropolitan

of at least 30 percent *and* tract median family income less than or equal to 120 percent of AMI; dwelling units in nonmetropolitan counties with (1) median family income less than or equal to 95 pc

nonmetropolitan median income.

Special Affordable: Households with income (1) less than or equal to 60 percent of AMI or (2) less than or equal to 80 percent of AMI and located in low-income areas. For the low- and moderate-income and special affordable goals, AMI is median income for the MSA for borrowers in metropolitan areas, and the greater of county or state nonmetro median income for borrowers outside metropolitan areas.

<sup>3</sup> Goals, performance, and market

#### Table 2a

	Far	nnie Mae Dat	a	HMDA Data	Free	ddie Mac Dat	ta	HMDA Data	Conform	ning Market
	Prior	Current		for	Prior	Current		for		W/O B&C
	Year	Year	All	Fannie Mae	Year	Year	All	Freddie Mac	All	Loans <sup>1</sup>
Very Low-Income										
1992				3.9 %				3.8 %	5.8 %	5.8 %
1993	5.2 %	5.2 %	5.2 %	5.4	3.6 %	4.8 %	4.8 %	5.2	7.3	7.2
1994	6.4	8.5	7.9	8.7	5.3	7.2	6.7	7.9	11.5	11.3
1995	6.6	8.5	8.1	8.6	8.4	7.2	7.5	7.8	12.1	11.9
1996	8.2	8.8	8.7	8.9	7.3	7.9	7.8	8.5	12.8	12.5
1997	12.0	8.9	9.7	9.1	8.0	8.4	8.3	8.5	13.7	13.2
1998	12.2	9.1	9.5	8.6	9.7	9.4	9.4	8.2	12.1	11.4
1999	9.2	11.2	10.6	11.2	10.2	11.9	11.5	11.7	15.6	14.8
2000	11.6	12.5	12.3	13.1	15.2	12.9	13.6	12.6	16.3	15.6
Special Affordable										
1992				4.9				4.7	7.1	7.1
1993	6.5	6.4	6.4	6.5	4.6	5.9	5.9	6.3	8.7	8.6
1994	7.8	10.4	9.7	10.6	6.4	8.7	8.1	9.5	13.8	13.5
1995	7.9	10.3	9.8	10.6	9.9	8.7	9.0	9.3	14.6	14.3
1996	9.9	10.6	10.5	10.8	8.6	9.4	9.3	10.1	15.2	14.8
1997	14.3	10.6	11.5	10.9	9.8	10.0	9.9	10.0	16.3	15.6
1998	14.5	10.6	11.1	10.1	11.4	10.9	10.9	9.5	14.3	13.5
1999	10.7	12.9	12.2	12.9	11.9	13.7	13.3	13.4	18.3	17.3
2000	13.6	14.5	14.3	15.2	17.6	14.9	15.7	14.5	19.2	18.3
Less Than Area										
Median Income										
1992				24.8				24.4	28.2	28.1
1993	27.6	29.3	29.2	29.6	24.1	28.4	28.2	28.8	32.2	32.1
1994	32.0	37.7	36.1	38.2	29.1	34.6	33.1	36.0	41.1	40.7
1995	25.0	35.7	33.3	36.9	35.0	33.2	33.6	34.6	41.3	40.9
1996	34.5	37.3	36.7	37.9	32.0	35.0	34.4	36.5	42.4	41.9
1997	39.2	36.9	37.5	38.0	34.7	35.9	35.7	36.6	43.7	42.9
1998	40.8	36.6	37.2	36.5	35.7	36.5	36.3	35.7	41.0	39.9
1999	35.4	40.2	38.8	40.6	37.4	41.7	40.7	41.9	46.3	45.1
2000	39.4	42.3	41.7	44.1	45.7	42.5	43.4	43.1	47.4	46.3
Underserved Areas										
1992				18.5				19.2	20.8	20.7
1993	22.1	19.2	19.6	18.1	19.5	19.3	19.3	18.4	20.2	20.1
1994	23.3	24.4	24.0	23.2	20.3	22.0	21.5	21.5	25.8	25.5
1995	26.4	24.4	24.9	23.5	23.6	20.8	21.5	20.2	26.9	26.4
1996	23.1	22.9	23.0	22.6	21.5	20.7	20.9	20.5	26.7	26.0
1997	28.9	21.7	23.6	21.8	23.2	21.2	21.6	20.1	27.8	26.7
1998	25.8	20.3	21.2	19.7	22.6	20.7	20.9	18.4	24.8	23.7
1999	21.3	22.0	21.8	21.8	23.7	23.4	23.5	21.2	28.2	26.9
2000	26.9	24.7	25.3	24.4	25.7	24.1	24.7	22.5	30.3	28.9

#### Annual Trends in GSE Purchases and Single-Family Lending in Metropolitan Areas Goal-Qualifying Home Purchase and Refinance Mortgages, 1992-2000

Notes: The Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac data for their purchases of "Prior Year" mortgages, "Current Year" mortgages, and "All" mortgages are from the loan-level data that they provide to HUD. All mortgages are conventional conforming home purchase and refinance mortgages. The "HMDA Data for (GSE)" are those mortgages that HMDA identifies as being sold to the GSEs. The Conforming Market data are from HMDA data. Because HMDA data indicate owner-occupancy but do not include the number of units in the property, the analysis is based on numbers of *mortgages* for 1 to 4-family *owner-occupied* official goal performance is based on number of *units* in owner and *investor* properties. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for the purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special affordable analyses. In both the GSE and market analyses, mortgages classified as special affordable include mortgages from very-low-income borrowers and low-income borrowers living in low-income census tracts. Because missing value percentages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses.

<sup>1</sup> It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market. For further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update*, Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

#### Table 2b

	Fanni	e Mae Data		HMDA Data	Fre	ddie Mac Dat	ta	HMDA Data	Conformi	ng Market
	Prior	Current		for	Prior	Current		for		W/O B&C
	Year	Year	All	Fannie Mae	Year	Year	All	Freddie Mac	All	Loans <sup>1</sup>
Very Low-Income										
1992				5.2 %				5.3 %	8.7 %	8.7 %
1993	6.5 %	6.7 %	6.7 %	7.4	4.2 %	6.2 %	6.0 %	6.6	10.8	10.8
1994	7.9	8.9	8.7	9.4	6.4	6.8	6.7	7.8	11.9	11.9
1995	11.2	8.9	9.3	8.5	7.7	6.8	7.0	7.5	12.0	12.0
1996	8.8	8.4	8.5	8.7	7.2	7.4	7.4	8.0	12.7	12.7
1997	13.4	8.7	9.9	8.8	7.5	7.6	7.6	8.0	13.0	13.0
1998	15.1	10.5	11.4	9.2	10.1	9.8	9.9	8.4	13.3	13.2
1999	10.4	10.9	10.8	10.9	11.3	10.9	11.0	11.2	15.0	14.7
2000	11.4	11.3	11.3	11.8	15.3	11.4	12.5	11.6	14.7	14.4
Special Affordable										
1992				6.3				6.5	10.4	10.4
1993	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.8	5.1	7.4	7.2	7.8	12.6	12.6
1994	9.5	10.8	10.6	11.4	7.6	8.1	8.0	9.2	14.1	14.1
1995	13.2	10.8	11.2	10.5	9.1	8.2	8.3	8.9	14.4	14.4
1996	10.6	10.2	10.3	10.5	8.4	8.7	8.7	9.4	15.0	15.0
1997	16.0	10.2	11.7	10.5	9.2	9.0	9.0	9.4	15.3	15.2
1998	17.9	12.1	13.2	10.7	11.8	11.2	11.3	9.7	15.6	15.4
1999	12.1	12.4	12.3	12.5	12.9	12.5	12.5	12.6	17.3	17.0
2000	13.4	13.0	13.0	13.7	17.6	13.0	14.3	13.3	17.1	16.8
Less Than Area										
Median Income										
1992				29.2				28.7	34.4	34.4
1993	30.4	33.5	33.2	35.0	24.9	32.1	31.6	32.3	38.9	38.9
1994	35.6	38.9	38.3	40.1	31.5	33.6	33.2	35.6	41.8	41.8
1995	38.6	37.7	37.8	37.1	33.6	32.1	32.4	33.9	41.4	41.4
1996	35.5	36.8	36.5	37.7	31.8	33.5	33.2	35.3	42.2	42.2
1997	41.1	36.4	37.6	37.5	33.7	34.2	34.1	35.4	42.5	42.5
1998	45.3	39.2	40.4	38.1	36.1	37.0	36.9	36.2	43.0	42.8
1999	37.5	39.9	39.3	40.2	38.7	40.3	40.0	41.0	45.2	44.8
2000	39.1	40.3	40.0	42.0	46.4	39.9	41.7	41.3	44.8	44.4
Underserved Areas										
1992				18.3				18.6	22.2	22.2
1993	23.8	19.4	20.3	18.2	19.5	18.2	18.4	17.6	21.9	21.9
1994	26.6	23.6	24.3	22.5	21.0	19.4	19.7	19.2	24.4	24.3
1995	27.4	23.9	24.7	22.8	22.6	19.4	20.1	19.1	25.5	25.4
1996	23.4	21.9	22.3	21.6	22.3	19.1	19.7	19.0	25.0	24.9
1997	30.1	20.8	23.5	21.0	22.2	19.3	19.9	18.6	25.2	24.9
1998	28.4	21.0	22.9	19.6	22.0	19.5	20.0	17.4	24.6	24.2
1999	22.0	20.2	20.6	20.3	23.4	20.6	21.2	19.3	25.8	25.2
2000	26.7	22.6	23.6	22.5	23.9	21.4	22.2	20.9	27.1	26.4

#### Annual Trends in GSE Purchases and Single-Family Lending in Metropolitan Areas Goal-Qualifying Home Purchase Mortgages, 1992-2000

Notes: The Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac data for their purchases of "Prior Year" mortgages, "Current Year" mortgages, and "All" mortgages are from the loan-level data that they provide to HUD. All mortgages are conventional conforming home purchase mortgages. The "HMDA Data for (GSE)" are those mortgages that HMDA identifies as being sold to the GSEs. The Conforming Market data are from HMDA data. Because HMDA data indicate owner-occupancy but do not include the number of units in the property, the analysis is based on numbers of *mortgages* for 1 to 4-family *owner-occupied* properties even though official goal performance is based on number of *units* in owner and *investor* properties. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for the purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special affordable analyses. In both the GSE and market analyses, mortgages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses.

<sup>1</sup> It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market. The adjustment for home purchase loans is small because subprime (B&C) loans are mainly refinance loans. For further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update*, Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

#### Table 3a

					Conventio	onal Conformir	o Market		
					Conventio	Deposi	tories	Confor	ning Market
Borrower Characteristics	Total Market	FHA	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Both GSEs	Total	Portfolio	Total	W/O B&C <sup>2</sup>
Low-Income:									
1997	31.1 %	$47.1 \%^{1}$	20.5 %	22.3 %	21.6 %	26.0 %	28.0 %	28.1 %	27.4 %
1998	28.0	48.2	21.6	22.2	21.9	24.0	26.2	25.7	24.8
1999	33.2	49.0	25.2	23.9	24.4	29.3	28.1	30.9	29.8
2000	34.4	48.6	28.6	26.4	27.4	31.4	29.0	32.1	31.0
African American:									
1997	8.0	14.2	3.2	4.1	3.7	4.8	4.8	6.3	5.6
1998	6.5	13.7	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.9	4.4	5.0	4.3
1999	8.0	14.8	3.7	3.4	3.5	5.4	5.1	6.5	5.7
2000	8.9	15.6	4.7	4.4	4.5	6.6	5.8	7.4	6.5
Hispanic:									
1997	7.4	17.8	4.8	5.7	5.3	4.7	4.6	5.4	5.3
1998	6.7	17.2	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.5	5.2	5.0
1999	8.6	18.7	5.6	5.6	5.6	6.1	6.1	6.8	6.7
2000	10.2	20.4	6.7	7.9	7.4	7.6	7.2	8.3	8.1
Minority:									
1997	20.1	35.6	13.1	16.2	14.9	14.4	13.4	16.7	16.0
1998	18.0	34.8	12.2	13.8	13.1	13.2	13.1	15.3	14.5
1999	21.8	37.3	15.1	16.3	15.8	16.9	16.1	19.0	18.0
2000	24.7	40.0	17.3	19.4	18.4	20.2	18.6	21.7	20.6
Neighborhood Characteristics									
Low-Income Tract:									
1997	13.6 %	18.9 %	8.6 %	9.5 %	9.1 %	10.9 %	11.9 %	12.7 %	11.9 %
1998	11.5	17.4	8.1	8.2	8.1	9.5	10.9	10.7	10.0
1999	13.4	17.9	9.2	8.5	8.8	11.8	12.0	12.8	11.9
2000	14.7	19.2	10.4	10.5	10.5	13.5	13.1	14.0	13.1
High-Minority Tract:									
1997	17.8	27.5	11.8	14.9	13.7	13.0	12.2	15.9	14.9
1998	16.2	27.2	11.5	12.9	12.3	12.2	12.2	14.5	13.6
1999	18.3	26.6	14.2	13.6	13.8	15.0	13.8	17.0	15.9
2000	19.5	26.8	14.3	15.8	15.1	17.0	15.4	18.3	17.2
High African-American Tract:									
1997	7.1	9.7	3.5	4.5	4.1	4.9	5.1	6.6	5.9
1998	5.8	9.1	3.6	3.3	3.4	4.2	4.7	5.3	4.6
1999	6.9	9.3	4.0	3.5	3.7	5.6	5.1	6.6	5.7
2000	7.5	9.6	4.9	4.3	4.6	6.6	5.6	7.2	6.3
Underserved Areas:									
1997	30.0	41.1	21.5	23.6	22.8	25.0	26.5	27.8	26.7
1998	26.8	39.9	20.9	21.2	21.1	22.6	25.0	24.8	23.7
1999	30.0	40.4	23.5	21.8	22.5	26.4	26.6	28.2	26.9
2000	32.0	42.2	24.7	25.3	25.0	29.2	28.5	30.3	28.9

#### Affordable Lending Shares for Major Sectors of the Mortgage Market in Metropolitan Areas Home Purchase and Refinance Mortgages, 1997-2000

Notes: The FHA, depositories, and market percentages are derived from 1997-2000 HMDA data. The GSE percentages are derived from the loan-level data that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac provide to HUD. The GSE data include conventional loans purchased during 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000; thus, these data include their purchases of seasoned loans (i.e., mortgages originated prior to 1997 or 1998 or 1999 or 2000) as well as their purchases of mortgages originated during 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000; The "Total Market" combines the government sector (FHA and VA loans) and the conventional conforming market. Thus, it includes all loans except "jumbo" loans above the conforming loan limit which was \$252,700 in 2000. "Total Depositories" data are loans originated by HMDA reporters regulated by FDIC, OTS, OCC, FRB, and The National Credit Union Administration; they consist mainly of banks, thrifts, and their subsidieries. The "Portfolio Depositories" data refer to new originations that are not sold by banks and thrift institutions during 1997-2000 and thus are retained in depository portfolios. Because HMDA data indicate owner-occupancy but do not include the number of units in the property, the analysis is based on numbers of mortgages for 1 to 4-family owner-occupied properties even though official goal performance is based on number of units in owner and investor properties. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for the purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special affordable analyses. Because missing value percentages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses.

<sup>1</sup> Each percentage represents the share of a sector's portfolio accounted for by the borrower or neighborhood characteristic. For example, 47.1 percent of FHA-insured home loans were loans for low-income borrowers. It should be noted that due to FHA's streamline refinance program, borrower income data were not available for almost 70 percent of FHA's refinance loans.

<sup>2</sup> HMDA-based market shares have been adjusted to exclude the B&C portion of the subprime market. It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market; for further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update*, Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

#### Table 3b

#### Affordable Lending Shares for Major Sectors of the Mortgage Market in Metropolitan Areas Home Purchase Mortgages, 1997-2000

					Convent	tional Conformin	g Market		
						Deposit	ories	Conform	ning Market
Borrower Characteristics	Total Market	FHA	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Both GSEs	Total	Portfolio	Total	W/O B&C 2
Low-Income:									
1997	32.1 %	$47.6 \%^{1}$	19.2 %	22.6 %	21.2 %	26.0 %	29.2 %	27.3 %	27.3 %
1998	32.3	49.1	22.3	25.0	23.9	26.4	29.1	27.8	27.6
1999	34.4	49.5	24.5	24.3	24.4	29.2	28.5	30.1	29.8
2000	33.8	48.7	27.1	24.9	25.9	29.7	28.7	29.8	29.4
A frican Amarican:									
1007	7 8	14.4	2.2	4.5	4.0	4.4	47	5.1	4.0
1997	7.0	14.4	2.2	4.5	4.0	4.4	4.7	3.1	4.9
1998	7.4	14.2	3.2	3.8	3.0	4.1	4.8	4.9	4.0
1999	7.9	14.6	3.5	3.4	3.4	4./	4./	5.4	5.0
2000	8.3	15.5	4.3	4.1	4.2	5.4	5.0	5.9	5.4
Hispanic:									
1997	8.7	17.8	5.1	6.3	5.8	5.2	5.1	5.9	5.8
1998	8.6	18.6	4.7	6.0	5.5	5.3	5.5	6.1	5.9
1999	9.7	19.3	5.5	6.0	5.8	6.5	6.6	7.1	6.9
2000	10.9	20.7	6.6	8.0	7.3	7.9	7.7	8.3	8.1
Minority:									
1997	21.4	35.8	14.0	17.7	16.2	15.3	14.6	16.5	16.3
1998	21.0	36.5	13.1	16.3	15.0	15.0	15.2	16.6	16.1
1999	23.4	37.7	14.9	17.3	16.3	17.7	17.3	19.0	18.4
2000	25.4	40.2	17.4	20.1	18.9	20.4	19.6	21.2	20.4
Neighborhood Characteristics									
Low-Income Tract:									
1997	12.8 %	191%	79%	95%	89%	10.2 %	12.0 %	10.9	10.8 %
1998	12.3	18.7	7.9	9.4	8.8	9.8	12.0 /0	10.7	10.0 /0
1990	12.5	18.2	8.4	8.1	8.2	10.8	11.6	11.3	10.4
2000	13.4	19.2	9.1	9.6	9.4	11.9	12.4	12.0	11.5
High-Minority Tract:									
1997	17.3	27.1	11.4	15.3	13.8	12.5	12.2	14.1	13.9
1998	16.9	27.0	10.8	14.0	12.7	12.2	12.6	14.1	13.6
1999	17.5	26.0	12.3	12.7	12.5	13.9	13.5	15.1	14.6
2000	18.5	26.5	12.7	15.1	14.1	15.7	14.9	16.4	15.8
High African-American Tract:									
1997	5.8	9.6	3.0	4.8	4.1	4.1	4.7	4.6	4.5
1998	5.5	9.2	3.2	3.8	3.6	3.9	4.7	4.5	4.3
1999	5.7	8.9	3.4	3.0	3.2	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.4
2000	6.0	9.4	3.8	3.6	3.7	5.0	4.8	5.1	4.8
Underserved Areas									
1997	29.1	41.3	19.9	23.5	22.1	23.6	263	25.2	24.9
1998	28.2	41.2	20.0	22.0	21.7	22.7	26.1	24.6	24.2
1999	29.1	40.5	21.2	20.6	20.9	24.6	25.6	25.8	25.2
2000	30.3	42.1	21.2	23.6	23.0	26.7	27.1	27.1	26.4
2000	50.5	74.1		20.0	20.0	20.7	201.1	201.1	20.4

Notes: All the data are for home purchase mortgages. The FHA, depositories, and market percentages are derived from 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000 HMDA data. The GSE percentages are derived from the loan-level data that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac provide to HUD. The GSE data include conventional home loans purchased during 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000; thus, these data include their purchases of seasoned loans (i.e., mortgages originated prior to 1997 or 1998 or 1999 or 2000) as well as their purchases of mortgages originated prior to 1997 or 1998 or 1999 or 2000; as well as their purchases of mortgages originated during 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000. The "Total Market" combines the government sector (FHA and VA loans) and the conventional conforming market. Thus, it includes all loans except "jumbo" loans by the conforming loan limit which was \$252,700 in 2000. "Total Depositories" data are loans originated by HMDA reporters regulated by FDIC, OTS, OCC, FRB, and The National Credit Union Administration; they consist mainly of banks, thrifts, and their subsidieries. The "Portfolio Depositories" data refer to new originations that are not sold by banks and thrift institutions during 1997-2000 and thus are retained in depository portfolios. Because HMDA data indicate owner-occupancy but do not include the number of units in the property, the analysis is based on numbers of mortgages for 1 to 4-family owner-occupied properties even though official goal performance is based on number of units in owner and investor properties. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for the purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special alfordable analyses. Because missing value percentages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses.

<sup>1</sup> Each percentage represents the share of a sector's portfolio accounted for by the borrower or neighborhood characteristic. For example, 47.5 percent of FHA-insured home loans were loans for low-income borrowers.

<sup>2</sup> HMDA-based market shares have been adjusted to exclude the B&C portion of the subprime market. It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market. The adjustment for home purchase loans is samll because subprime (B&C) loans are mainly refinance loans. For further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update,* Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

# Table 4-1999

# Number of Units Financed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Mortgage Purchases Originated During 1999

	Single-Family Owner	Single-Family Rental	Small Multifamily	Large Multifamily	Total
<u>Total Units</u>					
Fannie Mae	2,032,971	171,469	8,586	235,161	2,448,187
Freddie Mac	1,728,075	123,223	4,188	187,985	2,043,471
Low-Mod Units					
Fannie Mae	776,489	127,794	7,403	223,553	1,135,239
Freddie Mac	684,361	105,862	3,352	170,811	964,386
Underserved Area Units					
Fannie Mae	483,490	82,415	4,637	94,888	665,430
Freddie Mac	434,537	58,641	2,113	65,073	560,364
Special Affordable Units					
Fannie Mae	243,662	67,253	4,656	137,433	453,004
Freddie Mac	229,296	56,752	1,646	85,658	373,352

Source: Loan-level data that the GSEs provide to HUD, covering financed units from conventional mortgages originated during 1999 and purchased by one of the GSEs during 1999-2000. Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

# Table 4-1998

# Number of Units Financed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Mortgage Purchases Originated During 1998

	Single-Family Owner	Single-Family Rental	Small Multifamily	Large Multifamily	Total
Total Units					
Fannie Mae	3,188,333	189,902	11,395	270,968	3,660,598
Freddie Mac	2,515,100	117,995	8,561	204,920	2,846,576
Low-Mod Units					
Fannie Mae	1,115,416	145,449	9,216	250,218	1,520,299
Freddie Mac	882,728	102,706	7,801	195,109	1,188,344
Underserved Area Units					
Fannie Mae	704,802	86,367	5,075	109,610	905,854
Freddie Mac	581,500	53,627	4,851	85,456	725,434
Special Affordable Units					
Fannie Mae	318,283	72,485	4,191	132,680	527,639
Freddie Mac	264,835	55,224	4,616	108,307	432,982

Source: Loan-level data that the GSEs provide to HUD, covering financed units from conventional mortgages originated during 1998 and purchased by one of the GSEs during 1998-1999. Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

### Table 5a-2000

		Type of Mortgage		
Goals*	Purchase	Refinance, Second and Other**	All	
(1) Low- and Moderate-Income	423,319 67.2 %	206,915 32.8 %	630,234 100.0 %	
(2) Special Affordable (Total)	129,539	68,335	197,874	
Low-Income Families	65.5 %	34.5 %	100.0 %	
(But Not Very Low-Income)	15,139	9,324	24,463	
In Low-Income Areas	61.9 %	38.1 %	100.0 %	
Very Low-Income Families	18,921	12,734	31,655	
In Low-Income Areas	59.8 %	40.2 %	100.0 %	
Very Low-Income Families	95,479	46,277	141,756	
Not In Low-Income Areas	67.4 %	32.6 %	100.0 %	
(3) Underserved Areas	270,158	158,212	428,370	
	63.1 %	36.9 %	100.0 %	
Served Areas	851,271	355,622	1,206,893	
	70.5 %	29.5 %	100.0 %	
(4) All Mortgages	1,204,792	525,228	1,730,020	
	69.6 %	30.4 %	100.0 %	
Mortgagor's Income***				
Income No More Than	71,026	37,852	108,878	
50% of Area Median Income	5.9 %	7.2 %	6.3 %	
Income More Than 50% But No	57,577	28,438	86,015	
More Than 60% of Area Median Income	4.8 %	5.4 %	5.0 %	
Income More Than 60% But No	148,758	70,027	218,785	
More Than 80% of Area Median Income	12.3 %	13.3 %	12.6 %	
Income More Than 80% But No	164,556	72,356	236,912	
More Than 100% of Area Median Income	13.7 %	13.8 %	13.7 %	
Income More Than 100% But No	155,894	65,814	221,708	
More Than 120% of Area Median Income	12.9 %	12.5 %	12.8 %	
Income More Than 120% of	511,488	197,677	709,165	
Area Median Income	42.5 %	37.6 %	41.0 %	
Missing	95,493	53,064	148,557	
	7.9 %	10.1 %	8.6 %	
Total	1,204,792	525,228	1,730,020	
	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	

## Fannie Mae's Single-Family Owner-Occupied 1-Unit Mortgage Purchases by Housing Goal and Mortgagor's Income, 2000 (In Units)

Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

\* Units may count toward more than one category.

\*\* Includes missing and not applicable

#### Table 5b-2000

	Type of Mortgage					
		Refinance,				
Goals*	Purchase	Second and Other**	All			
(1) Low- and Moderate-Income	345 245	187 405	532,650			
	64.8 %	35.2% %	100.0 %			
(2) Special Affordable (Total)	117,639	72,490	190,129			
	61.9 %	38.1% %	100.0 %			
Low-Income Families	1 4 9 9 9	0.025	<b>2</b> 4 4 <b>5</b> 0			
(But Not Very Low-Income)	14,233	9,937	24,170			
In Low-Income Areas	58.9 %	41.1% %	100.0 %			
Very Low-Income Families	18,710	15,321	34,031			
In Low-Income Areas	55.0 %	45.0% %	100.0 %			
Very Low-Income Families	84,696	47,231	131,927			
Not In Low-Income Areas	64.2 %	35.8% %	100.0 %			
(3) Underserved Areas	198,218	140,232	338,450			
	58.6 %	41.4% %	100.0 %			
Served Areas	660,988	300.180	961.168			
	68.8 %	31.2% %	100.0 %			
(4) All Mortgages	923.095	451.800	1.374.895			
	67.1 %	32.9% %	100.0 %			
Mortgagor's Income***						
Income No More Than	55.631	36.443	92.074			
50% of Area Median Income	6.0 %	8.1 %	6.7 %			
Income More Than 50% But No	49.065	26.427	75.492			
More Than 60% of Area Median Income	5.3 %	5.8 %	5.5 %			
Income More Than 60% But No	123 482	62.445	185 927			
More Than 80% of Area Median Income	13.4 %	13.8 %	13.5 %			
Income More Than 80% But No	124.817	63,195	188.012			
More Than 100% of Area Median Income	13.5 %	14.0 %	13.7 %			
Income More Than 100% But No	116,290	55,804	172,094			
More Than 120% of Area Median Income	12.6 %	12.4 %	12.5 %			
Income More Than 120% of	391,799	169,772	561,571			
Area Median Income	42.4 %	37.6 %	40.8 %			
Missing	62,010	37,714	99,724			
J	6.7 %	8.3 %	7.3 %			
Total	923,095 100.0 %	451,800 100.0 %	1,374,895 100.0 %			

## Freddie Mac's Single-Family Owner-Occupied 1-Unit Mortgage Purchases by Housing Goal and Mortgagor's Income, 2000 (In Units)

Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

\* Units may count toward more than one category.

\*\* Includes missing and not applicable

#### Table 5a-1999

	Type of Mortgage						
		Refinance,					
Goals*	Purchase	Second and Other**	All				
(1) Low- and Moderate-Income	415,740	494,778	910,518				
	45.7 %	54.3 %	100.0 %				
(2) Special Affordable (Total)	128.929	147,110	276.039				
	46.7 %	53.3 %	100.0 %				
Low-Income Families							
(But Not Very Low-Income)	14,315	19,525	33,840				
In Low-Income Areas	42.3 %	57.7 %	100.0 %				
Very Low-Income Families	17,717	21,932	39,649				
In Low-Income Areas	44.7 %	55.3 %	100.0 %				
Very Low-Income Families	96.896	105.653	202,549				
Not In Low-Income Areas	47.8 %	52.2 %	100.0 %				
(3) Underserved Areas	233.938	328.386	562.324				
	41.6 %	58.4 %	100.0 %				
	11.0 /0	00.170	100.0 /0				
Served Areas	841,274	1,019,605	1,860,879				
	45.2 %	54.8 %	100.0 %				
(4) All Mortgages	1.181.159	1.385.381	2.566.540				
	46.0 %	54.0 %	100.0 %				
Mortgagor's Income***							
Income No More Then	70.802	72.014	142 717				
Income No More I nan	/0,803	/2,914	143,/1/				
50% of Area Median Income	0.0 %	5.5 %	5.0 %				
Income More Than 50% But No	58,321	62,907	121,228				
More Than 60% of Area Median Income	4.9 %	4.5 %	4.7 %				
Income More Than 60% But No	152,695	170,849	323,544				
More Than 80% of Area Median Income	12.9 %	12.3 %	12.6 %				
Income More Than 80% But No	169,681	195,780	365,461				
More Than 100% of Area Median Income	14.4 %	14.1 %	14.2 %				
Income More Than 100% But No	163.757	191.036	354,793				
More Than 120% of Area Median Income	13.9 %	13.8 %	13.8 %				
Income More Than 120% of	515 523	645 091	1 160 614				
Area Median Income	43.6 %	46.6 %	45.2 %				
Missing	50 379	46 804	97 183				
	4.3 %	3.4 %	3.8 %				
Total	1 181 150	1 385 381	2 566 540				
1 Otai	1,101,139	1,203,301	100.0 %				

## Fannie Mae's Single-Family Owner-Occupied 1-Unit Mortgage Purchases by Housing Goal and Mortgagor's Income, 1999 (In Units)

Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

\* Units may count toward more than one category.

\*\* Includes missing and not applicable

### Table 5b-1999

	Type of Mortgage					
Cools*	Durchase	Refinance,	A 11			
Goais	Fulchase	Second and Other	All			
(1) Low- and Moderate-Income	295,289	425,241	720,530			
	41.0 %	59.0 %	100.0 %			
(2) Special Affordable (Total)	93,397	138,590	231,987			
· · ·	40.3 %	59.7 %	100.0 %			
Low-Income Families	11.107	10,400	20.507			
(But Not Very Low-Income)	11,107	18,490	29,597			
In Low-Income Areas	57.5 70	02.5 70	100.0 /0			
Very Low-Income Families	13,069	22,692	35,761			
In Low-Income Areas	36.5 %	63.5 %	100.0 %			
Very Low-Income Families	69 221	97 409	166 630			
Not In Low-Income Areas	41.5 %	58.5 %	100.0 %			
(3) Underserved Areas	177,568	293,640	471,208			
	57.7 %	02.3 %	100.0 %			
Served Areas	609,297	808,473	1,417,770			
	43.0 %	57.0 %	100.0 %			
(4) All Mortgages	848 612	1 121 071	1 969 683			
(+) All Moltgages	43.1 %	56.9 %	100.0 %			
Mortgagor's Income***						
Income No More Than	44.074	65.826	109.900			
50% of Area Median Income	5.2 %	5.9 %	5.6 %			
	20.501	54.005	04.450			
Income More Than 50% But No	39,591	54,887	94,478			
More Than 60% of Area Median Income	4.7 70	4.9 /0	4.8 /0			
Income More Than 60% But No	103,298	143,899	247,197			
More Than 80% of Area Median Income	12.2 %	12.8 %	12.6 %			
Income More Than 80% But No	117 535	162 818	280 353			
More Than 100% of Area Median Income	13.9 %	14.5 %	14.2 %			
Income More Than 100% But No	110,201	150,977	261,178			
More Than 120% of Area Median Income	13.0 %	13.5 %	13.3 %			
Income More Than 120% of	355,836	491,860	847,696			
Area Median Income	41.9 %	43.9 %	43.0 %			
Missing	78 077	50.804	128 881			
mong	9.2 %	4.5 %	6.5 %			
Total	848,612	1,121,071	1,969,683			
	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %			

### Freddie Mac's Single-Family Owner-Occupied 1-Unit Mortgage Purchases by Housing Goal and Mortgagor's Income, 1999 (In Units)

Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

\* Units may count toward more than one category.

\*\* Includes missing and not applicable

## Table 6

# Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Acquisitions of Single-Family and Multifamily Units in Underserved Metropolitan and Non-metropolitan Areas in 1998, 1999, and 2000

		Fannie Mae		Freddie Mac			
	1998	1999	2000	1998	1999	2000	
Metropolitan							
Number of Underserved Units	799,426	670,901	595,595	548,510	505,716	392,230	
Percent of Total Units	25.5 %	25.4 %	30.1 %	24.2 %	26.2 %	27.9 %	
Total	3,132,066	2,637,508	1,975,505	2,265,333	1,930,976	1,404,797	
Non-metropolitan							
Number of Underserved Units	158,806	120,693	85,170	145,237	112,669	74,628	
Percent of Total Units	38.6 %	38.1 %	39.0 %	36.9 %	37.7 %	39.0 %	
Total	410,911	316,855	218,434	393,120	298,964	191,406	
Overall							
Number of Underserved Units	958,232	791,594	680,765	693,747	618,385	466,858	
Percent of Total Units	27.0 %	26.8 %	31.0 %	26.1 %	27.5 %	29.2 %	
Total Eligible*	3,546,302	2,956,155	2,195,321	2,658,556	2,245,087	1,600,684	

\* Overall totals may exceed the metro/nonmetro sum due to units in unidentified census tracts.

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

### Table 7a-2000

### Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Metropolitan Areas, 2000

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Tota	al
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served
Number of Loans	376,125	1,130,804	286,196	882,093	662,321	2,012,897
Loan Purpose						
Home Purchase	66.7%	72.3%	62.5%	70.8%	64.9%	71.6%
All Other	33.3%	27.7%	37.5%	29.2%	35.1%	28.4%
Prior/Current Year Origination						
Prior Year	30.0%	26.9%	37.2%	34.0%	33.1%	30.0%
Current Year	70.0%	73.1%	62.8%	66.0%	66.9%	70.0%
Loan-to-Value Ratio						
60% or Less	14.4%	17.7%	14.2%	18.2%	14.4%	17.9%
61-80%	50.1%	51.7%	46.6%	50.1%	48.6%	51.0%
81-90%	16.0%	14.5%	15.9%	14.2%	16.0%	14.4%
91-95%	14.3%	13.2%	15.9%	14.1%	15.0%	13.6%
Over 95%	5.0%	2.9%	7.3%	3.4%	6.0%	3.1%
Income of Borrower(s)						
60% of Area Median or Below	20.4%	10.1%	23.5%	10.4%	21.8%	10.3%
61-100% of Median	34.4%	27.6%	35.8%	27.9%	35.0%	27.7%
Over 100% of Median	45.1%	62.3%	40.7%	61.7%	43.2%	62.0%
Below Area Median	54.9%	37.7%	59.3%	38.3%	56.8%	38.0%
First-Time Home Buyer	18.9%	16.8%	17.4%	16.0%	18.3%	16.4%
Race/National Origin of Borrower						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
Asian or Pacific Islander	6.7%	4.1%	5.3%	3.7%	6.1%	3.9%
African American	9.1%	2.9%	9.9%	3.0%	9.5%	2.9%
Hispanic	17.0%	4.5%	14.5%	4.0%	15.9%	4.3%
White	64.9%	86.2%	68.3%	87.5%	66.4%	86.8%
Other	1.9%	1.9%	1.5%	1.4%	1.7%	1.6%
Age of Borrower						
Under 30	15.6%	14.3%	17.2%	15.1%	16.2%	14.6%
30-39	30.6%	33.3%	31.3%	34.2%	30.9%	33.7%
40 and Over	53.7%	52.4%	51.5%	50.7%	52.9%	51.7%
Gender of Borrower(s)						
All Male	27.3%	22.2%	27.2%	21.6%	27.3%	21.9%
All Female	24.7%	19.6%	24.2%	18.9%	24.5%	19.3%
Male and Female	47.9%	58.2%	48.6%	59.6%	48.2%	58.8%

Source: GSE loan-level data on mortgages on owner-occupied one-unit properties. Percentages are relative to numbers

### Table 7b-2000

### Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Non-Metropolitan Areas, 2000

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	88,191	130,699	80,425	118,697	168,616	249,396	
Loan Purpose							
Home Purchase	57.5%	63.7%	53.5%	60.1%	55.6%	62.0%	
All Other	42.5%	36.3%	46.5%	39.9%	44.4%	38.0%	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	22.6%	24.9%	32.8%	29.3%	27.5%	27.0%	
Current Year	77.4%	75.1%	67.2%	70.7%	72.5%	73.0%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	16.1%	17.6%	17.3%	18.3%	16.7%	18.0%	
61-80%	50.4%	50.7%	50.5%	50.8%	50.5%	50.8%	
81-90%	15.9%	14.9%	15.9%	14.5%	15.9%	14.7%	
91-95%	14.1%	13.3%	13.0%	13.1%	13.6%	13.2%	
Over 95%	3.4%	3.5%	3.3%	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	9.5%	10.9%	10.4%	11.0%	9.9%	11.0%	
61-100% of Median	23.7%	27.4%	25.4%	27.9%	24.5%	27.6%	
Over 100% of Median	66.8%	61.6%	64.2%	61.1%	65.6%	61.4%	
Below Area Median	33.2%	38.4%	35.8%	38.9%	34.4%	38.6%	
First-Time Home Buyer	12.1%	13.4%	10.0%	12.5%	11.1%	13.0%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.6%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	1.0%	0.7%	
African American	2.9%	1.1%	2.6%	1.2%	2.7%	1.2%	
Hispanic	4.2%	1.9%	3.4%	1.9%	3.8%	1.9%	
White	90.2%	95.0%	91.7%	95.0%	91.0%	95.0%	
Other	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	13.6%	14.6%	14.4%	15.4%	14.0%	15.0%	
30-39	27.6%	29.7%	27.3%	29.9%	27.5%	29.8%	
40 and Over	58.7%	55.8%	58.3%	54.7%	58.5%	55.3%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	20.8%	21.2%	19.6%	20.1%	20.2%	20.7%	
All Female	15.5%	15.7%	15.0%	15.4%	15.3%	15.6%	
Male and Female	63.7%	63.1%	65.5%	64.5%	64.5%	63.8%	

Source: GSE loan-level data on mortgages on owner-occupied one-unit properties. Percentages are relative to numbers

### Table 7c-2000

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	250,974	817,048	178,872	624,503	429,846	1,441,551	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	29.4%	23.8%	34.7%	29.6%	31.6%	26.3%	
Current Year	70.6%	76.2%	65.3%	70.4%	68.4%	73.7%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	9.3%	13.2%	8.4%	13.5%	8.9%	13.3%	
61-80%	45.2%	49.9%	39.9%	48.0%	43.0%	49.1%	
81-90%	17.6%	15.6%	16.1%	14.9%	17.0%	15.3%	
91-95%	20.9%	17.7%	24.2%	19.0%	22.3%	18.3%	
Over 95%	7.0%	3.5%	11.4%	4.7%	8.8%	4.0%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	19.3%	9.6%	22.0%	9.9%	20.4%	9.7%	
61-100% of Median	34.1%	27.1%	35.7%	27.5%	34.8%	27.2%	
Over 100% of Median	46.6%	63.3%	42.3%	62.7%	44.8%	63.0%	
Below Area Median	53.4%	36.7%	57.7%	37.3%	55.2%	37.0%	
First-Time Home Buyer	27.4%	22.3%	27.9%	22.6%	27.6%	22.4%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	7.8%	4.8%	6.1%	4.2%	7.1%	4.5%	
African American	8.3%	3.0%	8.5%	3.1%	8.3%	3.1%	
Hispanic	16.5%	5.0%	13.9%	4.4%	15.4%	4.7%	
White	65.0%	84.8%	69.6%	86.5%	67.0%	85.6%	
Other	2.1%	2.0%	1.5%	1.4%	1.8%	1.8%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	19.8%	17.3%	21.6%	18.2%	20.5%	17.7%	
30-39	33.0%	35.6%	33.2%	36.2%	33.1%	35.8%	
40 and Over	47.2%	47.1%	45.2%	45.6%	46.5%	46.5%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	28.5%	22.6%	29.2%	22.5%	28.8%	22.5%	
All Female	24.9%	19.8%	24.7%	19.3%	24.9%	19.6%	
Male and Female	46.5%	57.6%	46.1%	58.2%	46.3%	57.9%	

### Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Home Purchase Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Metropolitan Areas, 2000

Source: GSE loan-level data on mortgages on owner-occupied one-unit properties. Percentages are relative to numbers of mortgages in the top row. Missing data are excluded. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

### Table 7d-2000

### Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Home Purchase Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Non-Metropolitan Areas, 2000

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	50,742	83,192	43,061	71,392	93,803	154,584	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	21.4%	23.9%	28.7%	25.0%	24.7%	24.4%	
Current Year	78.6%	76.1%	71.3%	75.0%	75.3%	75.6%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	10.7%	13.0%	10.9%	12.6%	10.8%	12.8%	
61-80%	42.8%	45.6%	43.7%	45.9%	43.2%	45.7%	
81-90%	17.2%	16.2%	16.9%	15.6%	17.1%	15.9%	
91-95%	23.5%	20.1%	22.6%	20.4%	23.1%	20.2%	
Over 95%	5.8%	5.1%	5.9%	5.5%	5.8%	5.3%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	9.2%	10.4%	9.5%	10.3%	9.3%	10.4%	
61-100% of Median	22.7%	26.5%	24.3%	27.1%	23.4%	26.7%	
Over 100% of Median	68.1%	63.2%	66.3%	62.6%	67.2%	62.9%	
Below Area Median	31.9%	36.8%	33.7%	37.4%	32.8%	37.1%	
First-Time Home Buyer	20.5%	20.6%	18.7%	20.8%	19.7%	20.7%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.6%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	0.9%	
African American	2.7%	1.1%	2.2%	1.1%	2.5%	1.1%	
Hispanic	3.7%	2.2%	3.1%	2.3%	3.4%	2.3%	
White	90.7%	94.4%	92.2%	94.5%	91.4%	94.5%	
Other	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	16.2%	17.7%	17.5%	18.9%	16.8%	18.2%	
30-39	28.0%	30.7%	27.2%	30.7%	27.6%	30.7%	
40 and Over	55.8%	51.6%	55.3%	50.5%	55.6%	51.1%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	21.9%	21.6%	21.1%	21.3%	21.6%	21.4%	
All Female	16.4%	16.5%	16.2%	16.4%	16.3%	16.5%	
Male and Female	61.7%	61.9%	62.6%	62.4%	62.1%	62.1%	

Source: GSE loan-level data on mortgages on owner-occupied one-unit properties. Percentages are relative to numbers

### Table 7a-1999

### Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Metropolitan Areas, 1999

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	486,391	1,748,967	382,331	1,261,970	868,722	3,010,937	
Loan Purpose							
Home Purchase	45.4%	47.1%	40.4%	44.5%	43.2%	46.0%	
All Other	54.6%	52.9%	59.6%	55.5%	56.8%	54.0%	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	30.1%	30.2%	29.1%	27.6%	29.7%	29.1%	
Current Year	69.9%	69.8%	70.9%	72.4%	70.3%	70.9%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	15.9%	19.8%	17.1%	21.1%	16.5%	20.3%	
61-80%	52.6%	53.6%	53.7%	54.0%	53.1%	53.7%	
81-90%	15.2%	13.5%	15.0%	13.1%	15.1%	13.3%	
91-95%	12.2%	10.8%	10.8%	10.0%	11.6%	10.4%	
Over 95%	4.0%	2.3%	3.4%	1.9%	3.7%	2.1%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	19.0%	8.8%	19.3%	9.2%	19.2%	9.0%	
61-100% of Median	35.2%	26.4%	35.2%	27.4%	35.2%	26.8%	
Over 100% of Median	45.7%	64.7%	45.5%	63.4%	45.6%	64.2%	
Below Area Median	54.3%	35.3%	54.5%	36.6%	54.4%	35.8%	
First-Time Home Buyer	14.1%	11.2%	9.4%	9.3%	12.0%	10.4%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	7.1%	3.6%	6.0%	3.5%	6.6%	3.6%	
African American	7.8%	2.3%	8.1%	2.3%	7.9%	2.3%	
Hispanic	13.3%	3.4%	12.8%	3.4%	13.1%	3.4%	
White	68.8%	87.9%	71.3%	89.3%	69.9%	88.5%	
Other	2.6%	2.3%	1.4%	1.2%	2.0%	1.9%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	12.9%	11.2%	11.6%	10.5%	12.4%	10.9%	
30-39	30.8%	33.2%	30.4%	32.2%	30.6%	32.8%	
40 and Over	56.4%	55.6%	58.0%	57.3%	57.0%	56.2%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	24.5%	18.8%	22.0%	17.6%	23.4%	18.3%	
All Female	22.1%	16.7%	21.7%	17.3%	21.9%	16.9%	
Male and Female	53.4%	64.5%	56.3%	65.1%	54.6%	64.8%	

Source: GSE loan-level data on mortgages on owner-occupied one-unit properties. Percentages are relative to numbers

### Table 7b-1999

### Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Non-Metropolitan Areas, 1999

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	То	tal
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Served	Underserved
Number of Loans	127,905	197,533	119,287	184,143	247,192	381,676
Loan Purpose						
Home Purchase	40.5%	41.2%	39.2%	39.7%	39.9%	40.5%
All Other	59.5%	58.8%	60.8%	60.3%	60.1%	59.5%
Prior/Current Year Origination						
Prior Year	26.8%	27.2%	26.7%	25.6%	26.8%	26.4%
Current Year	73.2%	72.8%	73.3%	74.4%	73.2%	73.6%
Loan-to-Value Ratio						
60% or Less	18.3%	19.9%	19.4%	21.1%	18.8%	20.5%
61-80%	53.8%	54.0%	55.0%	55.2%	54.4%	54.6%
81-90%	14.6%	13.1%	13.8%	12.3%	14.2%	12.7%
91-95%	10.7%	10.2%	9.6%	9.1%	10.2%	9.7%
Over 95%	2.7%	2.7%	2.2%	2.2%	2.5%	2.5%
Income of Borrower(s)						
60% of Area Median or Below	8.0%	9.2%	8.5%	9.6%	8.2%	9.4%
61-100% of Median	22.8%	26.5%	24.4%	27.1%	23.6%	26.8%
Over 100% of Median	69.2%	64.3%	67.0%	63.2%	68.2%	63.8%
Below Area Median	30.8%	35.7%	33.0%	36.8%	31.8%	36.2%
First-Time Home Buyer	7.8%	8.5%	6.5%	7.9%	7.2%	8.2%
Race/National Origin of Borrower						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.4%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	1.1%	0.6%
African American	2.7%	1.0%	2.3%	0.9%	2.5%	0.9%
Hispanic	3.1%	1.3%	2.8%	1.3%	3.0%	1.3%
White	90.9%	95.6%	93.1%	96.4%	92.0%	96.0%
Other	1.1%	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.9%
Age of Borrower						
Under 30	11.8%	14.5%	11.7%	11.8%	11.7%	13.1%
30-39	28.3%	14.5%	27.7%	29.9%	28.0%	22.4%
40 and Over	59.9%	71.0%	60.7%	58.3%	60.3%	64.4%
Gender of Borrower(s)						
All Male	18.2%	18.2%	16.7%	16.5%	17.5%	17.4%
All Female	12.6%	13.2%	12.9%	13.4%	12.8%	13.3%
Male and Female	69.2%	68.5%	70.4%	70.0%	69.8%	69.3%

Source: GSE loan-level data on mortgages on owner-occupied one-unit properties. Percentages are relative to numbers

### Table 7c-1999

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	220,904	824,418	154,383	561,556	375,287	1,385,974	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	26.7%	23.3%	28.5%	22.7%	27.4%	23.0%	
Current Year	73.3%	76.7%	71.5%	77.3%	72.6%	77.0%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	7.9%	11.5%	9.1%	13.0%	8.4%	12.1%	
61-80%	41.6%	46.9%	40.2%	45.7%	41.0%	46.4%	
81-90%	17.6%	16.4%	17.2%	15.8%	17.5%	16.1%	
91-95%	25.9%	21.6%	24.9%	21.2%	25.5%	21.4%	
Over 95%	7.0%	3.6%	8.5%	4.2%	7.6%	3.9%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	20.2%	9.4%	18.7%	9.1%	19.6%	9.3%	
61-100% of Median	35.2%	27.2%	34.6%	27.6%	35.0%	27.4%	
Over 100% of Median	44.5%	63.4%	46.6%	63.3%	45.3%	63.4%	
Below Area Median	55.5%	36.6%	53.4%	36.7%	54.7%	36.6%	
First-Time Home Buyer	30.9%	23.7%	23.3%	20.8%	27.8%	22.5%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	7.8%	4.1%	6.3%	3.8%	7.2%	4.0%	
African American	7.4%	2.7%	6.7%	2.6%	7.1%	2.7%	
Hispanic	13.4%	4.1%	11.4%	3.8%	12.6%	4.0%	
White	68.6%	86.3%	73.9%	88.3%	70.8%	87.1%	
Other	2.4%	2.3%	1.2%	1.1%	1.9%	1.8%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	20.3%	17.6%	19.2%	17.2%	19.9%	17.4%	
30-39	33.2%	36.6%	33.2%	36.0%	33.2%	36.4%	
40 and Over	46.5%	45.8%	47.6%	46.9%	46.9%	46.2%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	27.0%	20.6%	25.8%	20.3%	26.6%	20.5%	
All Female	23.4%	18.1%	22.4%	18.1%	23.0%	18.1%	
Male and Female	49.6%	61.3%	51.7%	61.7%	50.4%	61.5%	

### Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Home Purchase Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Metropolitan Areas, 1999

Source: GSE loan-level data on mortgages on owner-occupied one-unit properties. Percentages are relative to numbers

### Table 7d-1999

### Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Home Purchase Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Non-Metropolitan Areas, 1999

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	51,782	81,358	46,740	73,129	98,522	154,487	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	23.6%	20.7%	27.0%	23.2%	25.2%	21.9%	
Current Year	76.4%	79.3%	73.0%	76.8%	74.8%	78.1%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	8.9%	10.4%	10.5%	12.2%	9.6%	11.3%	
61-80%	40.3%	44.0%	42.0%	43.4%	41.1%	43.7%	
81-90%	20.4%	18.0%	19.0%	17.0%	19.7%	17.5%	
91-95%	25.5%	22.9%	23.1%	21.7%	24.4%	22.4%	
Over 95%	4.9%	4.7%	5.5%	5.6%	5.2%	5.2%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	9.8%	10.1%	9.0%	10.1%	9.4%	10.1%	
61-100% of Median	24.0%	26.9%	25.1%	27.3%	24.5%	27.0%	
Over 100% of Median	66.2%	63.0%	65.8%	62.6%	66.0%	62.8%	
Below Area Median	33.8%	37.0%	34.2%	37.4%	34.0%	37.2%	
First-Time Home Buyer	19.2%	20.6%	16.6%	19.8%	18.0%	20.2%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.8%	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%	0.8%	0.4%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.3%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	
African American	3.8%	1.2%	2.7%	1.0%	3.3%	1.1%	
Hispanic	3.0%	1.6%	2.7%	1.7%	2.9%	1.7%	
White	90.0%	94.8%	92.5%	95.5%	91.2%	95.2%	
Other	1.1%	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.9%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	17.5%	18.3%	17.2%	18.8%	17.4%	18.5%	
30-39	28.6%	31.3%	28.3%	31.2%	28.4%	31.3%	
40 and Over	53.9%	50.4%	54.5%	50.0%	54.2%	50.2%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	20.3%	20.2%	20.4%	19.8%	20.3%	20.0%	
All Female	14.7%	15.0%	14.9%	15.3%	14.8%	15.1%	
Male and Female	65.0%	64.8%	64.7%	65.0%	64.9%	64.9%	

Source: GSE loan-level data on mortgages on owner-occupied one-unit properties. Percentages are relative to numbers

## Table 8-2000

## GSEs' Goal Qualifying Loans by Metropolitan Area, 2000

			Fannie M	lae		Freddie Mac				
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
ABILENE, TX	402	0	29.4 %	16.8 %	6.2 %	234	1	30.3 %	27.1 %	13.7 %
AKRON, OH	7,597	0	50.8	28.2	15.2	3,618	6	57.3	19.3	15.3
ALBANY, GA	502	0	24.5	16.1	6.9	341	0	29.9	25.4	13.4
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY, NY	4,673	1	34.4	17.2	10.4	1,697	0	47.2	25.0	22.2
ALBUQUERQUE, NM	4,664	2	48.4	48.4	19.5	3,852	10	60.2	37.6	14.4
ALEXANDRIA, LA	664	1	28.7	13.5	14.8	122	0	28.4	17.2	10.3
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA	3,482	2	48.7	18.7	11.9	3,963	2	42.5	22.9	19.6
ALTOONA, PA	279	1	41.4	16.5	7.0	437	0	35.6	24.7	11.3
AMARILLO, TX	1,169	0	32.2	16.2	10.9	605	0	26.9	15.4	8.5
ANCHORAGE, AK	947	0	37.5	22.3	12.7	911	0	37.3	16.9	11.9
ANN ARBOR, MI	7,012	3	55.6	17.7	19.7	4,916	3	57.6	17.0	33.6
ANNISTON, AL	437	0	40.3	8.3	12.9	363	0	58.2	10.3	23.5
APPLETON-OSHKOSH-NEENAH, WI	2,905	0	48.6	15.2	13.1	2,123	0	55.1	18.4	21.5
ASHEVILLE, NC	1,460	0	43.0	20.8	15.0	1,563	1	49.3	15.0	12.4
ATHENS, GA	1,108	0	39.7	24.1	13.5	772	0	41.5	22.1	13.1
ATLANTA, GA	42,513	65	62.0	31.7	29.4	37,347	42	60.5	29.0	27.4
ATLANTIC-CAPE MAY, NJ	3,497	0	44.6	27.0	16.4	3,235	0	45.5	25.0	15.8
AUBURN-OPELIKA, AL	712	0	38.6	19.2	13.3	400	1	59.9	18.9	18.4
AUGUSTA-AIKEN, GA-SC	3,242	1	37.5	25.1	10.3	2,292	1	49.9	29.7	21.5
AUSTIN-SAN MARCOS, TX	10,303	30	62.4	28.1	32.6	10,715	12	41.3	25.7	19.0
BAKERSFIELD, CA	3,842	4	47.6	27.8	10.1	1,916	0	38.2	25.9	15.1
BALTIMORE, MD	13,283	22	59.5	30.3	35.3	14,948	17	56.8	29.9	33.7
BANGOR, ME	382	0	36.6	11.0	10.8	227	0	36.0	8.6	9.0
BARNSTABLE-YARMOUTH, MA	1,706	0	40.6	39.3	10.3	1,142	0	36.1	32.5	9.3
BATON ROUGE, LA	4,009	7	52.8	29.9	18.2	1,643	3	48.5	37.0	13.4
BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR, TX	939	3	57.6	26.1	6.5	1,205	2	38.4	19.8	14.3
BELLINGHAM, WA	1,516	3	57.2	34.2	15.9	1,402	1	48.6	25.7	13.7
BENTON HARBOR, MI	1,030	0	49.0	13.6	21.8	983	1	50.0	17.1	26.0
BERGEN-PASSAIC, NJ	7,258	1	48.8	31.5	16.4	5,684	6	56.6	37.9	21.3
BILLINGS, MT	729	1	61.6	12.5	39.8	507	0	44.1	15.1	18.2
BILOXI-GULFPORT-PASCAGOULA, MS	1,878	3	49.3	23.0	8.1	701	2	48.4	28.2	15.3
BINGHAMTON, NY	558	0	40.9	23.9	10.7	507	0	43.8	23.1	20.0
BIRMINGHAM, AL	5,885	5	48.1	15.4	23.8	5,048	5	58.8	16.8	13.3
BISMARCK, ND	348	3	59.0	15.2	45.3	277	0	41.8	22.6	16.0
BLOOMINGTON, IN	960	0	41.5	20.5	9.3	440	2	68.8	40.3	48.2
BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL, IL	749	0	58.8	30.8	24.6	1,464	3	56.9	29.7	27.3
BOISE CITY, ID	4,594	0	43.7	25.3	15.4	3,152	1	45.6	23.7	17.7

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie N	/lae		Freddie Mac				
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
BOSTON, MA-NH	28,963	23	51.8	37.4	17.3	14,648	11	50.7	37.0	18.9
BOULDER-LONGMONT, CO	4,249	3	61.4	22.7	30.6	2,959	3	61.2	26.0	23.9
BRAZORIA, TX	1,399	5	47.3	24.2	33.0	1,301	1	30.4	26.1	11.6
BREMERTON, WA	2,215	0	38.4	17.8	8.5	1,100	0	41.8	15.0	14.0
BRIDGEPORT, CT	3,397	0	55.6	25.4	21.6	2,542	1	50.2	25.9	20.2
BROCKTON, MA	2,081	1	54.3	28.3	15.3	1,130	0	50.1	17.9	18.7
BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO, TX	733	1	41.0	63.8	3.9	720	0	16.3	56.3	4.0
BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION, TX	592	1	50.3	12.1	7.1	673	4	39.6	17.5	15.2
BUFFALO-NIAGARA FALLS, NY	6,430	0	36.5	12.3	9.1	3,309	0	43.1	16.7	17.3
BURLINGTON, VT	1,189	1	41.1	21.5	14.1	1,210	0	46.3	21.5	16.1
CANTON-MASSILLON, OH	4,493	2	54.9	18.5	15.9	1,577	1	57.5	19.6	27.9
CASPER, WY	342	0	44.8	22.2	20.1	250	0	43.0	23.8	20.7
CEDAR RAPIDS, IA	1,710	1	45.8	16.7	21.9	1,477	0	52.6	15.1	20.8
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, IL	664	0	45.9	15.3	18.1	1,162	0	52.0	14.6	20.1
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON, SC	4,144	2	41.4	22.5	8.7	4,351	4	37.9	32.7	14.4
CHARLESTON, WV	997	0	37.4	25.9	13.7	692	3	35.3	22.8	14.5
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-ROCK HILL, NC-SC	11,842	4	50.1	24.1	15.4	15,116	6	50.0	21.1	20.4
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA	916	0	46.7	22.6	17.2	1,652	1	49.5	23.1	21.2
CHATTANOOGA, TN-GA	3,172	2	45.2	19.3	14.6	1,949	1	54.0	20.4	19.1
CHEYENNE, WY	556	0	42.4	21.3	17.4	355	0	37.6	19.4	12.0
CHICAGO, IL	66,713	26	55.1	27.1	20.7	57,419	18	53.5	22.1	20.6
CHICO-PARADISE, CA	1,888	0	37.4	31.6	7.6	1,125	0	34.5	30.9	11.3
CINCINNATI, OH-KY-IN	16,839	6	47.7	26.1	15.0	12,029	4	52.4	21.8	23.5
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TN-KY	559	0	33.4	12.4	8.3	627	0	46.5	16.1	17.3
CLEVELAND-LORAIN-ELYRIA, OH	21,913	4	54.5	25.2	18.8	9,459	5	57.5	26.5	29.8
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO	4,820	4	49.2	25.5	17.5	4,024	9	51.8	27.2	14.4
COLUMBIA, MO	666	0	48.6	14.8	17.2	1,266	0	54.1	17.5	23.2
COLUMBIA, SC	3,297	3	50.1	26.9	13.7	4,606	0	47.3	27.0	18.9
COLUMBUS, GA-AL	1,287	1	61.7	9.5	6.1	1,197	0	46.4	22.8	16.0
COLUMBUS, OH	11,687	9	55.8	23.2	32.4	9,324	25	61.3	26.9	33.0
CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	1,266	4	59.8	12.8	2.8	1,121	1	27.7	42.7	7.4
CORVALLIS, OR	650	1	36.5	36.1	9.3	401	2	58.2	54.0	11.3
CUMBERLAND, MD-WV	279	0	33.5	11.5	10.6	197	0	38.5	10.5	15.5
DALLAS, TX	23,198	68	60.8	25.6	35.5	21.390	50	46.7	24.4	25.8
DANBURY, CT	2,066	0	63.8	28.5	25.8	1,350	1	58.2	26.4	28.8
DANVILLE, VA	289	0	32.3	29.4	12.4	231	0	37.5	20.7	12.0
DAVENPORT-MOLINE-ROCK ISLAND. IA-IL	1.712	3	52.9	25.3	29.0	2.160	6	52.8	24.4	24.6
DAYTON-SPRINGFIELD. OH	6.510	3	55.6	20.1	19.4	5,993	9	60.3	22.6	33.1
DAYTONA BEACH. FL	5.129	3	48.7	11.8	13.0	4.374	0	45.8	12.7	16.8
DECATUR AL	842	0	42.3	24.5	17.7	502	0	47.6	23.8	20.1
DECATUR, IL	414	0	46.2	21.8	20.6	473	0	53.7	28.8	26.1
DENVER. CO	28,815	40	61.4	37.4	32.4	20,448	11	52.0	29.4	20.9
DES MOINES, IA	3,009	3	56.3	16.4	19.8	3,474	4	59.4	18.1	30.0
DETROIT, MI	47,259	27	55.7	21.1	25.4	35,961	12	51.5	22.1	22.3
DOTHAN, AL	469	0	43.0	13.4	12.0	370	0	40.9	12.3	11.6
.2		5				2.0	5			

	Fannie Mae						Freddie Mac			
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
DOVER, DE	560	0	36.1	19.8	9.6	549	0	46.8	27.8	17.0
DUBUQUE, IA	516	0	58.9	19.3	25.7	353	0	63.7	22.7	26.8
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MN-WI	1,148	0	47.4	19.9	18.2	1,157	1	52.8	19.5	20.0
DUTCHESS COUNTY, NY	2,150	1	44.7	25.0	11.3	1,273	1	62.2	54.8	7.9
EAU CLAIRE, WI	421	0	44.8	25.7	14.1	1,031	0	45.1	21.1	15.8
EL PASO, TX	1,756	8	44.3	51.9	15.9	1,661	2	50.3	39.0	11.0
ELKHART-GOSHEN, IN	898	0	61.9	15.9	29.3	1,472	0	55.8	9.9	20.7
ELMIRA, NY	195	1	35.2	8.9	7.8	265	0	36.2	15.2	11.5
ENID, OK	164	0	13.6	8.8	4.8	174	0	36.1	15.1	13.4
ERIE, PA	654	0	45.0	20.7	17.0	1,021	0	41.1	20.5	16.0
EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD, OR	3,027	3	40.6	38.6	9.3	2,082	4	51.8	24.6	8.0
EVANSVILLE-HENDERSON, IN-KY	1,948	0	45.5	19.3	14.1	1,860	1	48.0	15.3	18.9
FARGO-MOORHEAD, ND-MN	589	0	41.1	22.6	14.7	682	1	49.3	18.7	14.2
FAYETTEVILLE, NC	724	0	35.3	47.7	12.4	1,352	0	28.9	49.7	7.7
FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE-ROGERS, AR	2,792	0	36.2	17.0	9.8	1,232	0	36.6	17.8	13.4
FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER, MA	865	1	53.1	19.1	28.0	584	0	49.5	15.0	20.6
FLAGSTAFF, AZ-UT	1,096	0	39.6	20.6	11.5	902	1	42.2	19.2	9.0
FLINT, MI	4,139	2	49.5	17.8	24.2	1,991	1	47.7	13.4	20.3
FLORENCE, AL	700	0	39.4	15.6	12.3	438	0	61.2	17.9	22.6
FLORENCE, SC	759	0	33.8	26.1	8.3	600	0	32.2	27.3	10.3
FORT COLLINS-LOVELAND, CO	3,759	0	42.8	29.5	14.2	2,479	2	48.3	29.8	16.3
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL	19,302	8	49.8	20.5	15.1	13,873	10	52.9	22.0	21.1
FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL, FL	6,250	4	55.5	18.6	12.9	5,102	3	50.8	18.4	17.1
FORT PIERCE-PORT ST. LUCIE, FL	2,740	0	45.4	9.0	17.1	2,419	3	55.2	21.6	28.2
FORT SMITH, AR-OK	897	0	29.0	16.2	6.7	507	0	25.6	23.0	6.0
FORT WALTON BEACH, FL	1,239	1	46.0	19.1	25.2	892	0	38.9	21.3	15.8
FORT WAYNE, IN	2,602	3	66.4	25.6	42.7	3,977	3	66.2	10.2	30.1
FORT WORTH-ARLINGTON, TX	10,339	32	66.1	29.6	44.4	8,943	18	54.2	27.1	26.1
FRESNO, CA	5,813	6	44.6	43.2	7.5	2,857	4	41.5	40.2	12.3
GADSDEN, AL	241	0	34.1	14.9	10.6	475	0	36.9	16.0	13.5
GAINESVILLE, FL	1,279	1	45.2	15.0	10.9	1,076	1	35.1	20.1	13.6
GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY, TX	1,868	0	31.1	20.3	10.7	1,503	1	31.1	19.3	11.6
GARY. IN	3.126	0	49.4	12.4	20.3	3.198	1	50.0	16.7	21.6
GLENS FALLS. NY	948	0	35.3	21.4	8.8	186	0	40.2	17.8	11.2
GOLDSBORO. NC	214	0	37.0	24.0	11.9	401	0	34.5	23.8	8.1
GRAND FORKS ND-MN	226	0	35.2	17.2	11.4	187	1	67.4	8.8	55.4
GRAND JUNCTION CO	1.295	0	39.6	37.3	11.4	1.144	0	42.2	36.6	11.0
GRAND RAPIDS-MUSKEGON-HOLLAND MI	10.094	9	54.1	21.1	24.7	10,107	7	62.2	14.0	38.0
GREAT FALLS MT	283	0	38.2	17.5	15.5	319	0	35.4	16.3	12.5
GREELEY CO	2 613	0	31.9	22.7	95	1 636	1	29.9	22.9	8.8
GREEN BAY WI	1 588	0	50.7	16.7	19.1	1,000	0	55.0	18.9	22.6
GREENSBOROWINSTON-SALEMHIGH POINT NC	5,901	1	43.9	22.4	15.5	8,715	5	48.7	18.5	18.1
GREENVILLE NC	604	1	39.5	22.1	10.5	780	0	33.0	23.0	9.8
GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG-ANDERSON SC	5 013	1	45.5	18.9	17.6	7 486	2	46.0	17.3	15.2
HAGERSTOWN MD	453	1	69.8	54.2	54 7	553	0	43.7	15.9	17.8
	.55	1	07.0	22	2	225	5		10.7	17.0

			Freddie Mac							
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
	2.105			<b>2</b> 0 ć				10.6		<b>a</b> a (
HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN, OH	3,107	2	51.4	20.6	22.9	2,505	I	49.6	21.4	23.4
HARRISBURG-LEBANON-CARLISLE, PA	3,093	0	47.4	20.9	15.3	2,680	2	47.3	19.9	16.7
HARTFORD, CT	6,946	8	62.2	23.5	22.6	5,947	15	54.5	25.1	25.0
HATTIESBURG, MS	730	0	31.3	13.2	7.7	232	0	28.3	15.6	7.4
HICKORY-MORGANTON-LENOIR, NC	1,559	0	46.2	12.5	14.2	2,555	1	45.2	10.0	14.3
HONOLULU, HI	3,965	0	43.6	64.8	16.2	1,669	0	43.2	67.2	16.3
HOUMA, LA	884	3	39.4	42.0	20.4	181	1	37.0	32.4	19.2
HOUSTON, TX	27,510	64	56.8	33.7	32.1	22,365	46	52.6	37.3	29.4
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH	978	0	35.0	25.7	10.8	956	0	31.3	22.5	8.1
HUNTSVILLE, AL	2,843	1	44.6	36.1	13.3	1,724	0	56.0	29.4	24.1
INDIANAPOLIS, IN	13,226	8	57.9	26.9	34.0	8,777	6	50.5	18.0	22.9
IOWA CITY, IA	734	1	39.1	21.6	11.7	679	0	48.6	25.3	14.8
JACKSON, MI	1,145	1	53.2	12.3	24.6	1,330	0	44.1	13.5	15.0
JACKSON, MS	2,658	2	54.2	19.6	21.4	1,005	2	43.4	20.7	14.8
JACKSON, TN	505	0	31.6	17.3	7.2	447	0	39.6	24.1	12.2
JACKSONVILLE, FL	8,157	9	56.1	20.3	21.6	7,373	18	53.7	16.4	18.6
JACKSONVILLE, NC	395	0	29.6	21.1	6.2	534	0	28.7	17.2	5.6
JAMESTOWN, NY	283	0	40.0	9.6	13.4	301	0	39.1	12.1	10.4
JANESVILLE-BELOIT, WI	957	0	61.6	21.5	24.5	893	1	58.7	23.5	23.6
JERSEY CITY, NJ	2,669	4	36.7	69.2	10.1	1,866	4	45.7	63.4	8.7
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TN-VA	1,621	1	43.2	26.8	17.7	1,277	0	43.5	30.8	17.4
JOHNSTOWN, PA	366	0	29.9	17.6	9.1	318	0	32.5	15.9	8.3
JONESBORO, AR	344	0	37.5	15.5	9.1	166	0	31.4	10.9	9.6
JOPLIN, MO	824	0	44.3	13.2	16.3	1,593	0	42.0	12.8	15.7
KALAMAZOO-BATTLE CREEK, MI	3,109	0	49.3	26.6	19.0	3,903	3	40.2	19.0	15.3
KANKAKEE, IL	262	0	51.3	13.9	17.4	548	0	46.0	10.1	17.9
KANSAS CITY, MO-KS	12,730	11	56.9	21.2	30.2	11,493	16	57.7	23.4	30.4
KENOSHA, WI	1,353	1	56.2	14.0	16.6	731	1	43.7	11.3	17.1
KILLEEN-TEMPLE, TX	666	1	39.3	20.9	11.6	471	0	32.1	28.2	11.9
KNOXVILLE, TN	4,195	3	45.6	18.9	22.4	2,554	2	46.9	20.6	16.7
KOKOMO, IN	687	0	57.2	29.6	22.8	764	1	63.9	22.5	20.4
LA CROSSE, WI-MN	582	0	50.7	17.1	19.0	584	0	48.1	15.5	14.6
LAFAYETTE, LA	1,177	5	44.7	22.6	19.0	548	1	27.8	22.4	9.0
LAFAYETTE, IN	1,240	0	50.6	11.7	20.8	632	2	77.9	7.7	54.9
LAKE CHARLES, LA	807	1	38.5	27.0	17.6	367	0	34.4	22.5	12.5
LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN, FL	2,405	0	44.9	15.1	15.5	2,826	0	42.4	23.7	17.3
LANCASTER, PA	2,376	1	50.1	10.6	13.2	2,533	0	48.1	10.7	16.2
LANSING-EAST LANSING, MI	4,531	3	44.8	27.3	20.1	2,937	2	54.9	27.7	28.7
LAREDO, TX	279	0	20.7	55.2	2.8	227	2	26.1	42.8	4.1
LAS CRUCES. NM	1.079	0	24.0	63.9	3.6	476	1	33.3	66.9	7.6
LAS VEGAS, NV-AZ	16.234	16	56.1	13.4	12.9	11.955	25	53.6	15.4	16.3
LAWRENCE, KS	800	0	47.8	33.0	17.1	564	0	45.2	27.3	16.5
LAWRENCE, MA-NH	3.740	1	40.4	18.0	14.8	2.375	0	48.4	25.3	20.5
LAWTON. OK	256	0	36.2	38.0	12.3	205	0	27.1	29.8	6.9
LEWISTON-AUBURN. ME	235	0	37.3	10.2	8.2	283	0	31.6	16.4	9.9
,										

			Mae		Freddie Mac					
	Number of Properties		Low-Mod Geo-Targeted		Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
				a= 1						
LEXINGTON, KY	2,794	3	55.5	27.1	29.2	3,557	3	51.9	24.1	24.5
LIMA, OH	768	2	61.0	22.3	31.9	785	0	55.6	14.8	26.3
LINCOLN, NE	2,437	2	55.1	13.9	33.0	992	1	56.5	27.4	17.1
LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR	3,196	4	49.0	15.7	20.3	2,494	2	43.7	13.1	20.5
LONGVIEW-MARSHALL, TX	698	0	27.9	18.2	6.7	292	1	41.2	27.7	22.6
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH, CA	63,729	53	38.5	52.2	9.3	24,995	25	40.8	46.3	14.8
LOUISVILLE, KY-IN	6,077	4	57.4	20.5	33.2	8,347	0	46.2	18.2	19.8
LOWELL, MA-NH	2,828	2	51.9	23.4	16.3	1,883	0	50.2	19.4	20.3
LUBBOCK, TX	1,006	3	49.0	35.0	23.2	806	0	29.5	15.1	10.4
LYNCHBURG, VA	979	0	43.3	11.5	16.2	1,268	0	41.6	13.6	14.0
MACON, GA	1,877	1	40.0	14.6	11.2	1,414	0	40.5	23.2	16.5
MADISON, WI	3,041	3	55.0	22.0	22.3	2,858	2	63.8	20.9	35.4
MANCHESTER, NH	1,769	1	41.4	22.6	13.6	1,226	2	55.3	39.7	36.9
MANSFIELD, OH	736	0	55.8	28.1	25.5	588	0	54.9	26.2	22.8
MCALLEN-EDINBURG-MISSION, TX	834	0	14.2	44.8	2.3	1,147	0	16.3	53.1	6.0
MEDFORD-ASHLAND, OR	2,090	0	31.7	29.9	6.6	1,136	0	37.4	32.3	10.9
MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-PALM BAY, FL	4,446	2	56.8	21.2	18.2	3,837	6	58.4	31.1	28.8
MEMPHIS, TN-AR-MS	6,219	5	45.6	21.7	15.3	3,857	4	46.9	19.3	27.6
MERCED, CA	1,175	0	34.7	68.9	6.3	776	0	31.5	70.0	9.9
MIAMI, FL	17,009	16	39.0	40.1	9.1	11,932	10	40.5	44.9	11.0
MIDDLESEX-SOMERSET-HUNTERDON, NJ	8,112	4	62.0	27.7	30.9	8,629	6	63.5	33.5	34.2
MILWAUKEE-WAUKESHA, WI	9,671	2	53.0	17.4	23.0	6,641	6	57.3	18.2	24.8
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MN-WI	23,491	17	64.8	29.2	31.4	29,266	13	58.2	22.9	23.0
MISSOULA, MT	846	0	36.3	26.6	13.1	402	0	41.3	24.9	15.8
MOBILE, AL	3,431	3	54.6	6.6	29.8	2,640	0	45.0	11.7	15.4
MODESTO, CA	3,609	1	40.3	33.7	7.2	2,518	2	38.0	29.8	10.1
MONMOUTH-OCEAN, NJ	9,382	4	48.3	34.0	20.5	9,184	12	54.6	39.4	20.3
MONROE, LA	888	0	27.8	10.2	9.6	167	0	32.3	14.2	16.8
MONTGOMERY, AL	1,988	3	59.2	15.4	40.1	684	0	48.9	24.4	16.8
MUNCIE, IN	435	1	69.9	22.1	22.8	506	0	60.2	26.8	30.6
MYRTLE BEACH, SC	3,105	1	43.8	27.6	9.3	2,578	0	39.7	31.8	11.6
NAPLES, FL	3,336	2	55.4	29.5	13.3	3,739	1	55.2	20.3	20.0
NASHUA, NH	1,932	0	50.2	24.4	17.4	1,565	1	49.0	28.6	15.2
NASHVILLE, TN	8,791	11	64.2	24.2	38.3	7,533	10	61.6	22.6	33.7
NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY	18,312	2	51.1	34.4	15.2	11,331	8	54.4	32.2	17.4
NEW BEDFORD. MA	922	2	57.3	25.8	12.1	398	0	44.1	31.5	10.4
NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN. CT	3.877	0	47.8	25.1	18.2	2,544	1	47.0	25.9	19.8
NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI	1.771	2	58.0	31.8	29.4	1.378	1	44.9	22.3	19.9
NEW ORLEANS. LA	7,422	12	52.7	42.8	31.3	2.646	4	48.5	37.4	24.4
NEW YORK NY	34,737	41	45.1	31.0	11.7	15.044	77	48.9	38.7	19.7
NEWARK, NJ	10.304	9	48.6	28.1	20.8	10,792	19	60.1	22.8	24.0
NEWBURGH, NY-PA	3.129	0	36.3	23.5	9.8	1.937	2	43.7	18.3	9.3
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS VA-NC	6 503	5	47 7	33.2	15.5	8 530	5	47.1	35.4	23.9
OAKLAND CA	26 185	16	46.5	55.2	13.3	12,650	4	47.0	52.7	19.8
OCALA FL	2 128	1	39.2	31.5	13.9	1 508	0	44 3	20.7	16.3
	2,120	1	57.2	51.5	15.7	1,500	0	11.5	20.7	10.5

		Fannie Mae						Freddie Mac					
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable			
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent			
		0		0.4	1.0	(50)				- 0			
ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX	646	0	23.2	9.1	4.8	659	l	24.9	20.3	7.9			
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK	7,531	11	52.2	25.6	36.2	4,590	1	49.8	15.4	32.3			
OLYMPIA, WA	2,091	0	39.8	33.2	7.2	951	2	50.6	48.8	10.3			
OMAHA, NE-IA	7,295	2	38.7	16.3	18.7	3,986	4	55.9	19.7	26.7			
ORANGE COUNTY, CA	26,088	30	52.5	41.8	18.9	12,418	20	52.2	28.8	15.2			
ORLANDO, FL	17,507	16	53.6	27.7	18.2	12,155	20	55.0	27.3	17.8			
OWENSBORO, KY	291	0	49.1	23.0	13.9	859	0	45.8	19.0	16.0			
PANAMA CITY, FL	1,326	2	41.3	12.5	17.2	618	0	41.2	16.5	14.0			
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, WV-OH	372	0	45.1	29.9	23.5	411	0	42.5	21.6	15.3			
PENSACOLA, FL	2,649	3	50.1	13.8	9.9	2,162	0	45.6	24.3	19.1			
PEORIA-PEKIN, IL	1,983	2	63.1	22.5	24.9	1,828	0	49.9	18.1	20.6			
PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ	29,408	12	44.5	16.1	17.5	26,961	60	49.2	22.9	24.4			
PHOENIX-MESA, AZ	30,830	55	57.1	36.2	26.4	29,181	30	52.2	30.8	20.3			
PINE BLUFF, AR	212	0	24.5	20.8	8.7	180	0	26.2	23.1	9.5			
PITTSBURGH, PA	9,090	0	36.6	19.4	13.7	8,173	3	36.9	18.3	13.5			
PITTSFIELD, MA	284	0	45.6	15.5	9.4	86	0	30.6	14.2	14.0			
POCATELLO, ID	659	0	44.9	27.4	16.4	384	1	51.8	27.7	26.9			
PORTLAND, ME	2,115	3	40.5	18.3	10.8	1,221	0	43.0	19.9	14.9			
PORTLAND-VANCOUVER, OR-WA	21,125	16	46.7	27.7	14.3	12,990	8	44.2	25.7	13.5			
PORTSMOUTH-ROCHESTER, NH-ME	2,453	0	35.3	14.6	9.4	1,418	1	50.0	10.8	9.4			
PROVIDENCE-FALL RIVER-WARWICK, RI-MA	8,724	0	42.6	19.1	13.6	4,955	3	48.1	24.1	20.3			
PROVO-OREM, UT	3,299	0	36.2	16.0	7.2	2,304	1	39.5	17.5	8.5			
PUEBLO, CO	1,392	0	36.7	35.7	13.6	705	0	38.2	41.7	14.7			
PUNTA GORDA, FL	2,870	0	35.9	14.1	11.9	1,339	0	43.6	19.7	16.6			
RACINE, WI	1,320	0	54.4	15.8	22.3	1,035	0	52.6	19.7	23.3			
RALEIGH-DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL, NC	8,780	8	54.5	24.9	27.7	12,290	6	54.8	22.2	23.1			
RAPID CITY, SD	436	1	48.4	18.5	11.4	290	0	36.8	22.0	18.3			
READING, PA	2,392	0	43.3	7.8	12.3	2,336	0	40.8	9.7	16.0			
REDDING, CA	1,413	1	33.6	20.9	6.1	877	0	36.8	19.2	12.3			
RENO, NV	3,027	8	66.3	28.5	28.9	2,576	1	49.3	20.0	19.5			
RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO, WA	1,465	1	42.9	19.2	10.4	926	0	45.2	29.0	21.7			
RICHMOND-PETERSBURG, VA	6,097	6	52.2	23.6	28.7	8,621	7	52.2	25.6	25.5			
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO, CA	28,266	20	38.3	40.6	11.4	14,626	18	44.3	41.5	14.7			
ROANOKE, VA	1,159	0	47.4	18.5	18.6	2,131	1	57.5	20.6	31.1			
ROCHESTER, MN	699	0	65.9	22.9	27.3	1,620	1	61.0	21.3	28.2			
ROCHESTER, NY	6,586	4	39.2	15.3	10.7	3,435	2	47.5	18.0	14.9			
ROCKFORD, IL	2,524	2	55.8	18.1	29.3	3,124	0	54.7	19.3	24.1			
ROCKY MOUNT, NC	466	0	40.4	45.4	13.4	649	0	30.5	45.1	8.4			
SACRAMENTO, CA	19,467	15	45.5	33.6	11.1	10,100	3	38.3	28.8	15.2			
SAGINAW-BAY CITY-MIDLAND, MI	2.074	1	51.4	24.1	23.4	2,354	0	39.2	19.6	15.6			
ST. CLOUD, MN	732	2	56.3	29.0	25.1	1,252	0	46.7	18.8	13.7			
ST. JOSEPH, MO	508	0	45.2	19.8	14.3	497	0	43.9	19.1	17.7			
ST. LOUIS. MO-IL	17,988	3	52.4	21.8	24.3	24 231	6	48.9	21.4	21.6			
SALEM. OR	3.163	1	37.1	13.6	7.9	1.509	2	40.4	14.3	9.9			
SALINAS, CA	3.151	1	27.8	68.4	5.8	1,691	0	29.9	69.8	8.3			
,	-,					,							

			Fannie N	Лае				Freddie I			
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	
	10.000		50.0	•••	10 5	6 9 7 7		10.0			
SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN, UT	12,868	8	50.0	28.8	19.7	6,375	3	49.9	27.7	21.1	
SAN ANGELO, TX	304	0	29.2	22.6	7.3	288	0	34.8	31.4	10.9	
SAN ANTONIO, TX	6,054	16	54.0	34.5	14.2	5,102	11	38.3	33.2	9.5	
SAN DIEGO, CA	31,151	33	39.0	36.9	8.8	12,473	14	42.4	39.6	14.4	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA	10,080	7	42.1	55.8	9.4	4,666	5	42.9	56.2	15.9	
SAN JOSE, CA	11,440	16	64.8	70.5	18.7	5,237	2	61.3	57.3	20.6	
SAN LUIS OBISPO-ATASCADERO-PASO ROBLES, CA	3,266	0	31.5	26.9	5.1	1,627	0	30.9	22.5	7.9	
SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC, CA	2,830	3	39.5	47.4	17.4	1,183	4	43.1	44.4	21.3	
SANTA CRUZ-WATSONVILLE, CA	2,186	0	37.5	48.7	5.7	1,287	0	37.7	46.9	12.4	
SANTA FE, NM	1,437	0	44.9	60.6	18.7	926	0	52.3	71.7	22.9	
SANTA ROSA, CA	6,490	2	35.0	22.2	8.1	3,100	2	36.7	22.1	8.6	
SARASOTA-BRADENTON, FL	7,987	5	47.9	15.8	11.7	4,821	3	46.0	16.5	16.0	
SAVANNAH, GA	1,978	2	45.4	15.5	8.8	2,147	3	40.7	18.8	12.3	
SCRANTONWILKES-BARREHAZLETON, PA	4,345	0	42.4	19.3	7.6	1,696	0	40.8	15.8	15.6	
SEATTLE-BELLEVUE-EVERETT, WA	28,229	32	53.7	35.1	18.8	13,948	20	57.7	37.4	23.2	
SHARON, PA	572	0	34.3	11.8	9.1	366	0	38.2	10.0	11.1	
SHEBOYGAN, WI	720	0	52.7	15.0	18.5	609	0	56.4	18.4	23.7	
SHERMAN-DENISON, TX	630	0	29.6	11.0	7.1	384	2	39.7	10.4	25.6	
SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER CITY, LA	1,887	5	38.9	18.5	14.4	486	3	46.0	11.6	28.4	
SIOUX CITY, IA-NE	678	1	57.4	39.1	14.3	231	0	45.3	27.5	19.1	
SIOUX FALLS, SD	1,299	0	44.0	22.1	16.6	780	1	45.5	27.9	11.5	
SOUTH BEND, IN	1,412	2	62.0	25.9	31.2	2,080	0	47.9	18.6	19.6	
SPOKANE, WA	4,344	2	44.4	29.4	16.5	1,676	2	48.8	25.2	16.9	
SPRINGFIELD, IL	1,639	1	57.6	18.1	19.9	1,340	0	52.2	18.9	19.0	
SPRINGFIELD, MO	1,776	0	47.3	19.1	16.8	2,979	0	49.7	20.4	19.1	
SPRINGFIELD, MA	2,403	1	47.9	28.0	18.2	1,144	1	54.1	26.0	16.6	
STAMFORD-NORWALK, CT	2,420	1	70.6	68.3	39.7	1,921	3	64.9	63.7	37.0	
STATE COLLEGE, PA	413	0	34.4	38.0	8.7	631	0	30.5	42.7	8.7	
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OH-WV	453	0	41.9	24.5	12.1	293	1	45.8	23.0	19.5	
STOCKTON-LODI, CA	4,937	4	33.4	34.0	6.3	3,256	2	39.7	32.3	14.0	
SUMTER, SC	285	0	40.0	32.3	13.8	312	0	28.0	37.8	9.7	
SYRACUSE, NY	3,875	2	34.9	13.5	5.8	1,583	1	40.8	22.6	16.5	
TACOMA, WA	7,765	7	47.3	21.1	11.6	3,835	1	41.9	20.0	10.9	
TALLAHASSEE, FL	1,318	5	71.1	27.3	46.9	1,384	1	47.8	34.0	21.4	
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER, FL	22,341	16	52.1	23.0	14.4	19,323	15	54.1	25.7	25.5	
TERRE HAUTE, IN	835	0	36.9	16.5	9.2	635	1	51.0	16.9	21.0	
TEXARKANA, TX-TEXARKANA, AR	319	0	29.2	16.4	8.2	158	0	36.5	22.7	6.2	
TOLEDO, OH	6,855	0	57.7	20.9	19.0	4,273	3	50.1	15.6	22.8	
TOPEKA, KS	820	3	62.1	9.8	40.2	613	1	49.9	17.5	22.7	
TRENTON, NJ	2,234	0	49.4	18.6	19.9	2,060	3	61.0	19.0	32.8	
TUCSON, AZ	7,190	8	50.2	18.2	11.3	6,717	15	55.1	23.2	18.8	
TULSA, OK	4,950	6	51.1	24.2	32.9	3,933	3	38.3	15.1	9.8	
TUSCALOOSA, AL	914	0	31.5	18.6	10.5	729	0	40.1	17.5	12.0	
TYLER, TX	621	2	57.0	7.6	40.5	732	0	33.5	20.3	12.1	
UTICA-ROME, NY	949	0	32.4	14.9	5.8	494	0	41.8	17.0	14.3	

	Fannie Mae						Freddie Mac					
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable		
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent		
	5.0.0			10 <b>-</b>				10.0	10.1			
VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA, CA	5,962	2	31.4	43.7	8.7	2,856	1	40.2	49.4	14.5		
VENTURA, CA	7,988	10	49.4	42.7	18.5	3,733	1	46.2	40.5	18.1		
VICTORIA, TX	117	0	38.0	34.3	10.2	202	0	26.5	38.6	10.3		
VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON, NJ	476	0	49.4	27.0	18.7	377	0	49.5	27.1	15.3		
VISALIA-TULARE-PORTERVILLE, CA	2,183	1	38.9	55.2	9.0	967	0	36.7	51.8	13.5		
WACO, TX	762	2	55.0	21.7	9.3	508	1	32.7	28.6	20.2		
WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA-WV	35,374	44	62.7	44.0	31.8	37,095	39	71.0	46.6	38.9		
WATERBURY, CT	1,225	3	58.6	9.7	21.1	821	2	59.5	14.0	40.7		
WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS, IA	511	0	49.1	30.0	20.3	769	0	53.7	33.2	28.1		
WAUSAU, WI	467	0	45.2	14.1	10.4	508	0	50.4	15.0	15.3		
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON, FL	14,526	8	51.3	25.1	19.3	9,364	2	52.5	26.7	22.8		
WHEELING, WV-OH	352	0	37.5	23.6	10.9	284	0	37.8	24.7	11.4		
WICHITA, KS	4,279	1	39.3	16.0	19.1	2,579	2	56.1	22.4	25.0		
WICHITA FALLS, TX	483	1	53.6	9.7	5.2	208	0	29.7	23.4	8.0		
WILLIAMSPORT, PA	309	0	38.3	20.3	10.3	290	0	36.0	21.2	11.0		
WILMINGTON-NEWARK, DE-MD	4,103	1	53.7	22.0	24.1	3,983	5	60.1	22.0	29.2		
WILMINGTON, NC	2,128	0	41.5	24.2	13.6	2,581	0	40.6	28.7	15.4		
WORCHESTER, MA-CT	3,577	3	49.2	14.5	15.3	2,284	0	43.4	17.3	18.1		
YAKIMA, WA	1,441	0	35.8	37.1	9.9	749	0	45.0	47.1	21.7		
YOLO, CA	1,414	4	53.8	31.2	23.9	662	0	39.9	31.7	16.4		
YORK, PA	2,183	2	60.0	10.1	34.2	2,394	0	42.4	12.1	15.7		
YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN, OH	6,337	0	40.0	15.5	7.2	2,200	0	50.8	20.8	23.9		
YUBA CITY, CA	713	0	38.6	34.2	6.3	550	0	35.8	30.2	13.3		
YUMA, AZ	621	1	44.8	45.6	28.6	474	2	51.4	29.5	12.1		

Note: This table gives Low- and Moderate-Income, Geographically Targeted, and Special Affordable percentages based on application of housing goal counting rules to units in properties covered by GSE mortgage purchases in each MSA. The table also gives total numbers of single-family (1- to 4-unit) and multifamily (5 or more unit) properties covered by GSE mortgage purchases in each MSA. The Housing Goals are defined on a national level. The GSEs are not required to meet the Housing Goals in individual MSAs.

## Table 8-1999

## GSEs' Goal-Qualifying Loans by Metropolitan Area, 1999

	Fannie Mae						Freddie Mac					
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable		
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent		
ABILENE, TX	741	0	28.9 %	24.2 %	9.5 %	388	1	41.1 %	23.5 %	9.7 %		
AKRON, OH	12,382	8	45.4	23.6	15.3	4,469	0	47.2	22.8	18.5		
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY, NY	770	0	35.8	17.5	14.2	816	1	52.8	13.4	36.3		
ALBANY, GA	4,916	2	25.1	11.5	5.9	3,415	7	34.2	32.7	20.5		
ALBUQUERQUE, NM	7,580	14	62.0	29.2	13.4	5,874	1	44.1	50.0	16.8		
ALEXANDRIA, LA	1,027	0	19.1	14.4	5.9	339	0	28.5	15.4	9.5		
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA	4,914	1	39.4	14.5	15.3	3,565	1	40.0	20.7	14.4		
ALTOONA, PA	366	1	24.6	20.5	6.0	498	0	30.4	25.0	9.8		
AMARILLO, TX	1,894	1	43.1	10.8	26.7	800	2	40.7	11.6	24.0		
ANCHORAGE, AK	2,926	0	36.5	16.7	12.2	1,126	0	36.6	15.7	11.3		
ANN ARBOR, MI	12,675	3	48.8	18.9	16.3	6,647	4	50.6	14.7	16.6		
ANNISTON, AL	785	0	37.1	5.8	11.0	484	0	40.9	6.6	12.9		
APPLETON-OSHKOSH-NEENAH, WI	4,645	1	49.9	14.9	14.3	2,696	1	51.9	14.9	19.9		
ASHEVILLE, NC	2,148	0	43.1	18.9	13.8	2,428	1	48.5	13.1	10.4		
ATHENS, GA	1,603	0	36.8	19.4	10.7	925	1	41.7	19.6	12.4		
ATLANTA, GA	57,108	45	53.5	27.3	23.7	42,121	53	54.3	27.5	21.6		
ATLANTIC-CAPE MAY, NJ	4,698	0	40.3	20.8	12.7	3,759	0	40.3	20.6	11.3		
AUGUSTA-AIKEN, GA-SC	3,356	3	40.9	25.3	15.7	3,622	4	32.2	27.4	10.9		
AUSTIN-SAN MARCOS, TX	13,955	30	59.1	30.9	35.2	10,814	12	43.6	25.5	15.7		
BAKERSFIELD, CA	3,853	1	28.5	17.7	11.6	3,119	0	35.0	25.8	13.5		
BALTIMORE, MD	23,598	18	47.3	18.2	23.0	16,153	36	58.4	23.6	33.0		
BANGOR, ME	643	1	38.9	8.8	8.3	417	0	39.6	12.3	12.5		
BARNSTABLE-YARMOUTH, MA	2,963	0	37.9	28.6	10.6	2,079	0	36.4	25.8	9.3		
BATON ROUGE, LA	7,206	5	40.8	23.0	19.1	2,995	0	40.0	24.9	14.9		
BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR, TX	1,808	0	30.0	18.3	8.4	1,411	1	32.4	16.5	7.7		
BELLINGHAM, WA	2,125	2	46.5	24.5	16.3	1,984	0	50.7	24.2	16.0		
BENTON HARBOR, MI	1,799	0	39.0	10.3	12.0	1,451	0	45.5	8.9	16.9		
BERGEN-PASSAIC, NJ	11,714	2	44.3	26.4	14.7	7,465	9	47.0	25.2	15.9		
BILLINGS, MT	1,407	0	40.9	14.5	14.0	852	1	53.3	12.8	29.7		
BILOXI-GULFPORT-PASCAGOULA, MS	2,642	1	33.6	26.6	12.6	1,241	4	34.0	25.7	8.6		
BINGHAMTON, NY	992	0	35.2	17.3	11.8	854	1	63.2	10.4	50.0		
BIRMINGHAM, AL	8,133	4	38.4	18.4	15.2	7,604	2	42.1	18.9	15.8		
BISMARCK, ND	813	1	39.8	20.2	17.4	637	2	43.9	34.2	9.0		
BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL, IL	1,084	3	60.0	25.0	36.9	683	0	54.6	21.9	29.2		
BLOOMINGTON, IN	1,909	2	56.6	35.9	34.8	1,723	2	42.4	15.2	16.9		
BOISE CITY, ID	4,879	3	50.1	24.3	18.8	5,156	3	48.7	22.2	18.1		
BOSTON, MA-NH	45,317	26	48.2	31.0	18.1	25,464	28	50.5	31.0	19.2		

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.
			Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Mac	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
DOLUDED LONGMONT CO	( (07	1	52.9	22 (	21.9	4 220	1	55.0	10.7	21.0
BOULDER-LONGMONT, CO	0,007	1	52.8	22.0	21.8	4,320	1	55.9 29.6	19.7	21.9
BRAZUKIA, IX	2,071	2	39.8	14./	20.7	1,500	0	28.6	36.6	10.6
BREMERION, WA	2,910	0	34.2	11.4	9.0	2,120	2	48.1	21.1	21.5
BRIDGEPORI, CI	3,843	2	50.2	18.0	20.6	2,945	4	58.6	22.2	22.9
BROCKTON, MA	3,506	1	45.6	13.1	15.1	1,403	1	50.8	9.7	11.9
BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO, TX	896	2	35.4	51.6	1.4	883	1	18.3	56.9	1.9
BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION, TX	1,048	0	31.4	18.3	11.2	862	3	59.4	16.0	42.2
BUFFALO-NIAGARA FALLS, NY	5,452	4	46.8	10.0	23.5	5,161	6	42.4	14.5	15.8
BURLINGTON, VT	1,988	0	38.1	20.0	10.4	2,106	0	47.0	20.2	16.9
CANTON-MASSILLON, OH	7,594	2	44.7	14.5	11.4	2,431	0	51.2	17.8	19.8
CASPER, WY	598	0	42.3	20.7	17.2	511	1	44.4	24.6	18.5
CEDAR RAPIDS, IA	2,492	0	47.3	16.6	15.5	1,930	0	51.2	17.9	21.3
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, IL	1,637	1	43.1	17.5	15.3	1,476	8	49.5	17.1	18.9
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON, SC	5,132	1	35.5	23.7	10.3	3,898	3	34.1	31.1	10.9
CHARLESTON, WV	1,373	0	29.4	21.1	10.2	1,569	0	25.6	20.7	6.8
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-ROCK HILL, NC-SC	17,937	7	47.2	19.0	18.2	15,847	18	48.4	23.2	17.8
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA	1,673	0	46.6	20.9	17.7	1,383	3	62.7	16.7	36.4
CHATTANOOGA, TN-GA	3,699	2	49.5	24.9	16.3	2,928	0	36.7	18.9	12.3
CHEYENNE, WY	918	0	40.4	16.9	14.4	671	0	39.6	16.2	13.8
CHICAGO, IL	100,354	34	51.5	23.8	18.4	73,886	22	53.2	21.9	20.0
CHICO-PARADISE, CA	2,125	2	38.0	19.9	7.8	1,744	0	33.4	26.3	9.1
CINCINNATI, OH-KY-IN	19,088	3	47.6	26.5	19.8	21,124	6	47.4	21.0	20.2
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TN-KY	754	0	33.7	14.4	8.3	927	2	30.0	13.6	8.8
CLEVELAND-LORAIN-ELYRIA, OH	40,138	33	47.0	17.9	12.7	11,083	13	59.7	24.0	33.3
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO	8,372	12	51.1	19.9	12.1	5,285	4	50.7	30.2	18.6
COLUMBIA, MO	963	1	52.4	14.1	23.0	1,685	0	44.9	13.5	17.0
COLUMBIA, SC	5,142	3	46.5	20.9	13.3	4,840	1	40.0	33.3	18.4
COLUMBUS, GA-AL	1,559	0	30.5	18.0	10.1	1,647	0	33.6	21.8	11.8
COLUMBUS, OH	21,115	13	45.6	18.2	17.9	14,284	9	50.3	22.1	23.6
CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	1,796	2	29.8	23.9	5.0	1.403	3	30.0	35.5	3.6
CUMBERLAND, MD-WV	417	0	30.4	11.8	10.0	298	0	33.6	12.1	12.4
DALLAS. TX	34,412	57	49.9	21.0	28.9	25.094	43	48.3	21.7	28.0
DANBURY CT	2.822	0	59.6	22.2	25.7	1.652	0	56.2	21.7	20.9
DANVILLE VA	467	0	35.8	19.1	11.9	418	0	33.2	29.1	9.8
DAVENPORT-MOLINE-ROCK ISLAND IA-IL	3 455	1	43.1	18.9	13.4	4.032	0	44.9	20.8	19.1
DAYTON-SPRINGFIELD OH	10 475	3	48.3	20.4	19.0	8 391	2	56.0	24.5	27.7
DAYTONA BEACH FL	4 765	0	42.4	10.3	14.7	5 813	2	38.3	12.8	13.1
DECATUR AL	1,046	Ő	37.4	24.1	10.9	1 040	0	42.4	22.7	13.2
DECATUR II.	675	1	48.7	15.7	13.3	709	Ő	38.5	17.8	11.8
DENVER CO	43 585	34	57.3	24.8	25.9	28 500	18	56.8	24.6	22.9
DES MOINES LA	5 664	4	53.7	19.9	23.9	5 108	10	47.5	17.5	17.3
DETROIT MI	82 021		48.6	17.7	18.5	47 430	0	52.5	20.3	21.3
DOTHAN AI	792	20	36.1	126	10.0		2	10.8	20.5	34.1
DOVER DE	/ 65	0	38.8	12.0	0.2	615	2	47.0	17.8	13.3
DUBLIQUE IA	939	0	50.0	17.0	7.5	701	0	43.0	17.0	20.0
DOBOQUE, IA	940	0	50.7	13.3	10.1	/91	0	32.3	13.9	20.9

			Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Лас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
	1 700	0	27.6	15.0	11.2	1 910	2	45.2	17.0	14.6
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MIN-WI	1,790	0	37.0	15.9	11.3	1,819	2	45.2	17.8	14.0
DUTCHESS COUNTY, NY	2,310	0	38.4	20.9	11./	1,999	2	44.5	21.9	13.0
EAU CLAIRE, WI	823	0	44.4	19.7	13.3	1,347	0	43.8	23.8	13.7
EL PASO, IX	2,503	3	43.7	44.4	9.3	1,949	2	33.0	45.0	5.8
ELKHART-GOSHEN, IN	2,112	0	52.6	9.9	17.9	1,398	0	56.3	7.6	20.7
ELMIRA, NY	178	0	29.1	12.6	13.0	458	0	27.1	9.8	6.5
ENID, OK	211	0	29.1	14.4	15.3	158	0	35.1	13.6	12.3
ERIE, PA	1,091	0	30.9	14.7	9.6	1,496	1	34.0	14.7	10.6
EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD, OR	4,220	5	43.4	29.5	12.3	3,777	7	54.2	26.6	10.0
EVANSVILLE-HENDERSON, IN-KY	1,352	4	60.3	40.0	39.1	4,313	0	48.5	16.9	22.6
FARGO-MOORHEAD, ND-MN	1,144	3	38.1	23.8	12.2	1,129	5	58.1	25.8	33.9
FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE-ROGERS, AR	1,379	0	37.1	13.8	10.8	1,387	2	38.4	19.5	13.2
FAYETTEVILLE, NC	3,900	0	32.2	45.4	8.3	1,372	2	36.3	58.2	6.7
FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER, MA	1,354	1	47.9	15.9	16.3	906	0	49.3	13.4	19.2
FLAGSTAFF, AZ-UT	1,812	0	36.8	16.8	9.1	1,304	0	37.5	36.7	10.9
FLINT, MI	6,451	1	43.8	11.3	15.6	3,460	0	46.4	10.3	16.4
FLORENCE, AL	750	1	44.2	13.1	24.0	719	0	35.5	21.1	9.2
FLORENCE, SC	913	0	32.0	29.9	9.2	851	2	51.4	22.1	18.8
FORT COLLINS-LOVELAND, CO	5,860	1	47.8	26.6	16.4	3,614	0	51.2	27.6	17.1
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL	19,858	10	49.3	17.1	16.5	18,789	31	53.8	26.0	20.8
FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL, FL	5,221	1	49.4	16.9	21.2	6,027	3	41.9	18.9	13.4
FORT PIERCE-PORT ST. LUCIE, FL	2,550	1	47.2	6.9	21.2	3,419	0	39.3	10.6	14.2
FORT SMITH, AR-OK	1,262	0	28.1	15.3	6.9	728	1	30.5	20.2	6.8
FORT WALTON BEACH, FL	1,549	0	36.3	23.0	12.9	1,604	0	35.6	20.3	13.9
FORT WAYNE, IN	5,931	1	48.8	18.2	19.2	4,202	1	53.4	16.0	19.2
FORT WORTH-ARLINGTON, TX	14,944	49	67.5	17.5	48.0	10,495	10	44.4	26.3	20.3
FRESNO, CA	5,499	3	29.6	38.5	8.6	5,376	4	28.9	34.8	8.4
GADSDEN, AL	444	0	29.6	14.9	6.5	678	0	29.6	11.5	8.5
GAINESVILLE, FL	1.712	1	44.0	14.5	9.8	1.695	0	27.5	16.7	9.4
GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY, TX	2.284	5	59.2	17.9	41.1	1,904	4	37.1	31.5	22.4
GARY. IN	5,919	1	47.5	14.0	19.3	4,701	2	55.4	13.0	19.8
GLENS FALLS, NY	609	0	33.5	18.6	11.0	366	0	47.1	21.5	18.3
GOLDSBORO NC	599	0	35.6	28.4	11.2	484	0	29.4	23.0	9.2
GRAND FORKS ND-MN	505	0	26.1	15.7	6.2	367	1	45.2	9.2	7.4
GRAND IUNCTION CO	1 883	0	40.6	33.1	11.7	1 759	0	42.5	32.9	10.5
GRAND RAPIDS-MUSKEGON-HOLLAND MI	18 316	10	51.8	17.1	22.7	11 229	1	51.4	17.5	18.5
GREAT FALLS MT	609	10	36.8	20.1	13.1	604	0	40.4	16.6	12.6
GREELEV CO	3 624	1	39.2	20.1	10.3	1 973	0	39.6	24.4	11.1
GREEN BAY WI	3 164	0	47.5	17.8	17.3	2 440	0	51.9	24.4	21.2
CREENSPORD WINSTON SALEM HIGH DOINT NO	11 468	0	47.5	22.5	17.5	10.002	5	28.6	20.3	12.2
CREENSBORGWINSTON-SALEMHIGHTONNI, NC	1 0 2 8	4	44.0	16.7	16.1	1 0 2 4	5	18.1	15.5	12.0
GREENVILLE-SLAKTANDORO-ANDERSON, SC	0,500	0	367	27.9	10.4	7 865	5	31.0	24.3	0.5
UACEDSTOWN MD	5,390	2	20.5	27.0	10.9	7,005	1	21.7	24.J 12.0	7.5
HAGERSTOWN, ND	810	0	39.3	11.3	14.0	/28	1	33.3 45.2	15.0	11.3
HARDISDUDC LEDANON CADLISLE DA	4,925	3	40.9	1/.0	21.0	4,34/	1	45.5	10.0	18./
NARRISBUKU-LEBANUN-CAKLISLE, PA	6,042	1	41./	16.3	10.9	3,948	2	47.2	1/.1	10.0

			Fannie M	ae				Freddie N	Лас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
WARTEORD OT	0.510		15.0	160		= 2 / =				
HARTFORD, CT	8,719	1	45.0	16.3	17.5	7,367	11	52.4	15.4	18.1
HATTIESBURG, MS	1,076	0	24.2	11.4	5.5	478	0	34.6	10.2	8.5
HICKORY-MORGANTON-LENOIR, NC	3,225	0	43.4	11.9	11.9	3,145	0	37.1	11.8	10.9
HONOLULU, HI	8,255	0	42.9	58.2	14.8	2,450	1	44.1	58.1	12.3
HOUMA, LA	1,791	0	19.6	32.5	5.1	415	1	52.2	21.9	5.3
HOUSTON, TX	35,950	40	47.6	32.7	25.8	25,787	52	47.1	37.4	24.5
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH	1,516	0	23.0	18.6	5.8	1,370	0	24.9	23.4	8.3
HUNTSVILLE, AL	3,452	1	43.7	24.4	20.0	2,459	0	43.4	36.7	17.3
INDIANAPOLIS, IN	25,455	7	46.3	18.4	19.5	13,325	6	50.8	21.1	22.0
IOWA CITY, IA	1,134	0	42.2	20.0	13.6	1,162	0	44.8	23.4	14.5
JACKSON, MI	2,036	1	50.6	23.2	25.3	1,591	1	45.7	13.0	15.7
JACKSON, MS	3,994	3	37.5	19.6	12.2	1,413	3	43.1	21.5	12.7
JACKSON, TN	745	0	30.3	20.5	8.6	647	0	31.2	17.8	9.6
JACKSONVILLE, FL	8,901	7	50.8	14.4	19.7	10,876	10	40.8	18.5	16.6
JACKSONVILLE, NC	705	0	29.0	19.6	6.9	660	0	19.1	21.6	5.0
JAMESTOWN, NY	380	0	34.3	6.2	8.5	454	0	32.5	8.4	9.6
JANESVILLE-BELOIT, WI	1,737	0	53.2	20.5	19.1	1,333	0	50.4	19.9	19.2
JERSEY CITY, NJ (PMSA)	2,687	1	39.6	66.8	10.0	1,692	8	38.3	60.4	8.5
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TN-VA	2,267	0	32.1	21.1	10.0	2,323	0	27.9	26.9	9.0
JOHNSTOWN, PA	409	0	18.7	13.4	3.4	583	0	29.0	14.7	6.2
JONESBORO, AR	513	0	28.6	9.8	7.1	413	0	32.3	20.7	8.0
JOPLIN, MO	1,300	1	41.3	15.8	16.1	871	4	35.8	9.8	11.1
KALAMAZOO-BATTLE CREEK, MI	5,507	1	39.2	19.3	15.3	3,847	0	42.2	22.2	16.2
KANKAKEE, IL	379	0	44.4	12.5	18.7	892	0	43.9	8.3	15.5
KANSAS CITY, MO-KS	20,835	11	48.9	18.5	21.2	16,376	13	48.5	17.7	18.1
KENOSHA, WI	2,135	0	41.7	11.5	13.7	1,350	1	47.0	12.6	13.9
KILLEEN-TEMPLE, TX	1,005	0	27.2	21.0	6.8	630	1	29.8	22.7	4.6
KNOXVILLE, TN	6,118	1	38.2	17.3	14.8	4,529	4	44.8	23.1	20.7
KOKOMO, IN	1,211	0	47.9	26.9	18.4	977	0	50.4	24.6	20.3
LA CROSSE, WI-MN	1,143	0	44.0	16.6	14.5	1,060	0	45.5	16.8	14.8
LAFAYETTE, IN	2,396	1	51.2	8.8	27.1	1,124	1	52.6	20.7	26.6
LAFAYETTE, LA	1,942	1	20.7	22.8	5.0	1,418	1	18.5	20.1	4.8
LAKE CHARLES. LA	1.601	0	25.3	21.6	7.5	540	1	39.9	29.1	9.8
LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN, FL	2,712	0	43.6	10.8	14.9	3,361	1	36.9	24.7	17.6
LANCASTER, PA	3,698	0	40.4	8.3	10.4	3,230	0	42.5	7.9	12.9
LANSING-EAST LANSING. MI	6.794	3	48.2	17.1	15.9	4.260	0	46.1	16.8	14.9
LAREDO, TX	495	0	18.3	43.6	6.2	273	0	16.5	58.3	6.3
LAS CRUCES, NM	949	1	38.1	68.2	19.5	840	1	29.0	57.4	6.2
LAS VEGAS, NV-AZ	18.807	16	52.6	15.5	14.9	15.443	15	52.3	19.7	15.2
LAWRENCE KS	1.383	0	46.0	28.4	13.4	886	3	61.9	16.2	19.9
LAWRENCE MA-NH (PMSA)	6 177	0	33.5	12.4	12.0	3,933	1	47.4	17.8	18.6
LAWTON OK	498	1	45.5	21.7	34 3	237	0	29.8	36.2	8.1
LEWISTON-AUBURN ME	578	0	32.2	93	7.8	437	0	38.3	11.2	10.5
LEXINGTON KY	4 780	9	50.0	26.3	18.8	5 469	4	43.5	25.8	18.6
LIMA OH	1 260	0	45.0	12 7	15.3	1 290	4	42.9	7.2	13.8
	1,200	0	-5.0	12./	10.0	1,290	0	74.7	1.4	15.0

			Fannie M	ae				Freddie N	Лас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
LINCOLN, NE	3,158	0	43.8	15.6	15.1	1,962	7	56.8	23.6	22.5
LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR	5,717	4	38.6	10.7	19.9	2,783	2	45.7	10.3	17.0
LONGVIEW-MARSHALL, TX	1,096	0	28.9	23.6	7.8	542	3	28.1	18.5	6.5
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH, CA	76,983	131	37.0	43.9	10.5	54,487	65	37.6	39.6	10.3
LOUISVILLE, KY-IN	12,004	5	45.8	21.8	19.3	12,974	5	47.3	21.4	21.8
LOWELL, MA-NH	4,366	1	47.1	12.6	15.8	3,181	1	48.6	15.3	16.1
LUBBOCK, TX	1,546	1	31.9	13.4	8.3	1,124	1	21.6	22.1	6.7
LYNCHBURG, VA	1,423	0	39.1	12.0	12.9	1,290	0	36.0	9.7	9.7
MACON, GA	2,584	0	32.5	14.0	10.1	2,280	1	41.1	19.8	11.0
MADISON, WI	5,124	5	57.9	31.7	29.7	4,020	2	54.0	25.4	21.7
MANCHESTER, NH	2,822	3	42.4	16.0	17.9	1,782	1	40.4	18.4	16.0
MANSFIELD, OH	1,573	1	45.0	21.8	13.5	974	0	48.0	23.1	17.4
MCALLEN-EDINBURG-MISSION, TX	1,292	0	13.4	41.5	2.9	1,590	0	9.3	60.2	2.5
MEDFORD-ASHLAND, OR	2,327	2	46.6	32.4	8.8	1,897	0	45.2	30.8	12.7
MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-PALM BAY, FL	4,891	5	53.1	19.5	18.5	5,173	1	42.8	22.4	18.1
MEMPHIS, TN-AR-MS	8,497	5	39.2	12.8	17.7	5,369	17	47.5	21.2	16.2
MERCED, CA	1,256	2	42.1	71.4	13.7	1,117	0	33.7	66.9	10.1
MIAMI, FL	20,407	10	42.7	40.3	8.5	15,982	11	34.2	43.4	7.9
MIDDLESEX-SOMERSET-HUNTERDON, NJ	12,179	5	54.9	23.0	16.2	9,194	6	54.1	19.0	19.5
MILWAUKEE-WAUKESHA, WI	20,125	5	46.1	12.9	16.4	10,282	6	49.5	15.4	19.1
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MN-WI	43,455	32	55.5	20.9	23.4	40,659	26	56.3	20.9	22.0
MISSOULA, MT	1,249	1	40.5	26.7	18.1	904	0	40.8	25.2	15.2
MOBILE, AL	5,041	5	46.2	7.0	26.2	3,566	2	42.6	8.6	8.1
MODESTO, CA	3,583	1	38.1	32.2	12.1	3,469	2	42.6	24.5	11.7
MONMOUTH-OCEAN. NJ	13.883	1	39.0	27.8	13.7	9,888	11	41.3	29.6	14.2
MONROE. LA	1.381	0	26.2	10.2	7.6	411	1	25.1	10.1	6.1
MONTGOMERY, AL	3.064	1	45.4	19.4	24.4	1.344	2	54.5	16.6	10.6
MUNCIE IN	820	0	49.7	24.4	21.4	651	0	48.7	25.0	23.3
MYRTLE BEACH SC	2.981	0	37.3	25.0	10.0	2.847	0	37.4	23.2	10.2
NAPLES FL	3 611	0	54.1	17.2	19.6	4 4 3 8	0	49.5	19.2	19.6
NASHUA NH (PMSA)	3 103	0	46.1	21.6	16.1	2,227	1	45.7	26.0	16.3
NASHVILLE. TN	12,771	3	47.7	14.8	21.8	10,973	10	44.6	16.7	19.3
NASSAU-SUFFOLK NY	30.083	14	49.3	27.8	15.8	18 796	6	49.8	28.9	14.5
NEW BEDFORD MA	1 545	2	50.7	21.0	13.2	640	0	41.5	21.4	15.8
NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN CT	4 485	- 1	44.6	19.7	15.2	3 580	9	56.7	34.3	28.8
NEW LONDON-NORWICH CT-RI	2 330	0	43.4	15.8	16.0	1 670	1	48.2	17.7	14.8
NEW ORLEANS LA	12,550	12	38.7	29.9	16.2	5 622	11	43.5	24.4	12.9
NEW YORK NY	44 791	285	43.3	34.3	16.2	24 044	102	38.3	31.4	10.1
NEWARK NI	15 809	205	42.4	17.9	15.0	12 287	30	49.2	20.8	21.1
NEWBURGH NV-PA	3 561	0	36.2	10.1	10.6	2 610	3	37.0	21.0	11.5
NORFOLK_VIRGINIA REACH-NEWPORT NEWS VA-NC	11 245	6	44 1	28.0	24.6	2,010	15	52.2	37.6	28.9
OAKI AND CA	31.841	20	50.6	51.6	19.6	26 172	15	47 A	42.7	16.2
OCALA FL	1 807	10	51.1	87	5.9	1 852	-4	34.0	10.8	8.0
ODESSA-MIDI AND TY	1,09/	10	20.0	0./	J.0 17 Q	1,032	1	190	20.7	0.0 5 7
OVERATIONA CITY OK	1,302	1	50.0 A7 A	11./	17.0	5 400	10	10.7	20.7	20.6
OKLAHOWA CH 1, OK	10,085	11	47.4	17.3	23.4	5,499	18	42.0	10.4	20.0

			Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Лас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
	2.9.47		44.0	20.0	0.0	1.021		40.0	20.2	12.0
OLYMPIA, WA	2,847	1	44.9	29.8	9.9	1,921	1	49.8	30.3	13.8
OMAHA, NE-IA	7,772	25	63.7	8.6	42.9	5,379	14	52.2	19.7	20.3
ORANGE COUNTY, CA	37,809	40	51.4	34.5	19.1	25,722	27	54.7	30.1	16.8
ORLANDO, FL	19,871	20	51.1	27.9	17.0	17,374	20	49.8	31.9	15.7
OWENSBORO, KY	723	0	38.9	18.7	12.4	937	0	36.9	12.8	12.1
PANAMA CITY, FL	1,375	0	32.8	14.5	11.2	1,435	0	37.4	15.8	10.4
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, WV-OH	574	0	44.0	30.5	18.1	827	0	29.6	20.5	10.5
PENSACOLA, FL	3,266	0	36.2	18.6	11.5	3,007	1	33.9	21.8	12.0
PEORIA-PEKIN, IL	3,427	0	45.3	17.1	15.7	1,939	2	46.0	15.4	16.6
PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ	42,320	13	39.5	14.8	13.4	29,319	36	46.9	18.2	18.5
PHOENIX-MESA, AZ	53,939	31	46.1	25.9	18.3	35,324	27	50.0	24.0	20.0
PINE BLUFF, AR	292	0	25.8	14.3	10.3	183	0	25.4	17.8	7.1
PITTSBURGH, PA	14,178	10	30.1	14.6	8.4	10,313	4	35.8	15.5	10.0
PITTSFIELD, MA	450	0	39.4	10.8	8.6	182	0	37.1	8.3	10.4
POCATELLO, ID	842	0	45.6	29.2	16.8	599	0	47.3	31.5	19.3
PORTLAND-VANCOUVER, OR-WA	3,703	5	47.8	27.0	16.8	2,350	1	50.0	25.3	17.2
PORTLAND, ME	27,712	25	40.7	22.9	16.5	24,814	11	42.3	16.0	11.7
PORTSMOUTH-ROCHESTER, NH-ME	3,695	0	35.3	9.7	8.9	2,078	0	36.2	10.5	9.5
PROVIDENCE-FALL RIVER-WARWICK, RI-MA	12,094	7	42.6	18.1	15.4	6,244	4	48.3	15.6	14.8
PROVO-OREM, UT	5,004	1	37.5	18.2	8.0	4,813	3	42.9	15.5	10.3
PUEBLO, CO	2,019	0	34.1	31.4	10.0	1,223	0	39.9	35.2	12.5
PUNTA GORDA, FL	1,546	1	53.1	14.5	14.4	1,653	0	42.1	18.9	14.8
RACINE, WI	2,225	1	50.1	19.9	22.3	1,910	0	49.4	15.1	16.9
RALEIGH-DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL, NC	15,642	24	61.2	16.0	17.5	13,609	14	49.3	22.5	16.7
RAPID CITY, SD	765	0	30.9	20.3	13.4	505	0	32.3	15.8	9.3
READING, PA	3,648	0	38.6	7.1	10.7	2,026	2	45.2	6.6	20.6
REDDING, CA	1,534	0	31.9	17.6	8.9	1,763	0	36.1	15.9	10.7
RENO, NV	4,117	5	56.0	23.4	23.7	4,123	6	51.9	16.7	15.5
RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO, WA	1,639	1	37.0	17.4	18.3	1,471	0	48.0	29.6	17.9
RICHMOND-PETERSBURG, VA	10,341	12	59.7	17.4	29.5	8,034	2	45.1	21.9	20.0
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO, CA	32,695	23	37.2	36.1	9.8	23,706	15	37.6	36.6	11.5
ROANOKE, VA	2,059	0	43.2	15.4	15.1	2,105	1	46.3	20.3	17.4
ROCHESTER, MN	1,316	0	54.9	23.7	24.2	2,014	0	57.3	21.9	23.7
ROCHESTER, NY	7,029	3	42.8	14.3	13.3	6,176	7	45.4	16.4	14.8
ROCKFORD, IL	4,921	0	45.9	16.0	17.0	5,392	1	48.9	15.2	18.3
ROCKY MOUNT, NC	736	1	51.4	32.7	10.4	1,016	0	29.3	40.1	9.0
SACRAMENTO, CA	23.376	19	44.7	24.4	17.0	17.388	6	43.8	25.1	17.3
SAGINAW-BAY CITY-MIDLAND, MI	4.211	2	50.2	24.0	22.7	2.347	2	53.6	15.4	15.2
SALEM, OR	1.481	3	39.0	12.7	9.9	1.667	1	45.6	12.2	9.3
SALINAS CA	812	0	32.6	56.8	94	778	0	42.3	60.4	10.4
SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN. UT	34.345	12	48.8	24.2	18.3	25,201	4	51.7	22.2	19.1
SAN ANGELO. TX	3.842	0	46.9	16.2	5.4	3.542	8	31.8	24.4	12.4
SAN ANTONIO TX	3 628	0	44.3	38.6	19.1	3,035	5	40.8	42.5	16.9
SAN DIEGO, CA	19 968	3	37.0	29.9	11.6	16.359	5	37.7	27.2	11.4
SAN FRANCISCO CA	495	1	51.2	55.0	14.5	359	0	61.8	60.7	13.5
	.95		<i></i>	00.0	1.15	507	0	01.0	00.7	15.5

			Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Mac	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
SAN LOSE CA	0.102	17	(0.(	(4.0	20.7	( 720	12	(10	(2.0	24.7
SAN JUSE, CA	9,102	1/	00.0	04.9	20.7	0,730	13	64.0 26.2	03.0	24.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO-ATASCADERO-PASO ROBLES, CA	15,969	16	34.7	21.6	8.1	10,986	17	36.2	20.8	10.5
SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOU, CA	20,936	19	44.5	39.0	13.4	15,122	9	43.0	31.3	15.0
SANIA CRUZ-WAISONVILLE, CA	7,600	0	38.5	42.3	11.3	5,628	0	44.9	42.4	13.1
SANTA FE, NM	3,729	3	59.9	61.1	42.0	3,354	0	54.4	71.6	19.3
SANTA ROSA, CA	3,734	10	43.9	21.3	10.1	2,565	1	39.9	17.6	10.2
SARASOTA-BRADENTON, FL	3,284	1	51.0	13.4	17.0	3,168	1	49.7	18.4	16.3
SAVANNAH, GA	2,355	5	45.4	13.3	8.4	1,541	0	33.3	19.8	10.1
SCRANTONWILKES-BARREHAZLETON, PA	7,258	3	39.6	16.3	14.4	6,142	2	38.4	14.0	13.7
SEATTLE-BELLEVUE-EVERETT, WA	7,191	2	54.7	36.1	22.1	6,615	7	56.4	33.7	22.0
SHARON, PA	2,455	2	26.2	7.8	5.3	2,840	0	30.8	10.8	6.3
SHEBOYGAN, WI	2,852	0	51.1	14.0	17.1	2,370	1	56.5	16.7	22.8
SHERMAN-DENISON, TX	44,001	63	40.3	9.5	24.8	29,546	26	47.0	7.5	35.3
SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER CITY, LA	753	0	34.0	9.6	5.5	356	0	23.0	12.1	8.4
SIOUX CITY, IA-NE	1,839	0	50.3	27.5	14.6	1,016	0	41.6	15.3	16.5
SIOUX FALLS, SD	874	1	40.2	19.7	12.7	519	1	44.3	24.4	10.8
SOUTH BEND, IN	3,355	2	46.6	16.2	21.7	824	1	54.4	15.3	20.3
SPOKANE, WA	946	1	41.7	22.5	13.7	410	0	47.5	24.6	18.4
SPRINGFIELD, IL	2,138	0	50.2	21.5	17.9	1,374	2	56.5	32.9	30.3
SPRINGFIELD, MA	2,693	1	41.0	15.6	9.8	1,767	1	46.3	20.4	15.9
SPRINGFIELD, MO	4,911	2	43.4	21.0	17.7	4,214	0	44.4	20.0	16.0
ST. CLOUD, MN	2,792	0	50.1	32.0	23.8	1,853	1	44.5	20.3	12.8
ST. JOSEPH, MO	2,924	1	36.0	15.6	11.4	3,395	0	40.2	15.1	14.6
ST. LOUIS, MO-IL	4,154	1	45.9	17.6	19.5	1,971	1	43.8	20.9	17.0
STAMFORD-NORWALK, CT	2,929	1	66.0	58.4	36.6	2,013	1	69.7	53.7	39.1
STATE COLLEGE, PA	1,022	0	24.8	34.7	5.1	1,036	2	40.6	30.2	5.6
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OH-WV	538	0	37.8	23.8	10.9	436	0	33.7	19.4	8.3
STOCKTON-LODI, CA	4,970	5	34.8	28.6	14.9	4,491	2	38.6	32.5	9.3
SUMTER, SC	477	0	33.5	26.2	9.6	412	0	29.6	32.4	8.5
SYRACUSE NY	3.580	1	34.1	14.8	13.0	2.750	1	40.2	15.5	19.3
TACOMA WA	10.067	5	38.6	19.3	12.0	6.781	1	44.3	18.8	12.1
TALLAHASSEE FL	1.856	2	52.1	23.8	32.0	2.525	7	53.2	44.7	30.1
TAMPA-ST PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER FL	25 950	9	47.5	19.7	17.4	23 011	20	45.0	27.9	16.2
TERRE HAUTE IN	342	0	37.0	19.7	11.6	1 266	0	41.1	16.2	16.2
TEXARKANA TX-TEXARKANA AR	560	0	20.2	20.3	51	251	0	29.4	22.6	6.4
TOLEDO OH	9 101	2	43.8	16.4	15.6	4 865	7	51.1	15.3	21.9
TOPEKA KS	1 297	2	47.8	13.6	17.0	999	3	66.5	71	19.1
TRENTON NI	2 990	0	39.3	13.0	13.2	2 063	4	56.6	34.6	35.7
TUCSON AZ	11 802	11	46.4	21.4	17.4	7 705	6	44.3	23.3	16.1
TUI SA OK	7 807	8	43.8	12.6	21.4	4 144	3	41.4	12.5	10.1
TUSCALOOSA AL	1 1 1 0	0	22.5	14.0	7 9	1 186	2	46 3	28.8	11.9
TVI FR TX	1,119	0	29.6	17.4	7.5	1,180 81 <i>1</i>	2	21.6	17.8	5.4
LITICA DOME NV	1,120	1	27.0	12.0	/.1	602	0	21.0	17.0	12.2
UTICA-ROWE, NT	970 6 201	1	34.7 47.2	13.1	0.3 7 5	4 801	0	36.0	1/.4	12.2
VENTUDA CA	10,291	0	47.3	22.9	1.5	4,001	0 7	52.1	20.2	10.5
VENTURA, CA	10,798	4	43.9	55.8	14.4	0,408	/	32.1	50.2	13.2

			Fannie Ma	ne				Freddie N	Лас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent Percent		Percent
VICTORIA, TX	252	0	22.5	26.1	10.5	381	1	27.4	33.4	7.3
VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON, NJ	625	0	38.0	24.6	11.4	471	1	44.2	25.7	12.9
VISALIA-TULARE-PORTERVILLE, CA	2,459	1	31.9	36.0	6.4	2,031	0	30.2	44.9	7.1
WACO, TX	1,028	1	44.4	32.2	13.3	854	0	21.3	20.9	5.8
WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA-WV	52,934	49	61.4	34.3	34.8	38,846	55	64.6	38.4	34.8
WATERBURY, CT	1,422	0	43.8	9.7	15.7	1,264	2	47.4	9.8	14.3
WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS, IA	1,043	0	44.5	18.8	15.8	1,165	0	51.7	28.9	26.7
WAUSAU, WI	1,039	1	54.5	11.1	22.3	983	0	47.0	12.6	15.4
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON, FL	15,301	3	49.8	19.9	19.1	12,785	6	50.8	25.0	20.1
WHEELING, WV-OH	490	0	36.1	18.9	10.8	549	0	29.7	18.1	7.5
WICHITA FALLS, TX	3,479	3	44.2	16.2	6.1	3,158	2	33.7	21.6	12.8
WICHITA, KS	662	1	58.8	12.0	38.2	469	0	51.7	17.6	16.7
WILLIAMSPORT, PA	677	0	27.9	19.4	5.5	555	0	31.1	12.4	7.6
WILMINGTON-NEWARK, DE-MD	5,913	0	49.1	18.0	16.6	4,735	3	58.6	21.6	28.8
WILMINGTON, NC	3,540	1	41.5	18.3	11.7	3,185	1	40.6	22.1	18.8
WORCHESTER, MA-CT	5,930	2	41.3	14.8	16.4	3,652	2	43.9	14.0	15.6
YAKIMA, WA	1,649	0	33.2	27.4	10.8	1,511	0	42.3	33.9	13.9
YOLO, CA	2,038	3	50.1	42.2	31.7	1,341	1	47.0	36.1	11.9
YORK, PA	3,969	1	42.8	9.3	11.4	3,108	1	42.3	11.4	11.6
YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN, OH	4,939	1	39.7	16.9	10.4	1,936	0	42.9	19.1	14.8
YUBA CITY, CA	951	0	28.6	20.5	7.1	1,016	0	30.1	30.5	8.1
YUMA, AZ	954	0	29.2	38.1	9.8	748	1	28.4	39.0	9.4

Note: This table gives Low- and Moderate-Income, Geographically Targeted, and Special Affordable percentages based on application of housing goal counting rules to units in properties covered by GSE mortgage purchases in each MSA. The table also gives total numbers of single-family (1- to 4-unit) and multifamily (5 or more unit) properties covered by GSE mortgage purchases in each MSA. The Housing Goals are defined on a national level. The GSEs are not required to meet the Housing Goals in individual MSAs.

#### Table 9

### Single-Family Mortgages by Goal Category: GSE Purchases and Conventional Conforming Market Originations Metropolitan Areas, 2000

	Number of	Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	raphically Targ	eted	S	pecial Affordabl	e
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
ABILENE, TX	402	234	26.8 %*	24.2 %	36.3 %**	19.9 %	24.8 %	34.9 %	5.6 %	8.8 %	17.5 %
AKRON, OH	7,597	3,618	43.4	40.5	48.0	26.8	23.9	33.2	15.9	14.6	21.7
ALBANY, GA	502	341	36.3	38.6	43.2	18.2	22.3	23.0	10.8	14.0	16.8
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY, NY	4,673	1,697	24.5	31.4	39.9	17.3	27.9	34.3	6.3	13.7	17.7
ALBUQUERQUE, NM	4,664	3,852	42.3	42.9	46.3	49.1	50.8	53.4	16.9	16.8	19.6
ALEXANDRIA, LA	664	122	23.5	28.1	36.1	14.0	18.9	29.5	7.9	9.6	15.6
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA	3,482	3,963	39.9	38.0	43.1	22.4	21.0	26.3	12.2	13.8	16.2
ALTOONA, PA	279	437	38.5	33.8	41.9	28.3	24.9	32.9	10.4	10.2	15.3
AMARILLO, TX	1,169	605	29.8	29.2	36.3	16.6	16.9	21.2	9.8	8.3	14.0
ANCHORAGE, AK	947	911	30.6	27.8	39.0	17.8	14.3	22.0	9.1	6.3	16.3
ANN ARBOR, MI	7,012	4,916	49.4	46.6	52.2	20.1	18.7	23.5	17.3	15.8	20.8
ANNISTON, AL	437	363	41.4	57.8	50.4	8.2	10.5	16.6	12.6	23.2	19.2
APPLETON-OSHKOSH-NEENAH, WI	2,905	2,123	46.3	49.8	50.0	15.0	17.6	17.9	12.7	15.6	16.0
ASHEVILLE, NC	1,460	1,563	42.8	38.1	48.2	20.4	17.8	22.9	15.1	13.0	20.4
ATHENS, GA	1,108	772	37.2	34.9	38.6	23.9	22.3	28.2	11.7	10.2	14.6
ATLANTA, GA	42,513	37,347	47.4	48.5	49.4	28.8	28.2	34.7	17.3	17.7	19.7
ATLANTIC-CAPE MAY, NJ	3,497	3,235	31.6	29.3	32.6	27.8	25.5	27.6	10.1	10.1	10.9
AUBURN-OPELIKA, AL	712	400	36.0	50.5	45.6	19.8	21.5	33.1	14.6	13.5	22.5
AUGUSTA-AIKEN, GA-SC	3,242	2,292	39.1	49.3	48.2	27.6	32.2	43.0	14.3	18.9	21.5
AUSTIN-SAN MARCOS, TX	10,303	10,715	31.6	32.1	36.0	23.1	21.7	27.5	10.5	10.7	14.9
BAKERSFIELD, CA	3,842	1,916	27.6	28.0	30.4	25.9	25.5	25.3	13.8	10.8	12.7
BALTIMORE, MD	13,283	14,948	43.3	48.7	45.9	21.3	25.0	24.6	17.1	21.1	18.6
BANGOR, ME	382	227	32.4	35.6	38.4	10.6	7.9	13.0	9.5	9.3	14.8
BARNSTABLE-YARMOUTH, MA	1,706	1,142	26.9	25.7	26.9	39.9	33.4	31.7	7.5	6.9	7.5
BATON ROUGE, LA	4,009	1,643	35.2	38.0	41.7	23.6	26.8	33.4	11.3	12.8	17.4
BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR, TX	939	1,205	31.0	32.3	40.0	15.8	22.1	25.4	8.6	10.9	15.1
BELLINGHAM, WA	1,516	1,402	41.5	40.4	41.9	25.5	24.2	25.2	11.8	10.6	12.7
BENTON HARBOR, MI	1,030	983	41.1	41.8	49.7	12.4	9.3	17.3	16.3	16.1	22.4
BERGEN-PASSAIC, NJ	7,258	5,684	44.1	48.4	43.2	27.5	30.7	29.8	13.4	15.6	14.2
BILLINGS, MT	729	507	44.2	38.6	48.1	17.0	14.4	21.8	15.2	13.2	17.4
BILOXI-GULFPORT-PASCAGOULA, MS	1,878	701	32.3	40.0	39.0	23.9	29.8	27.4	9.0	14.6	14.4
BINGHAMTON, NY	558	507	33.5	36.1	46.5	20.6	22.7	27.8	10.3	13.3	18.6
BIRMINGHAM, AL	5,885	5,048	40.5	40.7	50.5	19.2	21.8	29.8	13.5	13.6	22.8

\* Interpreted as follows: 26.8% of Fannie Mae's year 2000 purchases were for low- and moderate-income borrowers.

\*\* Interpreted as follows: 36.3% of mortgages originated during 2000 in the conventional conforming market were for low- and moderate-income borrowers. The market estimate includes only the A and A-minus portions of the conventional conforming market.

Additional footnotes follow the table.

	Number of	Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	graphically Targ	eted	S	ecial Affordabl	e
	Purcha	used by:									
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
BISMARCK ND	348	277	33.3	37 3	45.0	23.9	23.1	24 1	11.0	12.7	16.6
BLOOMINGTON IN	960	440	40.4	47.5	48.1	20.1	14.3	20.4	15.1	16.4	19.6
BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL IL	749	1 464	58.4	52.7	55.4	29.8	27.6	32.9	24.1	21.0	24.9
BOISE CITY ID	4 594	3 1 5 2	40.9	37.4	44 5	25.6	23.2	29.0	14.9	12.7	18.0
BOSTON MA-NH	28 963	14 648	43.7	40.7	43.9	31.5	31.1	33.8	15.5	12.9	15.6
BOULDER-LONGMONT CO	4 249	2,959	49.9	48.6	51.6	23.5	24.1	24.2	19.3	17.1	19.4
BRAZORIA TX	1 399	1 301	24.5	27.4	34.4	16.2	25.1	22.4	47	82	12.0
BREMERTON WA	2 215	1,00	34.7	37.9	36.2	16.5	13.6	16.0	97	11.4	10.4
BRIDGEPORT CT	3 397	2 542	50.3	55.7	51.7	19.6	22.5	19.5	18.5	19.3	19.3
BROCKTON MA	2,081	1 1 30	44 7	46.9	42.9	15.5	13.4	16.0	12.8	12.9	13.1
BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO TX	733	720	18.4	14.8	23.8	48.6	54.6	57.5	5.7	3.9	8.4
BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION TX	592	673	23.9	19.2	26.7	16.6	21.7	25.4	87	47	9.8
BUFFALO-NIAGARA FALLS NY	6 4 3 0	3 309	32.7	34.9	46.0	10.6	15.0	18.5	93	11.6	18.6
BURLINGTON VT	1 189	1 210	38.9	38.2	42.7	18.8	17.9	20.7	11.5	10.8	14.3
CANTON-MASSILLON OH	4 493	1,577	48.5	50.2	55.8	18.1	19.8	27.6	16.1	20.0	25.3
CASPER WY	342	250	37.9	36.9	50.1	21.6	24.0	25.8	12.7	13.6	23.2
CEDAR RAPIDS IA	1.710	1.477	52.9	49.4	56.4	17.8	14.7	21.0	20.7	17.2	25.0
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, IL	664	1.162	48.8	49.0	46.8	14.6	14.5	16.7	15.8	17.0	18.5
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON SC	4 144	4.351	32.0	31.1	41.1	24.6	30.2	36.4	9.2	8.6	16.2
CHARLESTON WV	997	692	34.9	28.5	43.8	25.6	22.0	32.2	11.0	9.3	18.2
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-ROCK HILL NC-SC	11.842	15.116	43.8	44.5	50.4	21.3	20.5	29.1	15.0	16.2	21.6
CHARLOTTESVILLE. VA	916	1.652	45.6	45.4	47.6	22.6	21.3	26.2	16.6	17.0	19.7
CHATTANOOGA, TN-GA	3,172	1,949	42.9	48.7	52.7	21.9	22.6	33.0	15.1	18.3	23.8
CHEYENNE, WY	556	355	36.5	32.5	46.6	21.2	20.0	30.5	13.6	9.7	20.0
CHICAGO, IL	66.713	57,419	51.9	50.8	53.0	24.7	21.9	28.3	19.3	18.0	21.0
CHICO-PARADISE, CA	1.888	1.125	27.7	26.5	26.6	30.0	29.7	30.0	11.5	8.5	9.3
CINCINNATI. OH-KY-IN	16.839	12.029	43.6	45.7	51.5	24.2	21.4	31.4	17.5	16.2	21.8
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TN-KY	559	627	34.3	47.1	43.1	13.1	16.9	21.6	8.7	17.3	16.5
CLEVELAND-LORAIN-ELYRIA, OH	21,913	9,459	49.8	49.4	53.7	21.1	24.6	31.1	18.8	18.9	24.2
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO	4,820	4,024	36.0	33.1	36.7	24.6	21.7	28.5	13.2	10.5	13.0
COLUMBIA, MO	666	1,266	47.9	50.6	49.2	14.1	16.9	20.7	15.7	19.6	20.6
COLUMBIA, SC	3,297	4,606	44.7	45.5	52.1	26.8	27.5	38.1	16.2	17.2	23.7
COLUMBUS, GA-AL	1,287	1,197	31.4	44.5	41.0	18.5	23.5	37.0	11.5	15.7	18.8
COLUMBUS, OH	11,687	9,324	42.8	45.2	49.5	18.9	21.1	28.0	16.9	18.1	21.7
CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	1,266	1,121	19.9	25.1	29.6	26.4	40.6	38.9	5.0	8.9	10.8
CORVALLIS, OR	650	401	36.6	35.9	38.3	41.7	36.4	43.5	11.7	12.3	12.2
CUMBERLAND, MD-WV	279	197	32.8	33.2	39.6	11.5	10.2	15.9	8.9	9.6	14.5
DALLAS, TX	23,198	21,390	33.3	34.6	36.6	17.4	19.1	22.7	11.0	12.4	15.2
DANBURY, CT	2,066	1,350	62.7	61.6	63.6	25.5	25.3	25.9	25.8	23.8	27.5
DANVILLE, VA	289	231	33.0	36.8	47.7	28.7	22.2	32.0	11.6	12.3	22.7
DAVENPORT-MOLINE-ROCK ISLAND, IA-IL	1,712	2,160	47.2	49.0	51.7	22.1	23.9	31.5	19.0	19.4	23.9
DAYTON-SPRINGFIELD, OH	6,510	5,993	48.9	51.9	56.8	21.0	21.6	32.7	19.3	22.6	27.5
DAYTONA BEACH, FL	5,129	4,374	39.3	43.3	42.5	10.8	12.1	14.4	13.7	16.8	15.5
DECATUR, AL	842	502	43.6	47.3	55.9	24.7	24.5	37.0	17.8	18.8	27.1
DECATUR, IL	414	473	45.5	50.1	48.3	21.7	27.5	29.9	20.4	21.0	22.3
DENVER, CO	28,815	20,448	48.0	44.6	48.0	28.9	26.5	30.9	19.5	16.6	18.8
DES MOINES, IA	3,009	3,474	52.5	53.3	55.9	20.7	19.9	23.4	19.6	20.4	24.3

	Number of	Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	raphically Targ	eted	S	ecial Affordabl	e
	Purcha	sed by:					<u> </u>		·		
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
DETROIT MI	47 259	35 961	50.4	50.1	55.4	23.2	21.5	29.9	19.0	19.0	24.0
DOTHAN AL	469	370	43.8	35.9	50.8	14.1	12.7	22.3	12.1	8.2	24.0
DOVER DE	560	549	36.3	45.8	47.9	20.4	27.0	21.9	10.5	15.7	18.3
DUBLIQUE IA	516	353	57.1	58.1	56.1	17.8	18.7	18.4	22.2	17.8	19.3
DUILUTH-SUPERIOR MN-WI	1 148	1 1 57	44.0	45.6	47.1	19.2	21.1	23.9	14.1	15.6	18.3
DUTCHESS COUNTY NY	2 150	1 273	38.8	32.6	39.6	23.9	19.2	23.5	10.2	10.0	11.5
EALLCLAIDE WI	2,150	1,275	38.5	40.1	14.8	23.9	21.4	22.0	11.5	11.0	17.4
EL PASO TY	1 756	1,051	26.1	35.0	36.1	46.1	52.0	54.0	10.1	16.6	16.0
EL LASO, LA EL KHAPT COSHEN IN	1,750	1,001	58.8	54.4	63.0	13.3	92.9	12.7	23.8	17.8	26.2
ELMIRA NV	105	265	30.6	30.6	43.1	12.3	17.4	20.0	10.7	6.5	15.0
ELMIRA, NI ENID OK	195	203	24.0	30.0	43.1	12.5	17.4	20.0	0.2	12.5	22.1
ENID, OK	104	1 /4	24.0	25.1	20 5	9.1	13.5	29.3	9.5	12.5	14.0
EKIE, PA	2 027	1,021	20.0	33.1	20.2	19.4	18.0	22.5	0.1	10.8	14.0
EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD, OK	5,027	2,082	29.8	32.3	52.5	54.5 19.2	51./	33.9	9.1	9.1	9.9
EVANSVILLE-HENDERSON, IN-KY	1,948	1,860	44.0	45.5	52.5	18.3	15.0	23.0	10.7	17.4	24.1
FARGO-MOURHEAD, ND-MIN	589 704	082	39.5	40.9	44.4	21.9	19.9	24.0	15.2	12.2	16.3
FAYETTEVILLE, NC	724	1,352	33.4	33.3	39.7	16.9	18.5	21.3	10.4	11.9	15.3
FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE-ROGERS, AR	2,792	1,232	32.5	25.4	41.4	49.7	51.7	52.1	9.8	1.2	17.8
FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER, MA	865	584	44.3	46.4	43.1	16.2	11.3	13.9	14.5	12.0	13.2
FLAGSTAFF, AZ-UT	1,096	902	30.5	25.5	31.6	25.7	28.4	27.8	7.4	7.3	9.8
FLINT, MI	4,139	1,991	43.7	47.6	48.7	14.8	14.1	20.8	15.9	17.0	20.9
FLORENCE, AL	700	438	41.8	59.8	48.2	16.0	17.6	21.2	13.5	21.6	20.6
FLORENCE, SC	759	600	32.6	31.7	53.9	26.9	28.2	48.8	10.7	9.9	27.3
FORT COLLINS-LOVELAND, CO	3,759	2,479	40.8	35.8	39.8	29.4	27.4	29.9	13.8	10.6	13.1
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL	19,302	13,873	46.4	48.4	45.9	20.7	22.5	23.9	17.7	20.4	18.3
FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL, FL	6,250	5,102	36.6	37.7	36.2	17.3	19.5	21.2	13.1	14.1	13.1
FORT PIERCE-PORT ST. LUCIE, FL	2,740	2,419	43.1	46.5	45.9	8.2	11.9	12.1	17.5	18.0	18.5
FORT SMITH, AR-OK	897	507	26.0	23.4	38.3	16.7	23.9	32.4	6.1	5.8	14.4
FORT WALTON BEACH, FL	1,239	892	31.6	28.9	35.2	17.6	17.2	24.4	13.1	11.3	15.6
FORT WAYNE, IN	2,602	3,977	52.9	48.6	56.6	16.6	15.7	26.1	20.3	18.6	25.9
FORT WORTH-ARLINGTON, TX	10,339	8,943	38.0	39.0	43.3	23.5	24.1	29.5	12.9	15.1	18.9
FRESNO, CA	5,813	2,857	25.5	27.4	24.9	45.0	37.3	40.3	11.1	9.8	8.9
GADSDEN, AL	241	475	37.6	36.0	43.9	15.4	16.4	25.2	10.7	12.6	16.0
GAINESVILLE, FL	1,279	1,076	32.4	35.0	39.2	19.3	16.3	23.7	10.9	12.5	16.1
GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY, TX	1,868	1,503	27.9	26.5	31.6	21.6	20.9	26.2	8.9	10.1	12.2
GARY, IN	3,126	3,198	45.8	46.5	47.8	12.0	15.7	19.6	15.8	17.5	19.9
GLENS FALLS, NY	948	186	30.7	37.1	39.1	23.2	21.5	24.5	9.5	8.0	11.6
GOLDSBORO, NC	214	401	36.3	33.6	50.9	25.2	24.9	35.5	11.8	7.8	20.5
GRAND FORKS, ND-MN	226	187	31.3	33.1	42.4	16.4	18.7	20.1	9.0	11.4	17.8
GRAND JUNCTION, CO	1,295	1,144	33.7	34.0	36.2	35.5	35.1	37.4	10.4	9.3	11.2
GRAND RAPIDS-MUSKEGON-HOLLAND, MI	10,094	10,107	51.6	46.6	56.6	20.8	17.5	25.5	18.5	15.2	23.0
GREAT FALLS, MT	283	319	32.6	30.4	35.3	16.6	14.7	20.9	12.2	9.1	13.4
GREELEY, CO	2,613	1,636	29.2	25.5	28.7	21.2	21.8	25.6	7.8	7.3	8.7
GREEN BAY, WI	1,588	1,703	48.9	51.3	52.3	15.9	17.9	20.7	16.6	17.9	19.3
GREENSBOROWINSTON-SALEMHIGH POINT, NC	5,901	8,715	43.2	42.7	50.7	22.8	20.4	31.9	15.1	14.8	21.5
GREENVILLE, NC	604	780	37.4	30.2	50.9	24.2	22.7	40.4	11.1	8.9	26.2
GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG-ANDERSON, SC	5,013	7,486	44.2	43.4	52.2	19.9	18.3	28.8	15.1	15.0	23.3
HAGERSTOWN, MD	453	553	40.4	40.9	40.5	11.0	14.6	16.4	11.5	14.1	12.8
HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN, OH	3,107	2,505	46.6	44.7	49.6	20.9	17.6	27.5	18.7	17.5	22.7

	Number of	Mortgages	Low	- and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	raphically Targ	eted	S	becial Affordabl	le
	Purcha	ised by:							·		
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
HARRISBURG-I FRANON-CARLISI F. PA	3 093	2 680	46.4	41.5	48.2	20.0	20.0	27.3	14.3	13.0	18 3
HARTFORD CT	6 946	5 947	44 7	46.1	45.7	15.9	16.2	18.0	14.8	15.3	15.3
HATTIESBURG MS	730	232	29.1	21.1	36.8	13.2	16.4	21.8	7.2	7.2	14.7
HICKORY-MORGANTON-LENOIR NC	1.559	2,555	46.0	43.4	53.2	12.9	10.7	15.7	14.4	14.5	20.9
HONOLULU HI	3,965	1,669	42.6	38.8	36.7	65.5	66.6	65.4	15.7	13.9	12.3
HOUMA LA	884	181	29.2	28.8	36.0	33.0	35.9	40.8	7.7	8.2	13.0
HOUSTON TX	27.510	22.365	33.0	37.8	40.9	28.6	31.9	35.5	10.6	15.4	17.6
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND WV-KY-OH	978	956	33.3	30.1	40.8	24.8	22.1	31.9	11.3	83	16.1
HUNTSVILLE AL	2 843	1.724	42.5	53.8	50.9	31.9	30.1	38.1	16.1	21.7	23.7
INDIANAPOLIS IN	13 226	8 777	46.2	43.3	50.9	18.2	18.4	26.8	17.6	16.4	23.0
IOWA CITY IA	734	679	47.4	45.2	50.0	23.0	25.7	28.6	14.3	12.8	18.1
IACKSON MI	1 145	1 330	45.6	43.7	50.8	13.4	12.9	17.2	14.0	13.9	19.1
IACKSON MS	2 658	1,005	43.5	33.7	48.4	24.2	25.3	33.0	19.9	10.5	25.2
IACKSON TN	505	447	31.7	37.5	47.4	17.6	24.4	34.5	73	10.2	20.1
IACKSONVILLE FL	8 1 5 7	7 373	38.7	40.5	46.8	18.9	19.9	28.2	13.8	15.5	20.3
IACKSONVILLE NC	395	534	24.2	20.3	35.8	18.7	12.9	23.1	6.4	3.0	12.6
IAMESTOWN NY	283	301	30.0	30.4	38.0	8.8	10.3	11.1	5.7	77	12.0
IANESVILLE-BELOIT WI	<u>2</u> 03 957	893	58.9	54.3	58.0	21.2	21.7	28.2	21.3	20.1	22.7
JERSEY CITY NI	2 669	1 866	26.1	26.4	28.3	60.7	60.7	63.2	6.0	5.8	6.6
IOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TN-VA	1 621	1,000	39.4	44 1	50.8	23.3	31.1	31.1	13.2	17.6	23.5
IOHNSTOWN PA	366	318	26.1	29.6	34.8	18.6	15.1	21.3	6.5	77	11.0
IONESBORO AR	344	166	36.5	32.1	39.8	16.6	12.0	24.5	8.5	10.5	16.8
JOPLIN MO	824	1.593	45.0	40.0	45.7	13.6	12.8	20.7	15.9	14.7	18.0
KALAMAZOO-BATTLE CREEK. MI	3.109	3,903	45.3	39.2	48.8	26.3	19.2	32.9	17.4	14.0	22.2
KANKAKEE II.	262	548	51.5	43.6	50.1	12.6	97	12.8	16.5	15.0	19.5
KANSAS CITY MO-KS	12 730	11 493	47.1	47.5	49.6	20.1	23.1	27.5	17.5	18.7	21.5
KENOSHA WI	1.353	731	46.7	37.9	43.3	12.1	8.2	15.0	13.7	11.1	14.7
KILLEEN-TEMPLE TX	666	471	21.8	27.9	33.1	23.9	29.3	31.4	5.0	9.7	9.5
KNOXVILLE TN	4 195	2.554	40.3	40.2	52.4	21.9	23.8	32.4	13.7	14.6	26.7
KOKOMO. IN	687	764	55.4	50.7	54.4	29.1	27.1	34.9	21.7	20.6	24.5
LA CROSSE WI-MN	582	584	47.0	43.8	48.6	15.6	14.0	18.0	14.6	10.2	17.8
LAFAYETTE LA	1.177	548	25.2	22.9	39.0	24.2	23.9	41.0	8.5	7.5	17.1
LAFAYETTE, IN	1.240	632	47.1	51.3	51.1	11.1	17.6	19.7	17.6	22.7	21.9
LAKE CHARLES. LA	807	367	33.5	31.3	38.9	23.3	23.4	31.8	12.2	10.5	16.8
LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN. FL	2,405	2.826	41.0	41.7	44.1	14.9	20.9	23.4	14.3	16.3	18.3
LANCASTER. PA	2.376	2,533	44.9	45.6	44.1	11.1	10.3	11.4	14.0	13.5	14.2
LANSING-EAST LANSING, MI	4,531	2,937	43.2	47.0	52.7	18.4	19.6	25.7	12.6	16.2	19.8
LAREDO, TX	279	227	20.5	10.4	31.1	54.1	50.2	60.1	3.5	2.5	12.7
LAS CRUCES. NM	1.079	476	20.4	25.6	39.3	63.6	69.3	70.5	6.1	7.8	18.6
LAS VEGAS, NV-AZ	16.234	11,955	39.7	37.7	39.2	14.3	15.2	16.8	12.0	12.8	13.0
LAWRENCE, KS	800	564	42.6	34.3	38.8	31.8	26.8	33.1	13.5	11.3	12.8
LAWRENCE, MA-NH	3,740	2,375	42.7	45.1	45.4	14.8	20.9	21.8	13.8	14.9	16.3
LAWTON, OK	256	205	30.6	24.6	40.0	39.5	31.7	40.1	10.3	5.5	15.5
LEWISTON-AUBURN. ME	235	283	31.7	28.9	39.0	7.3	9.2	9.1	5.8	5.1	12.1
LEXINGTON, KY	2.794	3,557	44.5	38.6	46.0	31.3	27.7	35.9	16.7	14.0	19.9
LIMA, OH	768	785	48.6	50.0	49.1	14.6	14.8	19.2	16.4	20.3	19.4
LINCOLN, NE	2.437	992	53.5	46.5	53.5	17.1	16.3	24.7	19.7	15.7	22.5
LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR	3,196	2,494	32.6	33.6	43.2	12.3	15.6	25.1	11.4	12.2	19.8

	Number of	Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	raphically Targ	eted	S	ecial Affordabl	e
	Purcha	ised by:									
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
LONGVIEW-MARSHALL TX	698	292	24.7	30.4	40.8	16.9	18.5	29.8	5.6	10.7	177
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH CA	63 729	24 995	28.8	31.9	28.6	47.8	45.3	46.5	93	10.7	8.4
LOUISVILLE KY-IN	6.077	8 347	46.8	41.5	49.8	21.9	17.8	30.4	19.2	15.9	22.7
LOWELL MA-NH	2 828	1 883	46.0	47.5	46.6	14.4	16.8	18.2	15.5	15.9	15.7
LUBBOCK TX	1 006	806	23.5	27.2	31.1	14.8	17.1	24.0	63	9.8	13.2
LYNCHBURG VA	979	1 268	40.5	41.2	47.4	11.5	12.9	15.8	15.8	12.8	19.0
MACON GA	1 877	1 414	34.2	38.9	45.0	16.5	23.8	30.7	10.8	14.2	20.2
MADISON WI	3 041	2,858	48.3	50.3	51.5	21.0	23.1	24.2	15.1	16.6	17.8
MANCHESTER NH	1,769	1.226	36.2	34.2	40.2	15.5	14.4	20.4	10.0	8.4	12.4
MANSFIELD OH	736	588	50.2	55.3	53.6	27.7	26.4	31.8	19.8	21.7	23.0
MCALLEN-EDINBURG-MISSION TX	834	1 147	13.9	20.0	27.8	44.8	52.8	58.6	2.6	71	8.2
MEDFORD-ASHLAND OR	2 090	1 136	27.4	29.3	29.9	29.3	30.9	32.9	71	9.0	8.5
MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-PALM BAY, FL	4 446	3,837	46.2	47.4	45.9	25.3	22.8	26.3	22.1	20.7	20.4
MEMPHIS TN-AR-MS	6.219	3,857	35.1	34.7	45.6	20.9	23.3	34.4	12.8	13.0	21.5
MERCED CA	1,175	776	19.9	24.5	20.8	68.6	71.0	69.3	6.5	7.1	5.2
MIAMI FL	17.009	11.932	32.9	34.1	31.7	42.5	44.7	46.0	9.4	11.2	10.1
MIDDLESEX-SOMERSET-HUNTERDON, NJ	8,112	8,629	56.6	58.0	56.0	23.2	25.0	24.1	20.5	22.3	21.0
MILWAUKEE-WAUKESHA, WI	9.671	6.641	48.5	48.4	51.4	15.2	16.9	22.8	14.9	17.3	20.2
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MN-WI	23,491	29,266	58.9	54.9	58.2	26.2	22.3	27.8	23.2	20.2	23.5
MISSOULA. MT	846	402	29.4	31.6	35.8	23.8	23.1	25.1	9.9	10.1	12.8
MOBILE, AL	3.431	2.640	33.0	37.7	43.7	8.7	10.5	17.8	10.9	12.5	17.1
MODESTO, CA	3,609	2,518	30.3	30.5	28.5	34.2	30.1	34.5	10.0	8.3	8.3
MONMOUTH-OCEAN, NJ	9,382	9,184	40.0	43.9	41.1	36.8	37.1	37.6	15.2	17.6	15.9
MONROE, LA	888	167	25.0	27.1	33.9	10.4	17.4	22.4	8.6	14.8	15.3
MONTGOMERY, AL	1,988	684	43.3	46.4	51.4	21.9	27.2	36.8	15.5	15.7	24.3
MUNCIE, IN	435	506	52.7	48.5	51.7	27.8	25.5	34.5	21.4	20.1	24.4
MYRTLE BEACH, SC	3,105	2,578	30.5	25.6	37.1	26.0	27.6	29.7	9.1	7.0	13.9
NAPLES, FL	3,336	3,739	40.5	42.5	39.9	18.9	20.4	21.9	14.7	15.1	13.5
NASHUA, NH	1,932	1,565	46.6	43.7	47.2	22.4	22.6	25.7	14.6	14.4	15.9
NASHVILLE, TN	8,791	7,533	49.5	51.1	54.4	16.6	18.3	25.0	19.2	19.8	25.4
NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY	18,312	11,331	50.5	47.2	50.8	34.7	33.4	36.1	16.7	13.0	16.1
NEW BEDFORD, MA	922	398	30.9	29.9	30.1	14.3	19.3	19.6	9.3	6.3	8.4
NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT	3,877	2,544	43.9	47.7	46.3	20.6	21.7	25.1	15.2	16.9	17.4
NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI	1,771	1,378	39.3	38.6	40.8	15.4	18.4	17.0	13.3	12.9	12.9
NEW ORLEANS, LA	7,422	2,646	32.5	32.9	34.5	28.6	31.6	34.3	11.8	12.4	13.7
NEW YORK, NY	34,737	15,044	28.7	24.6	31.5	27.8	25.1	30.1	6.5	4.5	7.4
NEWARK, NJ	10,304	10,792	44.6	45.3	45.0	21.6	21.1	24.9	14.3	15.5	16.2
NEWBURGH, NY-PA	3,129	1,937	32.4	31.1	36.5	25.5	23.2	24.9	7.9	7.7	11.1
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC	6,503	8,530	34.2	38.2	39.8	27.4	29.5	33.7	12.9	14.6	15.5
OAKLAND, CA	26,185	12,650	42.7	41.9	38.7	52.8	50.8	52.8	15.2	14.0	13.2
OCALA, FL	2,128	1,508	35.7	44.8	44.4	27.3	20.2	26.9	10.9	16.4	14.9
ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX	646	659	22.7	26.1	36.4	10.5	20.5	28.9	5.1	8.8	19.0
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK	7,531	4,590	33.3	33.0	41.3	18.9	20.3	28.8	11.3	12.1	17.3
OLYMPIA, WA	2,091	951	35.2	36.4	36.7	34.2	36.6	37.0	9.0	10.5	9.7
OMAHA, NE-IA	7,295	3,986	48.2	48.2	51.0	15.5	22.2	27.4	17.7	19.9	23.3
ORANGE COUNTY, CA	26,088	12,418	41.5	45.9	39.1	35.2	31.1	33.2	14.0	15.9	12.3
ORLANDO, FL	17,507	12,155	38.1	40.6	40.1	30.6	31.6	35.5	13.0	14.8	14.7
OWENSBORO, KY	291	859	42.8	43.5	51.7	23.4	18.7	24.8	12.6	14.0	20.0

	Number of	Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	raphically Targ	eted	S	becial Affordabl	e
	Purcha	used by:					<u> </u>				
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
DANAMA CITY FI	1 326	618	26.9	31.4	36.0	11.4	14.2	20.2	8 5	10.8	15.3
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA WV-OH	372	411	42.6	42.1	48.8	29.3	21.9	30.7	21.7	14.4	22.5
PENSACOLA EL	2 649	2 162	34.9	40.9	39.6	16.5	23.3	25.7	12.1	17.7	16.4
PEOPLA-PEKIN II	1 983	1 828	53.2	48.6	53.2	20.1	18.3	22.7	21.7	18.4	22.2
PHILADELPHIA PA-NI	29 408	26 961	38.7	41.9	43.3	16.3	22.1	22.0	12.8	16.7	18.3
PHOENIX-MESA AZ	30,830	20,001	39.8	41.0	42.3	30.2	31.5	33.3	14.6	16.3	16.4
PINE BLUEF AR	212	180	20.6	27.2	38.4	22 2	25.6	32.5	8.5	9.9	15.4
DITTSBUDGH DA	9 000	8 173	34.5	31.1	383	18.0	18.5	27.1	11.8	10.4	15.7
PITTSEIELD MA	284	86	37.5	29.6	41.9	10.5	14.0	12.4	14.2	12.3	16.4
POCATELLO ID	659	384	42.1	42.5	48.3	27.8	30.2	29.2	15.4	16.6	19.1
PORTLAND ME	2 115	1 221	34.7	35.5	30.0	19.2	18.3	22.2	10.1	10.8	12.9
PORTLAND VANCOUVER OR WA	2,115	12 000	37.0	37.1	38.3	28.0	24.8	22.0	12.2	11.0	13.0
PORTSMOUTH-ROCHESTER NH-ME	21,123	1 4 1 8	31.9	30.5	36.9	13.2	11.2	15.3	8.4	77	11.1
PROVIDENCE FALL RIVER WARWICK RIMA	2,433	1,418	35.2	36.7	36.8	13.2	15.1	17.2	10.0	9.4	10.0
PROVIDENCE-TALL RIVER-WARWICK, RI-MA	3 200	2 304	32.7	33.3	32.7	15.9	16.8	16.6	5 7	9. <del>4</del> 6.4	6.3
PUERLO CO	1 302	2,504	20.3	34.1	35.8	35.0	10.8	10.0	10.8	12.8	16.3
PUNTA GORDA FL	2 870	1 3 3 0	37.0	38.6	40.2	14.1	20.8	10.1	12.4	14.4	13.6
PACINE WI	2,370	1,035	51.8	18.7	52.0	13.0	18.5	19.1	12.4	18.2	10.7
DALEICH DUDHAM CHADEL HILL NC	1,520 8 780	12 200	44.2	46.7	18 5	25.1	25.0	21.6	17.2	16.2	19.7
PADD CITY SD	0,700	12,290	31.6	40.8	46.5	23.1	20.3	32.1	10.5	10.5	22.2
RAID CITT, SD READING DA	2 302	230	41.0	40.1	44.0	20.9	20.5	16.3	11.3	14.2	10.6
READING, LA	1 413	2,550	26.0	30.1	26.5	21.2	18.6	10.3	10.6	85	77
PENO NV	3 027	2 576	20.0	42.2	20.5	18.2	18.0	22.8	13.6	14.4	16.0
PICHI AND KENNEWICK PASCO WA	1,465	2,570	34.3	42.2	37.2	21.2	28.7	22.8	11.0	14.4	14.3
RICHMOND PETERSBURG VA	6,007	8 621	42.9	/3.0	17.8	21.2	10.7	23.2	16.6	17.4	20.7
DIVEDSIDE SAN DEDNADDINO, CA	28 266	0,021 14,626	42.9	43.9	47.0	21.3	29.2	27.4	10.0	17.4	20.7
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO, CA	28,200	2 131	20.1	52.1	20.3	10.0	20.5	29.0	9.0	22.2	0.J 23.2
POCHESTER MN	600	1,620	45.5	56.6	61.0	22.6	22.9	29.0	26.1	21.1	25.2
POCHESTER, MN	6 586	3 435	37.5	37.0	48.7	15.3	22.0	23.5	12.3	12.6	10.5
ROCKEORD II	2 524	3 124	49.2	51.3	40.7 52.5	16.2	18.4	22.9	12.5	10.1	21.6
ROCKY MOUNT NC	2,524	649	44.5	25.4	53.0	16.2	15.4	53.6	15.4	61	25.3
SACRAMENTO CA	10 467	10 100	33.2	23.4	31.4	20.0	43.0	20.2	11.7	10.0	10.0
SAGINAW BAY CITY MIDI AND MI	2 074	2 354	51.0	46.2	51.4	29.9	27.0	29.2	21.0	17.5	24.9
ST CLOUD MN	2,074	1 252	49.6	45.3	49.3	24.7	19.2	23.5	15.8	12.5	16.2
ST IOSEPH MO	508	1,252	43.5	43.0	43.0	10.5	18.3	23.5	12.4	17.3	18.7
ST LOUIS MO II	17 988	24 231	47.5	43.7	52.5	22.3	20.9	31.8	17.9	16.8	23.0
SALEM OP	3 163	1 500	31.7	33.4	35.0	13.7	15.1	15.5	0.1	85	0.2
SALEM, OK SALINAS CA	3 151	1,509	24.6	28.3	24.3	66.0	69.6	70.8	6.8	7.5	9.2 6.4
SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN UT	12 868	6 3 7 5	46.4	44.0	46.4	22.4	23.1	24.7	15.0	14.1	16.2
SAN ANGELO TX	304	288	26.0	35.5	37.1	24.0	32.3	37.2	6.5	10.7	13.1
SAN ANTONIO TX	6 054	5 102	20.0	26.1	33.3	24.0	29.6	33.3	5.8	96	13.1
SAN DIEGO CA	31 151	12 473	30.2	31.0	27.1	36.1	34.2	36.2	10.0	10.2	8.0
SAN EPANCISCO CA	10.080	12,475	15.8	44.5	377	57.4	51.2	54.1	16.2	14.0	12.1
SAN IOSE CA	11 440	5 737	45.0 57.8	50.2	57.7	68.4	67.2	60.6	23.2	22.0	20.7
SAN LUIS OBISPOLATASCADERO.PASO ROBLES CA	3 266	1.627	23.5	24.6	22.7	23.6	21.5	24.5	65	6.5	4.6
SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC CA	2 830	1,027	30.7	35.0	33.1	37.3	32.0	36.1	10.8	12.7	11.5
SANTA CRUZ-WATSONVILLE CA	2,050	1,105	33.6	35.0	33.2	46.7	46.0	48.2	9.4	12.7	10.3
SANTA FE, NM	1.437	926	42.0	47.5	46.3	59.9	70.4	63.3	16.9	19.6	21.1
	1,157	,20		.,	.0.5	07.7	/0	00.0			

	Number of Mortgages		Low- and Moderate-Income			Geographically Targeted			Special Affordable		
	Purcha	used by:							·		
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
SANTA ROSA. CA	6 490	3 100	33.2	32.4	30.6	22.1	22.5	23.9	9.0	73	6.9
SARASOTA-BRADENTON FL	7 987	4 821	39.6	38.5	38.1	15.7	15.2	18.8	14.5	14.1	14.2
SAVANNAH GA	1 978	2 147	34.2	33.3	39.9	17.6	20.0	28.0	10.6	11.1	15.6
SCRANTONWILKES-BARREHAZLETON PA	4 345	1 696	45.0	373	43.4	17.8	14.3	20.2	14.5	12.2	15.5
SEATTLE-BELLEVUE-EVERETT WA	28 229	13 948	48.4	47.7	46.8	33.2	33.7	34.0	16.3	14.9	15.2
SHARON PA	572	366	31.9	33.7	39.7	10.5	10.7	14.1	9.4	97	13.3
SHEBOYGAN WI	720	609	49.2	48.6	54.2	12.9	16.3	20.3	12.8	14.5	20.1
SHERMAN-DENISON TX	630	384	25.3	22.6	32.7	11.3	13.0	13.4	63	6.5	12.2
SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER CITY LA	1 887	486	25.2	32.9	37.1	10.4	18.9	26.0	7.6	13.0	16.6
SIOUX CITY IA-NE	678	231	50.0	41.4	47.6	21.8	26.4	29.2	19.4	14.1	19.5
SIOUX FALLS SD	1 299	780	40.6	34.2	48.4	20.9	15.6	27.0	12.0	10.4	17.0
SOUTH BEND IN	1 412	2 080	52.7	47.2	56.3	24.9	18.8	32.1	22.5	17.4	27.5
SPOKANE WA	4 344	1 676	38.2	39.7	41.7	29.4	28.4	28.5	14.6	16.6	17.0
SPRINGEIELD II.	1 639	1 340	53.7	51.2	55.3	19.3	19.0	27.0	20.9	18.1	24.3
SPRINGFIELD, MO	1,039	2 979	45.7	45.7	48.2	18.8	20.5	27.8	15.4	16.8	20.1
SPRINGFIELD MA	2 403	1 144	38.3	43.7	41.8	16.8	22.3	22.1	11.1	11.5	13.3
STAMFORD-NORWALK CT	2,420	1 921	69.4	75.0	68.4	65.0	61.6	62.0	39.5	41.4	37.3
STATE COLLEGE PA	413	631	32.6	27.0	35.5	37.5	40.9	44.3	73	8.2	11.4
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON OH-WV	453	293	40.6	41.6	45.3	24.7	22.2	31.6	12.6	13.3	16.5
STOCKTON-LODI CA	4 937	3.256	24.3	27.4	22.9	33.8	35.1	35.3	8.2	10.8	7.7
SUMTER SC	285	312	40.1	28.6	50.9	34.4	38.1	52.8	17.2	10.0	26.4
SYRACUSE NY	3 875	1 583	30.9	34.4	42.1	13.3	21.0	23.4	8.4	10.0	15.6
TACOMA WA	7,765	3,835	32.8	34.8	34.6	22.8	19.9	23.4	8.7	9.1	9.1
TALLAHASSEE FL	1.318	1.384	40.6	42.0	47.1	33.2	33.7	42.1	14.6	17.2	22.7
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER FL	22,341	19.323	39.4	44.0	43.3	26.3	27.2	31.5	16.0	19.1	19.2
TERRE HAUTE. IN	835	635	36.4	47.9	50.2	15.9	17.5	26.4	15.8	20.6	22.6
TEXARKANA, TX-TEXARKANA, AR	319	158	24.9	33.3	38.8	16.6	23.4	34.4	7.3	6.3	17.5
TOLEDO. OH	6.855	4.273	51.2	46.3	54.1	20.4	16.0	24.9	20.9	16.7	24.7
TOPEKA KS	820	613	56.1	46.8	52.7	16.1	14.5	23.8	25.2	16.2	23.0
TRENTON, NJ	2,234	2,060	47.9	49.3	49.1	17.9	23.6	25.2	17.6	21.2	22.2
TUCSON, AZ	7,190	6,717	35.0	38.6	40.3	21.0	22.4	27.5	12.4	14.8	16.2
TULSA, OK	4,950	3,933	32.9	29.2	39.3	17.6	17.0	28.3	10.6	9,9	16.8
TUSCALOOSA, AL	914	729	34.1	38.7	46.3	18.6	18.1	21.9	12.0	11.4	21.5
TYLER, TX	621	732	31.5	35.9	42.9	11.3	20.5	23.5	7.2	12.7	16.1
UTICA-ROME, NY	949	494	29.8	37.7	40.6	14.2	15.2	19.1	7.1	11.1	13.8
VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA, CA	5,962	2,856	27.5	28.1	25.2	41.7	43.6	43.7	7.2	7.6	5.6
VENTURA, CA	7,988	3,733	41.6	41.8	41.8	40.6	37.2	41.2	14.9	12.8	14.4
VICTORIA, TX	117	202	30.0	26.1	36.9	35.0	42.6	41.4	7.3	7.9	15.7
VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON, NJ	476	377	42.5	46.5	44.5	26.7	27.6	29.3	14.5	13.2	16.7
VISALIA-TULARE-PORTERVILLE, CA	2,183	967	24.3	29.3	26.6	51.1	52.1	49.6	11.6	9.7	10.2
WACO, TX	762	508	30.2	25.8	36.1	13.0	21.9	26.6	9.0	10.6	16.1
WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA-WV	35,374	37,095	54.8	60.4	54.5	35.6	37.5	36.5	21.7	25.6	22.4
WATERBURY, CT	1,225	821	43.2	46.9	47.7	9.1	12.4	12.8	16.5	17.9	17.0
WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS, IA	511	769	47.2	49.0	51.3	30.3	33.0	33.6	19.6	24.3	25.4
WAUSAU, WI	467	508	45.0	47.8	52.7	13.5	14.8	15.8	9.4	12.4	17.2
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON, FL	14,526	9,364	47.2	49.0	46.0	24.0	26.4	26.2	19.9	22.0	18.8
WHEELING, WV-OH	352	284	34.4	36.7	41.9	23.3	23.9	26.4	10.9	11.4	15.0
WICHITA, KS	4,279	2,579	50.9	49.2	31.2	17.0	18.2	21.6	19.5	19.3	10.3

	Number of	Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	raphically Targ	eted	SI	pecial Affordab	le
	Purcha	ased by:									
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
WICHITA FALLS, TX	483	208	23.8	29.3	54.8	15.1	22.1	26.1	7.6	7.3	24.5
WILLIAMSPORT, PA	309	290	34.8	33.8	39.7	18.4	20.7	26.1	7.5	10.7	13.0
WILMINGTON-NEWARK, DE-MD	4,103	3,983	53.7	56.4	59.3	22.3	21.3	27.7	22.3	22.7	27.9
WILMINGTON, NC	2,128	2,581	34.6	33.1	38.9	26.8	30.5	36.1	10.7	11.7	16.6
WORCHESTER, MA-CT	3,577	2,284	38.2	36.6	39.6	10.9	12.4	14.7	11.3	9.9	11.6
YAKIMA, WA	1,441	749	32.8	40.5	37.4	36.2	45.0	38.7	11.5	16.7	14.0
YOLO, CA	1,414	662	32.7	34.0	34.2	30.0	30.5	35.1	12.0	12.3	11.3
YORK, PA	2,183	2,394	46.6	40.9	42.6	12.4	11.1	14.1	12.9	12.7	13.3
YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN, OH	6,337	2,200	38.0	47.5	48.8	15.3	21.2	26.3	11.4	20.1	20.0
YUBA CITY, CA	713	550	26.2	29.2	26.8	30.9	28.4	30.1	11.6	11.6	8.7
YUMA, AZ	621	474	35.4	31.1	36.8	38.6	38.6	45.6	17.9	12.9	16.9

Notes:

The GSE percentages are derived from the GSE Public Use Database. The GSE data include all single-family loans purchased in metropolitan areas in 2000, regardless of year of origination.

The market percentages are derived from HMDA data on mortgages originated in metropolitan areas in 2000. The "Market" is defined as the conventional conforming market for home purchase and refinance loans. Thus it includes all conventional loans except "jumbo" loans above the conforming loan limit, which was \$252,700 in 2000. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special affordable analyses.

Because missing value percentages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses. Neither HMDA data nor the GSE data available at the MSA level include the number of units in the property; therefore, the analysis is based on numbers of mortgages for 1 to 4-family owner-occupied and investor properties, even though official goal performance is based on numbers of units.

In both the GSE and market analyses, mortgages classified as special affordable include mortgages from very-low-income borrowers and low-income borrowers living in low-income census tracts. The Housing Goals are defined on a national level. The GSEs are not required to meet the Housing Goals in individual MSAs.

<sup>1</sup> HMDA-based market shares have been adjusted to exclude the B&C portion of the subprime market. It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market; for further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update*, Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

# Table 10a-2000

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Purchases, 2000

Home Purchase	Loan-to-Value Ratio								
	LTV >95%	$90\% < LTV \\ \le 95\%$	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	$LTV \leq 80\%$	Total <sup>1</sup>				
All Loans	51,855	219,891	189,021	711,178	1,171,945				
	4.4 %	18.8 %	16.1 %	60.7 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	10,181	20,329	16,471	73,449	120,430				
Borrower Loans	8.5 %	16.9 %	13.7 %	61.0 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	31,257	86,756	67,833	243,084	428,930				
Income Borrower Loans	7.3 %	20.2 %	15.8 %	56.7 %	100.0 %				
All Other Loans									
All Loans	5,967	9,019	64,112	444,385	523,483				
	1.1 %	1.7 %	12.2 %	84.9 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	47	528	5,565	59,900	66,040				
Borrower Loans	0.1 %	0.8 %	8.4 %	90.7 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	124	2,598	24,181	181,172	208,075				
Income Borrower Loans	0.1 %	1.2 %	11.6 %	87.1 %	100.0 %				

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in 2000. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

# Table 10b-2000

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Purchases, 2000

	Loan-to-Value Ratio								
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	$90\% < LTV \\ \le 95\%$	80% < LTV ≤90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>				
All Loans	54,543	181,996	136,968	525,454	898,961				
	6.1 %	20.2 %	15.2 %	58.5 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	12,601	17,109	12,687	56,968	99,365				
Borrower Loans	12.7 %	17.2 %	12.8 %	57.3 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	36,241	69,836	49,605	184,632	340,314				
Income Borrower Loans	10.6 %	20.5 %	14.6 %	54.3 %	100.0 %				
All Other Loans									
All Loans	2,324	10,453	61,051	376,222	450,050				
	0.5 %	2.3 %	13.6 %	83.6 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	87	947	6,651	54,998	62,683				
Borrower Loans	0.1 %	1.5 %	10.6 %	87.7 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	276	3,901	25,362	158,540	188,079				
Income Borrower Loans	0.1 %	2.1 %	13.5 %	84.3 %	100.0 %				

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Freddie Mac in 2000. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

# Table 10a-1999

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Purchases, 1999

	Loan-to-Value Ratio								
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>				
All Loans	48,337	253,117	189,471	629,425	1,120,350				
	4.3 %	22.6 %	16.9 %	56.2 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	8,353	21,551	16,958	68,343	115,205				
Borrower Loans	7.3 %	18.7 %	14.7 %	59.3 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	29,419	95,399	69,841	226,715	421,374				
Income Borrower Loans	7.0 %	22.6 %	16.6 %	53.8 %	100.0 %				
All Other Loans									
All Loans	18,284	19,967	155,865	1,177,267	1,371,383				
	1.3 %	1.5 %	11.4 %	85.8 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	1,608	1,394	10,738	119,955	133,695				
Borrower Loans	1.2 %	1.0 %	8.0 %	89.7 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	7,293	6,869	54,979	428,367	497,508				
Income Borrower Loans	1.5 %	1.4 %	11.1 %	86.1 %	100.0 %				

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

# Table 10b-1999

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Purchases, 1999

	Loan-to-Value Ratio								
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	$90\% < LTV \\ \le 95\%$	80% < LTV ≤90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>				
All Loans	43,601	184,971	137,117	474,156	839,845				
	5.2 %	22.0 %	16.3 %	56.5 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	5,706	14,985	11,381	51,043	83,115				
Borrower Loans	6.9 %	18.0 %	13.7 %	61.4 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	20,672	68,758	47,228	165,898	302,556				
Income Borrower Loans	6.8 %	22.7 %	15.6 %	54.8 %	100.0 %				
All Other Loans									
All Loans	427	12,427	125,938	981,513	1,120,305				
	0.0 %	1.1 %	11.2 %	87.6 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	43	856	9,551	110,104	120,554				
Borrower Loans	0.0 %	0.7 %	7.9 %	91.3 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	126	3,663	45,815	377,479	427,083				
Income Borrower Loans	0.0 %	0.9 %	10.7 %	88.4 %	100.0 %				

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Freddie Mac in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

#### Table 11a-2000

## Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Purchases in Underserved Areas, 2000

	Loan-to-Value Ratio								
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	$80\% < LTV$ $\leq 90\%$	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>				
All Loans	51,855	219,891	189,021	711,178	1,171,945				
	4.4 %	18.8 %	16.1 %	60.7 %	100.0 %				
Loans in Underserved Areas	19,487	61,285	50,362	155,943	287,077				
	6.8 %	21.3 %	17.5 %	54.3 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	5,303	9,105	6,296	23,115	43,819				
Borrower Loans	12.1 %	20.8 %	14.4 %	52.8 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	13,341	29,845	20,682	64,442	128,310				
Income Borrower Loans	10.4 %	23.3 %	16.1 %	50.2 %	100.0 %				
All Other Loans									
All Loans	5,967	9,019	64,112	444,385	523,483				
	1.1 %	1.7 %	12.2 %	84.9 %	100.0 %				
Loans in Underserved Areas	1,671	2,876	21,572	135,702	161,821				
	1.0 %	1.8 %	13.3 %	83.9 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	25	254	2,772	25,575	28,626				
Borrower Loans	0.1 %	0.9 %	9.7 %	89.3 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	51	1,015	9,670	65,860	76,596				
Income Borrower Loans	0.1 %	1.3 %	12.6 %	86.0 %	100.0 %				

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in underserved areas (both metropolitan and non-metropolitan) in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

### Table 11b-2000

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Purchases in Underserved Areas, 2000

	Loan-to-Value Ratio								
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤95%	80% < LTV ≤90%	LTV≤80%	Total <sup>1</sup>				
All Loans	54,543	181,996	136,968	525,454	898,961				
	6.1 %	20.2 %	15.2 %	58.5 %	100.0 %				
Loans in Underserved Areas	21,807	50,322	34,243	104,431	210,803				
	10.3 %	23.9 %	16.2 %	49.5 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	6,541	7,927	4,985	17,240	36,693				
Borrower Loans	17.8 %	21.6 %	13.6 %	47.0 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	15,390	15,854	9,970	34,480	75,694				
Income Borrower Loans	20.3 %	20.9 %	13.2 %	45.6 %	100.0 %				
All Other Loans									
All Loans	2,324	10,453	61,051	376,222	450,050				
	0.5 %	2.3 %	13.6 %	83.6 %	100.0 %				
Loans in Underserved Areas	1,008	3,793	22,226	117,272	144,299				
	0.7 %	2.6 %	15.4 %	81.3 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	48	515	3,588	25,300	29,451				
Borrower Loans	0.2 %	1.7 %	12.2 %	85.9 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	145	1,748	11,160	60,877	73,930				
Income Borrower Loans	0.2 %	2.4 %	15.1 %	82.3 %	100.0 %				

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in underserved areas (both metropolitan and non-metropolitan) in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

#### Table 11a-1999

### Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Purchases in Underserved Areas, 1999

		Loa	n-to-Value Rati	0	
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤95%	80% < LTV <u>&lt; 90%</u>	LTV <u>&lt;</u> 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>
All Loans	48,337	253,117	189,471	629,425	1,120,350
	4.3 %	22.6 %	16.9 %	56.2 %	100.0 %
Loans in Underserved Areas	16,248	64,062	45,125	122,571	248,006
	6.6 %	25.8 %	18.2 %	49.4 %	100.0 %
Very- Low-Income	4,056	9,406	6,767	20,099	40,328
Borrower Loans	10.1 %	23.3 %	16.8 %	49.8 %	100.0 %
Less- Than-Median	11,430	31,423	21,009	55,017	118,879
Income Borrower Loans	9.6 %	26.4 %	17.7 %	46.3 %	100.0 %
All Other Loans					
All Loans	18,284	19,967	155,865	1,177,267	1,371,383
	1.3 %	1.5 %	11.4 %	85.8 %	100.0 %
Loans in Underserved Areas	5,598	5,418	42,924	282,247	336,187
	1.7 %	1.6 %	12.8 %	84.0 %	100.0 %
Very- Low-Income	903	646	4,836	43,959	50,344
Borrower Loans	1.8 %	1.3 %	9.6 %	87.3 %	100.0 %
Less- Than-Median	2,953	2,514	19,349	130,823	155,639
Income Borrower Loans	1.9 %	1.6 %	12.4 %	84.1 %	100.0 %

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in underserved areas (both metropolitan and non-metropolitan) in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

### Table 11b-1999

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Purchases in Underserved Areas, 1999

	Loan-to-Value Ratio								
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	$90\% < LTV \\ \leq 95\%$	80% < LTV <u>&lt; 90%</u>	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>				
All Loans	43,601	184,971	137,117	474,156	839,845				
	5.2 %	22.0 %	16.3 %	56.5 %	100.0 %				
Loans in Underserved Areas	15,317	48,239	34,719	98,621	196,896				
	7.8 %	24.5 %	17.6 %	50.1 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	2,543	6,527	4,497	15,161	28,728				
Borrower Loans	8.9 %	22.7 %	15.7 %	52.8 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	7,441	22,516	14,237	41,153	85,347				
Income Borrower Loans	8.7 %	26.4 %	16.7 %	48.2 %	100.0 %				
All Other Loans									
All Loans	427	12,427	125,938	981,513	1,120,305				
	0.0 %	1.1 %	11.2 %	87.6 %	100.0 %				
Loans in Underserved Areas	195	4,018	38,273	258,081	300,567				
	0.1 %	1.3 %	12.7 %	85.9 %	100.0 %				
Very- Low-Income	19	413	4,735	42,810	47,977				
Borrower Loans	0.0 %	0.9 %	9.9 %	89.2 %	100.0 %				
Less- Than-Median	57	1,449	17,340	121,794	140,640				
Income Borrower Loans	0.0 %	1.0 %	12.3 %	86.6 %	100.0 %				

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Freddie Mac in underserved areas (both metropolitan and non-metropolitan) in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

### Table 12a-2000

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Conventional Mortgage Purchases Meeting 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals, 2000

-	Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals									
Loan-to-Value Ratio		Fannie Mae								
	No	No			Tota	Total				
0% < LTV <= 80%	589,589	70.2%	565,974	66.1%	1,155,563	68.1%				
80% < LTV <= 90%	123,899	14.7%	129,234	15.1%	253,133	14.9%				
90% < LTV <= 100%	126,553	15.1%	160,179	18.7%	286,732	16.9%				
Missing	103	0.0%	909	0.1%	1,012	0.1%				
Total	840,144	100.0%	856,296	100.0%	1,696,440	100.0%				

			Freddie	Mac		
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No		Yes		Tota	1
0% < LTV <= 80%	460,573	69.7%	440,540	63.5%	901,113	66.5%
80% < LTV <= 90%	96,344	14.6%	101,010	14.6%	197,354	14.6%
90% < LTV <= 100%	101,365	15.3%	138,651	20.0%	240,016	17.7%
Missing	2,566	0.4%	13,240	1.9%	15,806	1.2%
Total	660,848	100.0%	693,441	100.0%	1,354,289	100.0%

Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals

Entries are numbers of owner-occupied one-unit mortgages. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

# Table 12b-2000

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases of Conventional Home Purchase Mortgages Meeting 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals, 2000

	Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals											
			Fanni	e Mae								
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No	)	Ye	s	Tot	al						
0% < LTV <= 80%	392,145	64.7%	319,033	56.2%	711,178	60.6%						
80% < LTV <= 90%	95,683	15.8%	93,338	16.5%	189,021	16.1%						
90% < LTV <= 100%	117,752	19.4%	153,994	27.1%	271,746	23.2%						
Missing	100	0.0%	898	0.2%	998	0.1%						
Total	605,680	100.0%	567,263	100.0%	1,172,943	100.0%						

Meets 1	or More	Affordable	Housing	Goals
---------	---------	------------	---------	-------

	Freddie Mac											
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No	0	Ye	S	Tot	al						
0% < LTV <= 80%	295,571	63.5%	229,352	52.2%	524,923	58.0%						
80% < LTV <= 90%	71,389	15.3%	64,970	14.8%	136,359	15.1%						
90% < LTV <= 100%	96,692	20.8%	132,448	30.2%	229,140	25.3%						
Missing	1,600	0.3%	12,513	2.8%	14,113	1.6%						
Total	465,252	100.0%	439,283	100.0%	904,535	100.0%						

Entries are numbers of owner-occupied one-unit mortgages. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

### Table 12a-1999

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Conventional Mortgage Purchases Meeting 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals, 1999

-	Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals											
_			Fannie	Mae								
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No		Yes		Tota	1						
0% < LTV <= 80%	939,716	74.4%	844,020	71.4%	1,783,736	72.9%						
80% < LTV <= 90%	174,937	13.8%	165,830	14.0%	340,767	13.9%						
90% < LTV <= 100%	148,707	11.8%	171,994	14.5%	320,701	13.1%						
Missing	119	0.0%	795	0.1%	914	0.0%						
Total	1,263,479	100.0%	1,182,639	100.0%	2,446,118	100.0%						

			Freddie	Mac					
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No		Yes		Tota	74.7%			
0% < LTV <= 80%	736,523	75.4%	716,345	74.0%	1,452,868	74.7%			
80% < LTV <= 90%	132,472	13.6%	129,903	13.4%	262,375	13.5%			
90% < LTV <= 100%	107,921	11.0%	121,148	12.5%	229,069	11.8%			
Missing	503	0.1%	708	0.1%	1,211	0.1%			
Total	977,419	100.0%	968,104	100.0%	1,945,523	100.0%			

Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals

Entries are numbers of owner-occupied one-unit mortgages. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

# Table 12b-1999

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases of Conventional Home Purchase Mortgages Meeting 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals, 1999

	Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals												
			Fanni	e Mae									
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No	0	Ye	S	Tot	al							
0% < LTV <= 80%	348,964	59.3%	280,461	52.6%	629,425	56.1%							
80% < LTV <= 90%	99,708	16.9%	89,763	16.8%	189,471	16.9%							
90% < LTV <= 100%	139,688	23.7%	161,765	30.4%	301,453	26.9%							
Missing	100	0.0%	774	0.1%	874	0.1%							
Total	588,460	100.0%	532,763	100.0%	1,121,223	100.0%							

## Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals

	Freddie Mac												
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No	)	Ye	S	Tot	Total							
0% < LTV <= 80%	262,214	60.0%	211,385	54.2%	473,599	57.3%							
80% < LTV <= 90%	72,874	16.7%	63,625	16.3%	136,499	16.5%							
90% < LTV <= 100%	101,591	23.3%	114,759	29.4%	216,350	26.2%							
Missing	232	0.1%	336	0.1%	568	0.1%							
Total	436,911	100.0%	390,105	100.0%	827,016	100.0%							

Entries are numbers of owner-occupied one-unit mortgages. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

#### Table 13-2000

#### Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases Meeting Housing Goals, 2000

#### Fannie Mae

		Home Pu	ırchase			All Ot	her		
Loan-to-Value Ratio	All	Low- and All Moderate-Income Unde		Special Affordable	All	Low- and Moderate-Income	Underserved	Special Affordable	
$0\% < LTV \le 80\%$	711,178 59.0%	238,394 56.3%	143,493 53.1%	71,551 55.2%	444,385 84.6%	180,060 87.0%	132,257 83.6%	61,208 89.6%	
80% < LTV <= 90%	189,021 15.7%	66,609 15.7%	46,889 17.4%	17,156 13.2%	64,112 12.2%	24,129 11.7%	21,417 13.5%	6,458 9.5%	
90% < LTV <= 100%	271,746 22.6%	117,497 27.8%	79,465 29.4%	34,272 26.5%	14,986 2.9%	2,718 1.3%	4,531 2.9%	644 0.9%	
Missing	32,847 2.7%	819 0.2%	311 0.1%	6,560 5.1%	1,745 0.3%	8 0.0%	7 0.0%	25 0.0%	
Total	1,204,792 100.0%	423,319 100.0%	270,158 100.0%	129,539 100.0%	525,228 100.0%	206,915 100.0%	158,212 100.0%	68,335 100.0%	

#### Freddie Mac

		Home Pu	ırchase			All Ot	her				
Loan-to-Value Ratio	All	Low- and Moderate-Income	Underserved	Special Affordable	All	Low- and Moderate-Income	Underserved	Special Affordable			
0% < LTV <= 80%	525,455 56.9%	180,823 52.4%	93,898 47.4%	61,646 52.4%	376,223 83.3%	157,566 84.1%	113,967 81.3%	62,557 86.3%			
80% < LTV <= 90%	136,968 14.8%	48,653 14.1%	31,061 15.7%	14,497 12.3%	61,051 13.5%	25,267 13.5%	21,861 15.6%	8,393 11.6%			
90% < LTV <= 100%	236,539 25.6%	104,081 30.1%	67,439 34.0%	35,408 30.1%	12,777 2.8%	4,147 2.2%	3,934 2.8%	1,338 1.8%			
Missing	24,134 2.6%	11,688 3.4%	5,820 2.9%	6,089 5.2%	1,750 0.4%	425 0.2%	470 0.3%	201 0.3%			
Total	923,096 100.0%	345,245 100.0%	198,218 100.0%	117,640 100.0%	451,801 100.0%	187,405 100.0%	140,232 100.0%	72,489 100.0%			

Entries are numbers of owner-occupied one-unit mortgages. Missing data for the three goal-qualifying categories are excluded. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

#### Table 13-1999

#### Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases Meeting Housing Goals, 1999

#### Fannie Mae

			Home	e Purchase							All O	ther			ial lable 89.0% 8.8%					
Loan-to-Value Ratio	All	Lov Modera	Low- andSpecialModerate-IncomeUnderservedAffordable		All	Low- and All Moderate-Income		Underser	Underserved		al able									
0% < LTV <= 80%	629,425 53	3.3% 222,3	08 53.5%	111,781	47.8%	69,730	54.1%	1,177,267	85.0%	425,704	86.0%	274,619	83.6%	130,887	89.0%					
80% < LTV <= 90%	189,471 16	6.0% 68,2	82 16.4%	41,833	17.9%	17,808	13.8%	155,865	11.3%	54,900	11.1%	42,741	13.0%	12,985	8.8%					
90% < LTV <= 100%	301,453 25	5.5% 124,4	43 29.9%	79,993	34.2%	33,832	26.2%	38,251	2.8%	14,155	2.9%	11,011	3.4%	3,186	2.2%					
Missing	60,810 5	5.1% 7	07 0.2%	331	0.1%	7,559	5.9%	13,998	1.0%	19	0.0%	15	0.0%	52	0.0%					
Total	1,181,159 100	0.0% 415,7	40 100.0%	233,938	100.0%	128,929	100.0%	1,385,381	100.0%	494,778	100.0%	328,386	100.0%	147,110	100.0%					

#### Freddie Mac

				Home l	Purchase							All Ot	her				
Loan-to-Value Ratio	All	All		Low- and All Moderate-Income		Underser	Underserved		Special Affordable		All		nd ncome	Underserved		Special Affordable	
0% < LTV <= 80%	474,156	55.9%	162,309	55.0%	88,973	50.1%	55,109	59.0%	981,513	87.6%	375,478	88.3%	251,457	85.6%	125,293	90.4%	
80% < LTV <= 90%	137,117	16.2%	46,148	15.6%	31,272	17.6%	13,020	13.9%	125,938	11.2%	45,686	10.7%	37,863	12.9%	12,039	8.7%	
90% < LTV <= 100%	228,572	26.9%	86,606	29.3%	57,092	32.2%	24,802	26.6%	12,854	1.1%	3,753	0.9%	4,132	1.4%	1,101	0.8%	
Missing	8,767	1.0%	226	0.1%	230	0.1%	466	0.5%	766	0.1%	323	0.1%	188	0.1%	157	0.1%	
Total	848,612	100.0%	295,289	100.0%	177,567	100.0%	93,397	100.0%	1,121,071	100.0%	425,240	100.0%	293,640	100.0%	138,590	100.0%	

Entries are numbers of owner-occupied one-unit mortgages. Missing data for the three goal-qualifying categories are excluded. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

#### Table 14a-2000

		Home Purchase	Loans Only*		
	Qualifying	Qualifying	Qualifying		
	Low- and	Geographically	Special		Total
	Moderate-Income	Targeted	Affordable	All Home	Mortgages
	Purchase	Purchase	Purchase	Purchase	Acquired
<u>0% &lt; LTV &lt;= 60%</u>					
\$ UPB (Millions)	4,022	1,937	1,286	13,963	26,287
Number of Units	55,338	25,428	21,225	144,301	287,329
Portion of Total:	13.1 %	9.4 %	16.4 %	12.0 %	16.6 %
<u>60% &lt; LTV &lt;= 80%</u>					
\$ UPB (Millions)	19,176	13,945	4,286	76,133	112,103
Number of Units	183,056	118,065	50,326	566,877	868,234
Portion of Total:	43.2 %	43.7 %	38.9 %	47.1 %	50.2 %
<u>80% &lt; LTV &lt;= 90%</u>					
\$ UPB (Millions)	6,914	5,230	1,447	24,202	31,612
Number of Units	66,609	46,889	17,156	189,021	253,133
Portion of Total:	15.7 %	17.4 %	13.2 %	15.7 %	14.6 %
<u>90% &lt; LTV &lt;= 95%</u>					
\$ UPB (Millions)	8,577	6,508	1,826	27,510	28,476
Number of Units	86,254	60,023	23,365	219,891	228,910
Portion of Total:	20.4 %	22.2 %	18.0 %	18.3 %	13.2 %
<u>95% &lt; LTV</u>					
\$ UPB (Millions)	2,786	1,829	796	5,586	5,809
Number of Units	31,243	19,442	10,907	51,855	57,822
Portion of Total:	7.4 %	7.2 %	8.4 %	4.3 %	3.3 %
Missing LTV					
\$ UPB (Millions)	83	25	531	2,597	2,744
Number of Units	819	311	6,560	32,847	34,592
Portion of Total:	0.2 %	0.1 %	5.1 %	2.7 %	2.0 %
Total					
\$ UPB (Millions)	41,559	29,475	10,172	149,991	207,031
Number of Units	423,319	270,158	129,539	1,204,792	1,730,020
Portion of Total:	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

## Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Home Purchase Mortgages Meeting Housing Goals UPBs and Number of Units, 2000

Unit counts exclude 2-4 unit properties. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

#### Table 14b-2000

	Qualifying Low- and Moderate-Income Purchase	Qualifying Geographically Targeted Purchase	Qualifying Special Affordable Purchase	All Home Purchase	Total Mortgages Acquired	
00/ 21 771 2 200/						
$\frac{0\% < L I V <= 60\%}{\text{$ UDD (Millions)}}$	2 295	1 276	1 121	11 260	21.226	
S UPB (Millions)	5,265	1,570	1,151	11,500	21,230	
Number of Units	44,340	10,808	16,001	111,101	234,037	
Portion of Total:	12.8 %	8.3 %	10.0 %	12.0 %	17.1 %	
<u>60% &lt; LTV &lt;= 80%</u>						
\$ UPB (Millions)	13,936	8,702	3,399	55,553	83,543	
Number of Units	136,483	77,030	42,785	414,294	667,040	
Portion of Total:	39.5 %	38.9 %	36.4 %	44.9 %	48.5 %	
80% < LTV <= 90%						
\$ UPB (Millions)	4,889	3,341	1,121	17,269	24,076	
Number of Units	48,653	31,061	14,497	136,968	198,019	
Portion of Total:	14.1 %	15.7 %	12.3 %	14.8 %	14.4 %	
90% < LTV <= 95%						
\$ UPB (Millions)	6,683	5,153	1,519	22,446	23,623	
Number of Units	69,304	48,831	20,570	181,996	192,449	
Portion of Total:	20.1 %	24.6 %	17.5 %	19.7 %	14.0 %	
95% < LTV						
\$ UPB (Millions)	3,098	1,724	1,085	5,301	5,484	
Number of Units	34,777	18,608	14,838	54,543	56,867	
Portion of Total:	10.1 %	9.4 %	12.6 %	5.9 %	4.1 %	
Missing LTV						
\$ UPB (Millions)	913	427	400	1,669	1,744	
Number of Units	11,688	5,820	6,089	24,134	25,883	
Portion of Total:	3.4 %	2.9 %	5.2 %	2.6 %	1.9 %	
Total						
\$ UPB (Millions)	32,804	20,723	8,656	113,599	159,706	
Number of Units	345,245	198,218	117,639	923,095	1,374,895	
Portion of Total:	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	

## Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Home Purchase Mortgages Meeting Housing Goals UPBs and Number of Units, 2000

Unit counts exclude 2-4 unit properties. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

#### Table 14a-1999

		Home Purchase Loans Only*							
	Qualifying Low- and Moderate-Income Purchase	Qualifying Geographically Targeted Purchase	Qualifying Special Affordable Purchase	All Home Purchase	Total Mortgages Acquired				
$0\% < LTV \le 60\%$									
\$ UPB (Millions)	3,526	1,393	1,164	11,497	44,951				
Number of Units	49,535	18,605	19,774	119,874	474,327				
Portion of Total:	11.9 %	8.0 %	15.3 %	10.1 %	18.5 %				
60% < LTV <= 80%									
\$ UPB (Millions)	17,528	10,355	4,058	66,026	164,613				
Number of Units	172,774	93,176	49,956	509,551	1,332,365				
Portion of Total:	41.6 %	39.8 %	38.7 %	43.1 %	51.9 %				
<u>80% &lt; LTV &lt;= 90%</u>									
\$ UPB (Millions)	6,735	4,341	1,349	23,592	41,893				
Number of Units	68,282	41,833	17,808	189,471	345,336				
Portion of Total:	16.4 %	17.9 %	13.8 %	16.0 %	13.5 %				
<u>90% &lt; LTV &lt;= 95%</u>									
\$ UPB (Millions)	9,171	6,683	1,825	31,224	33,233				
Number of Units	95,118	63,806	24,573	253,117	273,084				
Portion of Total:	22.9 %	27.3 %	19.1 %	21.4 %	10.6 %				
<u>95% &lt; LTV</u>									
\$ UPB (Millions)	2,622	1,489	658	5,101	5,765				
Number of Units	29,325	16,187	9,259	48,337	66,621				
Portion of Total:	7.1 %	6.9 %	7.2 %	4.1 %	2.6 %				
Missing LTV									
\$ UPB (Millions)	66	22	587	5,457	6,822				
Number of Units	707	331	7,559	60,810	74,808				
Portion of Total:	0.2 %	0.1 %	5.9 %	5.1 %	2.9 %				
Total									
\$ UPB (Millions)	39,648	24,284	9,642	142,897	297,277				
Number of Units	415,740	233,938	128,929	1,181,159	2,566,540				
Portion of Total:	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %				

## Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Home Purchase Mortgages Meeting Housing Goals UPBs and Number of Units, 1999

Unit counts exclude 2-4 unit properties. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

#### Table 14b-1999

	Qualifying Low- and Moderate-Income	Qualifying Geographically Targeted	Qualifying Special Affordable	All Home	Total Mortgages
	Purchase	Purchase	Purchase	Purchase	Acquired
			. <u> </u>		
$0\% < LTV \le 60\%$					
\$ UPB (Millions)	2,778	1,199	965	9,329	36,572
Number of Units	39,569	16,981	16,959	101,915	396,284
Portion of Total:	13.4 %	9.6 %	18.2 %	12.0 %	20.1 %
<u>60% &lt; LTV &lt;= 80%</u>					
\$ UPB (Millions)	12,113	7,493	2,960	46,595	126,414
Number of Units	122,740	71,992	38,150	372,241	1,059,385
Portion of Total:	41.6 %	40.5 %	40.8 %	43.9 %	53.8 %
$80\% < LTV \le 90\%$					
\$ UPB (Millions)	4,559	3,167	976	16,517	30,995
Number of Units	46,148	31,272	13,020	137,117	263,055
Portion of Total:	15.6 %	17.6 %	13.9 %	16.2 %	13.4 %
<u>90% &lt; LTV &lt;= 95%</u>					
\$ UPB (Millions)	6,508	4,828	1,308	22,189	23,534
Number of Units	68,393	47,233	18,123	184,971	197,398
Portion of Total:	23.2 %	26.6 %	19.4 %	21.8 %	10.0 %
<u>95% &lt; LTV</u>					
\$ UPB (Millions)	1,678	943	474	4,237	4,275
Number of Units	18,212	9,859	6,679	43,601	44,028
Portion of Total:	6.2 %	5.6 %	7.2 %	5.1 %	2.2 %
Missing LTV					
\$ UPB (Millions)	18	19	26	532	570
Number of Units	226	230	466	8,767	9,533
Portion of Total:	0.1 %	0.1 %	0.5 %	1.0 %	0.5 %
Total					
\$ UPB (Millions)	27,652	17,650	6,709	99,400	222,361
Number of Units	295,289	177,568	93,397	848,612	1,969,683
Portion of Total:	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

## Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Home Purchase Mortgages Meeting Housing Goals UPBs and Number of Units, 1999

Unit counts exclude 2-4 unit properties. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

#### Table 15a

### Fannie Mae's Multifamily Mortgage Loan Purchases UPB, Number of Loans and Number of Units by Acquisition UPB 1993-2000

	Acquisition UPB	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Less Tl	nan or Equal to \$500,000								
	UPB (\$ Thousands)	66,248	41,668	604,422	20,937	34,376	895,349	50,302	20,953
	Number of Units	3,554	5,471	26,280	2,212	2,358	42,250	3,532	5,512
	Number of Loans	267	162	2,310	76	127	4402	177	65
>\$500,	000 - <= \$1M								
	UPB (\$ Thousands)	92,924	137,719	503,630	90,220	106,507	540,851	146,981	85,824
	Number of Units	5,342	10,129	22,637	7,163	7,648	24,052	10,530	6,678
	Number of Loans	125	186	710	116	137	777	165	111
>\$1M	- <=\$2M								
	UPB (\$ Thousands)	290,678	470,912	605,971	33,039	402,684	840,226	558,191	314,427
	Number of Units	15,808	31,108	27,986	21,984	23,751	38,887	33,659	22,715
	Number of Loans	194	315	429	222	262	568	349	208
>\$2M -	- <=\$4M								
	UPB (\$ Thousands)	1,077,814	1,064,621	957,442	1,133,540	1,184,937	1,798,308	1,302,385	775,443
	Number of Units	50,432	61,973	47,776	52,988	51,277	73,102	55,969	38,532
	Number of Loans	370	371	336	378	403	613	416	254
Above	\$4M								
	UPB (\$ Thousands)	3,074,792	3,019,673	3,286,749	5,456,078	5,167,878	8,428,387	7,334,698	8,880,864
	Number of Units	111,335	112,740	110,678	188,585	168,032	215,106	190,400	216,072
	Number of Loans	418	387	378	625	618	887	602	652
Total									
	UPB (\$ Thousands)	4,602,457	4,734,593	5,958,215	7,036,813	6,896,382	12,503,120	9,392,557	10,077,511
	Number of Units	186,471	221,420	235,357	272,931	253,065	393,397	294,091	289,509
	Number of Loans	1,374	1,421	4,163	1,444	1,548	7,247	1,708	1,289

The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

#### Table 15b

#### Freddie Mac's Multifamily Mortgage Loan Purchases UPB, Number of Loans and Number of Units by Acquisition UPB 1993-2000

Acquisition UPB	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Less Than or Equal to \$500,000								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	2,351	7,037	14,020	6,712	11,633	42,760	11,493	15,265
Number of Units	200	599	1,457	652	1,083	1,240	233	546
Number of Loans	7	16	40	19	33	122	32	44
>\$500,000 - <= \$1M								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	12,942	20,193	40,916	60,927	75,489	233,331	119,447	74,308
Number of Units	890	1,486	3,072	3,575	3,875	6,163	2,382	2,262
Number of Loans	18	26	55	73	96	309	156	99
> \$1M - <=\$2M								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	29,191	93,998	139,364	217,546	243,353	802,591	368,341	265,517
Number of Units	1,968	6,146	8,019	10,513	11,200	21,613	10,392	6,850
Number of Loans	20	65	90	145	163	543	251	175
>\$2M - <=\$4M								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	56,129	248,510	280,813	532,441	584,400	1,889,883	1,004,893	646,868
Number of Units	3,264	13,680	13,146	26,290	22,467	52,299	23,158	17,354
Number of Loans	20	89	96	179	201	652	341	213
Above \$4M								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	90,548	543,378	1,107,055	1,532,712	2,285,332	7,157,172	8,318,937	7,750,926
Number of Units	4,472	23,627	42,687	57,544	60,844	140,004	155,327	136,567
Number of Loans	13	77	126	206	243	776	713	605
Total								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	191,161	913,116	1,582,170	2,350,337	3,200,207	10,125,738	9,823,111	8,752,884
Number of Units	10,794	45,538	68,381	98,574	99,470	221,319	191,492	163,580
Number of Loans	78	273	407	622	736	2,402	1,511	1,174

The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

### Table 16a

# Fannie Mae's Multifamily Mortgage Loan Purchases UPB, Number of Loans and Number of Units by Purpose of Loan 1993-2000

Loan Purpose	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Purchase								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	397,339	148,533	952,488	581,443	657,655	4,140,088	3,723,910	4,945,092
Number of Units	17,243	6,470	28,316	22,370	26,012	136,637	117,615	136,508
Number of Loans	92	47	122	103	176	5,538	783	455
Refinance								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	4,101,706	4,256,774	3,133,361	5,204,544	4,509,816	7,097,885	4,410,796	4,563,019
Number of Units	164,317	196,140	134,330	197,169	161,240	209,808	142,286	136,433
Number of Loans	1,256	1,234	1,067	1,058	906	1,346	839	729
New Construction								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	101,372	329,287	440,932	661,100	1,065,093	779,793	269,228	489,159
Number of Units	4,807	18,810	19,220	34,161	39,313	28,001	8,434	14,234
Number of Loans	25	140	348	192	358	231	68	96
Rehabilitation								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	2,040		9,360	15,351	131,534	48,756	304,526	80,241
Number of Units	104		757	463	5,475	1,890	4,732	2,334
Number of Loans	1		1	3	28	5	13	9
Total								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	4,602,457	4,734,593	5,958,215	7,036,813	6,896,382	12,503,120	9,392,557	9,392,557
Number of Units	186,471	221,420	235,357	272,931	253,065	393,397	294,091	294,091
Number of Loans	1,374	1,421	4,163	1,444	1,548	7,247	1,708	1,708

Adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.
#### Table 16b

### Freddie Mac's Multifamily Mortgage Loan Purchases UPB, Number of Loans and Number of Units by Purpose of Loan 1993-2000

Loan Purpose	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Purchase								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	19,684	190,710	227,501	318,166	312,901	613,417	1,952,720	1,843,836
Number of Units	1,402	9,381	10,099	13,141	12,870	15,493	43,744	38,708
Number of Loans	22	48	52	75	58	75	172	158
Refinance								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	171,477	632,381	1,315,805	1,999,051	2,008,004	3,263,390	5,060,786	3,558,767
Number of Units	9,392	33,503	57,074	84,630	72,900	102,829	129,089	94,200
Number of Loans	56	204	343	540	470	622	659	395
New Construction								
UPB (\$ Thousands)		940	38,863	33,120	34,155	78,945	191,685	492,644
Number of Units		48	1,208	803	884	1,318	2,469	5,647
Number of Loans		1	12	7	10	8	19	37
Rehabilitation								
UPB (\$ Thousands)		9,500				5,725		6,430
Number of Units		328				6,417		331
Number of Loans		1				2		3
Total								
UPB (\$ Thousands)	191,161	833,531	1,582,169	2,350,337	2,355,060	3,961,477	7,205,191	5,901,677
Number of Units	10,794	43,260	68,381	98,574	86,654	126,057	175,302	138,886
Number of Loans	78	254	407	622	538	707	850	593

Adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

#### Table 17

1993-2000							
	Fannie Mae			Freddie Mac			
	Seasoned*	Non-Seasoned	All	Seasoned*	Non-Seasoned	All	
<u>1993</u>							
Number of Units	23,407	163,065	186,472		10,794	10,794	
UPB (\$ Thousands)	486,454	4,116,002	4,602,456		191,161	191,161	
1994							
Number of Units	28,240	193,180	221,420	2,083	43,455	45,538	
UPB (\$ Thousands)	494,039	4,240,554	4,734,593	71,275	841,841	913,116	
<u>1995</u>							
Number of Units	78,983	156,374	235,357	6,971	61,410	68,381	
UPB (\$ Thousands)	1,928,759	4,029,456	5,958,215	145,368	1,436,802	1,582,170	
<u>1996</u>							
Number of Units	43,294	229,637	272,931	11,343	87,231	98,574	
UPB (\$ Thousands)	826,651	6,210,162	7,036,813	306,384	2,043,953	2,350,337	
<u>1997</u>							
Number of Units	16,191	236,874	253,065	16,645	82,825	99,470	
UPB (\$ Thousands)	415,463	6,480,919	6,896,382	503,449	2,696,758	3,200,207	
1998							
Number of Units	98,883	294,514	393,397	4,213	217,106	221,319	
UPB (\$ Thousands)	2,629,702	9,873,418	12,503,120	208,107	9,917,631	10,125,738	
<u>1999</u>							
Number of Units	16,333	277,758	294,091	6,673	184,819	191,492	
UPB (\$ Thousands)	544,158	8,848,398	9,392,556	1,867,661	7,955,449	9,823,110	
2000							
Number of Units	14,751	274,758	289,509	8,668	154,912	163,580	
UPB (\$ Thousands)	444,701	9,632,810	10,077,511	532,269	8,220,615	8,752,884	

#### GSEs' Multifamily Mortgage Loan Purchases UPB and Number of Units by Seasoning 1993-2000

The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight

\* Loans originated earlier than 12 months prior to purchase.

### Table 18-2000

# Fannie Mae's Multifamily Mortgages Method of Acquisition During Calendar Year 2000

				Total
	Low- And	Geographically	Special	Mortgages
	Moderate-Income	Targeted	Affordable	Acquired
Mortgages Acquired By:				
Cash and Swap				
\$ UPB (Millions)	5,902	2,601	2,645	6,781
Number of Units	196,523	87,516	111,821	212,644
Percent of Units	92.4%	41.2%	52.6%	100.0%
Other				
\$ UPB (Millions)	2,594	710	1,118	3,296
Number of Units	69,887	20,087	35,437	76,865
Percent of Units	90.9%	26.1%	46.1%	100.0%

Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

# Freddie Mac's Multifamily Mortgages Method of Acquisition During Calendar Year 2000

	Low- And Moderate-Income	Geographically Targeted	Special Affordable	Total Mortgages Acquired
Mortgages Acquired By:				
Cash and Swap				
\$ UPB (Millions)	3,905	1,119	1,711	4,775
Number of Units	109,376	36,614	58,353	118,470
Percent of Units	92.3%	30.9%	49.3%	100.0%
Other				
\$ UPB (Millions)	1,655	866	671	2,005
Number of Units	41,790	22,144	21,022	45,110
Percent of Units	92.6%	49.1%	46.6%	100.0%

Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

#### Table 18-1999

# Fannie Mae's Multifamily Mortgages Method of Acquisition During Calendar Year 1999

				Total
	Low- And	Geographically	Special	Mortgages
	Moderate-Income	Targeted	Affordable	Acquired
Mortgages Acquired By:				
Cash and Swap				
\$ UPB (Millions)	5,994	2,480	2,964	6,633
Number of Units	210,520	93,020	127,368	226,977
Percent of Units	92.7%	41.0%	56.1%	100.0%
Other				
\$ UPB (Millions)	2,198	769	1,074	2,582
Number of Units	63,506	17,512	34,556	67,114
Percent of Units	94.6%	26.1%	51.5%	100.0%

Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

# Freddie Mac's Multifamily Mortgages Method of Acquisition During Calendar Year 1999

	Low- And Moderate-Income	Geographically Targeted	Special Affordable	Total Mortgages Acquired
Mortgages Acquired By:				
Cash and Swap				
\$ UPB (Millions)	4,875	2,008	1,952	5,994
Number of Units	141,285	57,355	70,840	156,960
Percent of Units	90.0%	36.5%	45.1%	100.0%
Other				
\$ UPB (Millions)	1,235	356	289	1,627
Number of Units	31,132	11,820	12,142	34,532
Percent of Units	90.2%	34.2%	35.2%	100.0%

Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.